



The threat to fisheries and aquaculture from climate change

Key messages

- Significance of fisheries and aquaculture. Fish provide essential nutrition and income to an ever-growing number of people around the world, especially where other food and employment resources are limited. Many fishers and aquaculturists are poor and ill-prepared to adapt to change, making them vulnerable to impacts on fish resources.
- Nature of the climate change threat. Fisheries and aquaculture are threatened by changes in temperature and, in freshwater ecosystems, precipitation. Storms may become more frequent and extreme, imperilling habitats, stocks, infrastructure and livelihoods.
- The need to adapt to climate change. Greater climate variability and ncertainty complicate the task of identifying impact pathways and areas of vulnerability, requiring research to devise and pursue coping strategies and improve the adaptability of fishers and aquaculturists.
- Strategies for coping with climate change. Fish can provide opportunities to adapt to climate change by, for example, integrating aquaculture and agriculture, which can help farmers cope with drought while boosting profits and household nutrition. Fisheries management must move from seeking to maximize yield to increasing adaptive capacity.

The significance of fisheries and aquaculture

Population growth is accompanied by increasing demand for food fish, with direct human consumption of fish reaching an estimated 103 million tons in 2003. Fish is the main source of animal protein for a billion people worldwide. As well as providing a valuable protein complement to the starchy diet common among the global poor, fish is an important source of essential vitamins and fatty acids.

Some 200 million people and their dependants worldwide, most of them in developing countries, live by fishing and aquaculture. Fish provides an important source of cash income for many poor households and is a widely traded food commodity. In addition to stimulating local market economies fish can be an important source of foreign exchange.

Fishing is frequently integral to mixed livelihood strategies, in which people take advantage of seasonal stock availability or resort to fishing when other forms of food production and income generation fall short. Fishing often is related to extreme






Figure 1.
National averages
of fish protein as a
percentage of total
animal protein
consumed (Handisyde

poverty and may serve as a vital safety net for people with limited livelihood alternatives and extreme vulnerability to changes in their environment. Fishing communities that depend on inland fisheries resources are likely to be particularly vulnerable to climate change.

Globally, aquaculture has expanded at an average annual rate of 8.9% since 1970, making it the fastest growing food production sector. Today, aquaculture provides around half of the fish for human consumption, and must continue to grow because limited — and in many cases declining — capture fisheries will be unable to meet demands from a growing population. Integrating aquaculture with agriculture by, for

- = No bleaching
- = Severity unknown
- = Low bleaching
- = Medium bleaching
- = High bleaching

example, raising fish in rice fields or using agricultural waste to fertilize ponds, can provide significant nutritional and economic benefits from available land and resources.

Climate change impacts on fisheries and aquaculture

Climate changes may affect fisheries and aquaculture directly by influencing fish stocks and the global supply of fish for consumption, or indirectly by influencing fish prices or the cost of goods and services required by fishers and fish farmers (Table 1).

Changing sea temperatures

Coral reefs provide a permanent habitat for many important fish species and are vital to the juvenile stages or food supply of many others. As well as providing direct benefits to fisheries, coral reefs




Figure 2. Coral bleaching severity (ReefBase, www.reefbase.org).

Table 1. Ways in which climate change may directly affect production from fisheries and aquaculture			
Drivers	Biophysical Effects	Implications for fisheries and aquaculture	
Changes in sea surface temperature	More frequent harmful algal blooms; Less dissolved oxygen; Increased incidence of disease and parasites; Altered local ecosystems with changes in competitors, predators and invasive species; Changes in plankton composition.	For aquaculture, changes in infrastructure and operating costs from worsened infestations of fouling organisms, pests, nuisance species and/or predators. For capture fisheries, impacts on the abundance and species composition of fish stocks.	
	Longer growing seasons; Lower natural mortality	Potential for increased production and	
	in winter; Enhanced metabolic and growth rates. Enhanced primary productivity.	Potential benefits for aquaculture and fisheries but	
	Changes in timing and success of migrations, spawning and peak abundance, as well as in sex ratios.	perhaps offset by changed species composition. Potential loss of species or shift in composition in capture fisheries; Impacts on seed availability for aquaculture.	
	Change in the location and size of suitable range for particular species.	Aquaculture opportunities both lost and gained. Potential species loss and altered species composition for capture fisheries.	
	Damage to coral reefs that serve as breeding habitats and may help protect the shore from wave action (the exposure to which may rise along with sea levels).	Reduced recruitment of fishery species. Worsened wave damage to infrastructure or flooding from storm surges.	
El Niño-Southern Oscillation	Changed location and timing of ocean currents and upwelling alters nutrient supply in surface waters and, consequently, primary productivity.	Changes in the distribution and productivity of open sea fisheries.	
	Changed ocean temperature and bleached coral Altered rainfall patterns bring flood and drought.	Reduced productivity of reef fisheries. See impacts for precipitation trends, drought and flooding above.	
Rising sea level	Loss of land.	Reduced area available for aquaculture. Loss of freshwater fisheries.	
	Changes to estuary systems.	Shifts in species abundance, distribution and composition of fish stocks and aquaculture seed.	
	Salt water infusion into groundwater.	Damage to freshwater capture fisheries. Reduced freshwater availability for aquaculture and a shift to brackish water species.	
	Loss of coastal ecosystems such as mangrove forests.	Reduced recruitment and stocks for capture fisheries and seed for aquaculture. Worsened exposure to waves and storm surges and risk that inland aquaculture and fisheries become inundated.	
Higher inland water temperatures	Increased stratification and reduced mixing of water in lakes, reducing primary productivity and ultimately food supplies for fish species.	Reductions in fish stocks.	
	Raised metabolic rates increase feeding rates and growth if water quality, dissolved oxygen levels, and food supply are adequate, otherwise possibly reducing feeding and growth. Potential for enhanced primary productivity.	Possibly enhanced fish stocks for capture fisheries or else reduced growth where the food supply does not increase sufficiently in line with temperature. Possible benefits for aquaculture, especially intensive and semi-intensive pond systems.	
	Shift in the location and size of the potential range for a given species.	Aquaculture opportunities both lost and gained. Potential loss of species and alteration of species composition for capture fisheries.	
	Reduced water quality, especially in terms of dissolved oxygen; Changes in the range and abundance of pathogens, predators and competitors; Invasive species introduced.	Altered stocks and species composition in capture fisheries; For aquaculture, altered culture species and possibly worsened losses to disease (and so higher operating costs) and possibly higher capital costs for aeration equipment or deeper ponds.	
	Changes in timing and success of migrations, spawning and peak abundance.	Potential loss of species or shift in composition for capture fisheries; Impacts on seed availability for aquaculture.	
Changes in precipitation and water availability	Changes in fish migration and recruitment patterns and so in recruitment success.	Altered abundance and composition of wild stock. Impacts on seed availability for aquaculture.	
	Lower water availability for aquaculture. Lower water quality causing more disease.	Higher costs of maintaining pond water levels and from stock loss.	
	Increased competition with other water users. Altered and reduced freshwater supplies with greater risk of drought.	Reduced production capacity. Conflict with other water users. Change of culture species.	
	Changes in lake and river levels and the overall extent and movement patterns of surface water.	Altered distribution, composition and abundance of fish stocks. Fishers forced to migrate more and expend more effort.	

Table 1. Ways in which climate change may directly affect production from fisheries and aquaculture			
Drivers	Biophysical Effects	Implications for fisheries and aquaculture	
Increase in frequency and/or intensity of storms	Large waves and storm surges. Inland flooding from intense precipitation. Salinity changes. Introduction of disease or predators into aquaculture facilities during flooding episodes.	Loss of aquaculture stock and damage to or loss of aquaculture facilities and fishing gear. Impacts on wild fish recruitment and stocks. Higher direct risk to fishers; capital costs needed to design cage moorings, pond walls, jetties, etc. that can withstand storms; and insurance costs.	
Drought	Lower water quality and availability for aquaculture. Salinity changes. Changes in lake water levels and river flows.	Loss of wild and cultured stock. Increased production costs. Loss of opportunity as production is limited. Reduced wild fish stocks, intensified competition for	
	Changes in lane water levels and liver nows.	fishing areas and more migration by fisherfolk.	

perform a range of valuable services such as attracting tourists and protecting shorelines. The United Nations Environment Program (UNEP) estimates the annual value of coral reefs at US\$100,000-US\$600,000 per square kilometer.

Two-thirds of all reefs are in developing countries, and 500 million people in the tropics depend heavily on reefs for food, livelihoods, protection from natural disasters and other basic needs. People living in the coastal zone are often poor and landless, with limited access to services, and hence vulnerable to impacts on natural resources. For many coastal communities in reef areas, fishing activities are the sole source of income.

Higher sea temperature is a major cause of coral bleaching and damage to reef ecosystems around the globe. The bleaching event of 1998, driven by El Niño, a global coupled ocean-atmosphere phenomenon that changes the location and timing of ocean currents and causes important inter-annual variability in sea surface temperature, killed an estimated 16% of the world's coral. Studies suggest that 60% of coral reefs could be lost by 2030 and that increased acidification of oceans from higher levels of atmospheric carbon dioxide may be a contributing factor.

Changing sea temperature and current flows will likely bring shifts in the distribution of marine fish stocks, with some areas benefiting while others lose. Research in this area typically focuses on higher-value commercial species.

While investigating potential impacts on species important to poorer fishers is worthwhile, predictions will always be uncertain, which argues for a strong research focus on helping fishers become more able to cope with external shocks. Fishers need to reduce their reliance on a narrow resource base by learning to exploit a broader range of species and diversify their sources of income. There is an urgent need to better understand where climate change is most likely to reduce livelihood options for fishers and where there is therefore the greatest need to invest in alternative rural and urban enterprises.

Rising sea level

Mean sea level is predicted to rise between 10 and 90 centimeters during this century, with most predictions in the range of 30-50 centimeters. This will likely damage or destroy many coastal ecosystems such as mangroves and salt marshes, which are essential to maintaining wild fish stocks, as well as supplying seed to aquaculture. Mangroves and other coastal vegetation buffer the shore from storm surges that can damage fish ponds and other coastal infrastructure and may become more frequent and intense under climate change. UNEP estimates the annual ecosystem value of mangroves at US\$200,000-US\$900,000 per square kilometer.

A number of studies have identified possible adaptation strategies for mangrove systems and the people that use them.

These strategies include raising awareness of the importance of such systems among local communities and leaders, identifying critical areas, minimizing stress unrelated to climate, maintaining ecosystem connectivity, coastal planning that facilitates emergency retreat inland, developing alternative livelihoods, and restoring coastal ecosystems. Experience suggests that it is important to integrate adaptation to climate change with the broader policy agenda.

Higher sea levels may lead to salinization of groundwater, which is detrimental to freshwater fisheries, aquaculture and agriculture and limits industrial and domestic water uses. Along with the negative consequences, however, come benefits in the form of increased areas suitable for brackish water culture of such high-value species as shrimp and mud crab. This highlights the importance of maintaining people's capacity to recognize and take advantage of opportunities— and how


aquaculture can play an important role in diversifying livelihoods.

Inland temperature changes

Higher inland water temperatures may reduce the availability of wild fish stocks by harming

water quality, worsening dry season mortality, bringing new predators and pathogens, and changing the abundance of food available to fishery species. In Lake Tanganyika, which supplies 25-40% of animal protein for the countries that surround it, mixing of surface and deep water layers has become reduced over the last century as a result of higher temperatures. This has limited the nutrients available to plankton and thereby reduced yield in planktivorous fish by an estimated 30%.





Red: Physical Water Scarcity. More than 75% of the river flows are allocated to agriculture, industries or domestic purposes (accounting for recycling of return flows). This definition of scarcity—relating water availability to water demand—implies that dry areas are not necessarily water-scarce. For example, Mauritania is dry but not physically water-scarce because demand is low.

Light Red: More than 60% of river flows are allocated. These basins will experience physical water scarcity in the near future.

Orange: Economic Water Scarcity. Water resources are abundant relative to water use, with less than 25% of water from rivers withdrawn for human purposes, but malnutrition exists. These areas could benefit by development of additional blue and green water, but human and financial capacity are limiting.

Blue: Abundant water resources relative to use: less than 25% of water from rivers is withdrawn for human purposes.

Figure 3. Global water scarcity (International Water Management Institute).

The identification and promotion of aquaculture species and techniques that are suitable to changing environments and resources may offer new uses for land that has become unsuitable for existing livelihoods strategies and will enable aquaculturists to adapt to change. In cooler zones aquaculture may benefit from faster growth rates and longer growing seasons as a result of rising ambient temperatures.

Changes in precipitation and water availability

Increasing seasonal and annual variability in precipitation and resulting flood and drought extremes are likely to

be the most significant drivers of change in inland aquaculture and fisheries. Bangladesh, one of the world's least developed nations, relies on fisheries for around 80% of its national animal protein intake. Under the scenario of 2-6°C global warming, precipitation is forecast to decline in Bangladesh during the dry season and increase during the wet season, expanding flood-prone areas by 23-39%. While a relationship exists between greater flooding extent and higher production in many floodplain fisheries, potential benefits may be offset by a range of factors, including reduced spawning success of river fishes as a result of higher wet season river flows, reduced fish survival in lower dry season flows, and loss of habitat to new

hydraulic engineering projects and other human responses.

In shallow African lakes such as Mweru Wa Ntipa, Chilwa/ Chiuta and Liambezi, water level is the most important factor determining stock size, and catch rates decline when the lake levels are low. Understanding how fisheries interact with other economic sectors and how fisherfolk have adapted to variability, for example through mixed livelihood strategies and the absence of barriers to entering fisheries, may usefully guide responses to future climate variation and trends.

Reduced annual and dry season rainfall, and changes in the duration of the grow-

ing season, are likely to have implications for aquaculture and create greater potential for conflict with other agricultural, industrial and domestic users in water-scarce areas. These impacts are likely

to be felt most strongly by the poorest aquaculturists, whose typically smaller ponds retain less water, dry up faster, and are therefore more likely to suffer shortened growing seasons, reduced harvests and a narrower choice of species for culture. However aquaculture may also provide opportunities for improving water productivity in areas of worsening water scarcity. Schemes that integrate pond aquaculture with traditional crops in Malawi have successfully reduced farmers' vulnerability to drought, provided a source of high-quality protein to supplement crops, and boosted overall production and profit. In terms of water use efficiency, systems that reuse water from aquaculture compare very favorably with terrestrial crop and livestock production.



Extreme events and worsening risk

Extreme events such as cyclones and their associated storm surges and inland flooding can have serious impacts on fisheries, and particularly aquaculture, through damage or loss of stock, facilities and infrastructure. Institutional responses such as constructing artificial flood



defenses and maintaining natural ones can provide protection that is significant but incomplete. Poor communities in exposed areas are unlikely to be able to build substantial defenses, so the most realistic and economic strategy will be to increase resilience. In Bangladesh and other countries where floods are common, short culture periods and minimal capital investment in aquaculture

help reduce stock loss and associated cost. Building greater adaptive capacity will entail approaches, such as mixed livelihood strategies and access to credit, by which aquaculturists can cope financially with sudden losses of investment and income. Other considerations for coping strategies in high-risk areas include monitoring and assessing risk and promoting aquaculture species, fish strains, and techniques that maximize production and profit during successful cycles.

Wider implications of the impacts of climate variation on fisheries

Many artisanal fishers are extremely poor. Even in cases where they earn more than other rural people, fishers are often socially and politically marginalized and can afford only limited access to health-care, education and other public services. Social and political marginalization leaves many small-scale and migrant fishers with little capacity to adapt, and makes them highly vulnerable to climate impacts affecting the natural capital they heavily depend on for their livelihoods.

Heightened migration to cope with and exploit climate-driven fluctuations in production may worsen a range of cultural, social and health problems.




HIV/AIDS is prevalent in many fishing communities and this problem will worsen as climate change forces increased migration and social dislocation. As declining catches worsen poverty and food shortages, desperate people become less risk averse. Transactional sex, in which women fish traders around Lake Victoria, for example, trade sex for fish will become an increasingly

important vector for the transmission of HIV/AIDS.

As the recent Stern Review on the Economics of Climate Change states, "For fisheries, information on the likely impacts of climate change is very limited." Efforts to increase understanding of how and why climate change may affect aquaculture and fisheries should emphasize developing strategies by which fisheries, and perhaps more significantly aquaculture, can play a part in our wider adaptation to the challenges of climate change. However, the inherent unpredictability of climate change and the links that entwine fishery and aquaculture livelihoods with other livelihood strategies and economic sectors

make unraveling the exact mechanisms of climate impacts hugely complex. This argues for placing a very strong focus on building general adaptive capacity that can help the world's poor fishing and aquaculture communities cope with new challenges, both foreseen and not.



Acknowledgements

This Policy Brief was prepared in collaboration with James Muir (University of Stirling) and Eddie Allison (University of East Anglia). Much of the material was drawn from studies funded within DFID's Aquaculture and Fish Genetics Research Programme (AFGRP) and Fisheries Management Science Programme (FMSP).

Useful Websites:

FAO Fisheries: http://www.fao.org/fi/

Fisheries Management Science Programme (FMSP): http://www.fmsp.org.uk/

Aquaculture and Fish Genetics Research Programme: http://www.dfid.stir.ac.uk/Afgrp/

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change: http://www.ipcc.ch

Marine Resources Assessment Group (MRAG): http://www.mrag.co.uk/

School of Development Studies, University of East Anglia: http://www1.uea.ac.uk/cm/home/schools/ssf/dev

Sustainable Fisheries Livelihoods Programme: http://www.sflp.org/

Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Research: http://www.tyndall.ac.uk/

UK Department for International Development (DFID): http://www.dfid.gov.uk/

Reports:

Allison EH, Adger NW, Badjeck M-C, Brown K, Conway D, Dulvy NK, Halls A, Perry A and Reynolds JD. 2005. Effects of climate change on the sustainability of capture and enhancement fisheries important to the poor: Analysis of the vulnerability and adaptability of fisherfolk living in poverty. Department for International Development (UK) project number: R4778J. Available online at http://p15166578.pureserver.info/fmsp/r8475.htm

Handisyde NT, Ross LG, Badjeck M-C and Allison EH. 2006. The effects of climate change on worldaquaculture: A global perspective. Available online at www.aquaculture.stir.ac.uk/GISAP/gis-group/climate.php

The WorldFish Center

The WorldFish Center is an autonomous and nonprofit international research organization that works to reduce hunger and poverty by improving fisheries and aquaculture.

Now based in Penang, Malaysia, WorldFish was originally established in the Philippines in 1977 as the International Center for Living Aquatic Resources Management (ICLARM). In 1992 ICLARM became one of 15 research centers supported by the Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research (CGIAR). The CGIAR alliance mobilizes agricultural science to tackle poverty, foster human well-being, promote agricultural growth and protect the environment.

Major partners of WorldFish and the other CGIAR Centers include national agricultural research systems, international and regional agencies, conservation groups, non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and companies in the private sector. WorldFish activities are concentrated mainly in Asia, Africa and the South Pacific.





The WorldFish Center

P.O. Box 500 GPO, 10670 Penang, Malaysia Tel: +(60-4) 626 1606 Fax: +(60-4) 626 5530 Email: worldfishcenter@cgiar.org

www.worldfishcenter.org

Photo credits: Page 1 © WorldFish/Mark Prein

Page 5 © WorldFish

Page 6 © WorldFish/Randy Brummett

Page 7 © WorldFish