A Trophic Model of the Coastal Fisheries Ecosystem off the West Coast of Sabah and Sarawak, Malaysia^{*}

Len R. Garces¹, Alias Man², Abu Talib Ahmad², Meii Mohamad-Norizam¹ and Geronimo T. Silvestre¹

> ¹ WorldFish Center P.O. Box 500 GPO, 10670 Penang, Malaysia

² Fisheries Research Institute 11960 Batu Maung, Penang, Malaysia

Garces, L.R., M. Alias, A. Abu Talib, M. Mohamad-Norizam and G.T. Silvestre. 2003. A trophic model of the coastal fisheries ecosystem off the West Coast of Sabah and Sarawak, Malaysia, p. 333 - 352. In G. Silvestre, L. Garces, I. Stobutzki, M. Ahmed, R.A. Valmonte-Santos, C. Luna, L. Lachica-Aliño, P. Munro, V. Christensen and D. Pauly (eds.) Assessment, Management and Future Directions for Coastal Fisheries in Asian Countries. WorldFish Center Conference Proceedings 67, 1 120 p.

Abstract

A mass-balance steady-state trophic model of the coastal fisheries ecosystem off the West Coasts of Sabah and Sarawak, Malaysia (10 - 60 m depth) was constructed using the Ecopath software. The ecosystem models were partitioned into 29 ecological/trophic groups. The input values (e.g. biomasses) for selected groups were obtained from the research (trawl) surveys conducted in the area in 1972. The estimated mean trophic level of the fisheries catch for both models is about 3.3. The biomass values obtained from Ecopath when compared with the estimates of the fishery catch indicate a low level of exploitation of coastal fisheries resources in 1972.

Introduction

Fisheries catches from marine waters off Sabah and Sarawak contributed about 18% (200 933 t) and 9% (101 130 t) of the total marine fish landing of Malaysia in 1999, respectively (Department of Fisheries (DOF) 1999). About 59% of the catch is contributed by small scale (traditional) fisheries, and demersal fish constitute 39% of the catch in Sarawak (Talib et al., this vol.) On the other hand, both commercial and small scale fisheries play an equal role in Sabah and demersal fish constitute about 47% of the total landings.

A total of 13 research (trawl) surveys have been conducted off the coast of Sarawak and the West Coast of Sabah since 1972. The surveys were principally conducted to locate suitable grounds for trawling operations and subsequently to determine the abundance of demersal resources for the development of the trawl fishery (Talib et al. paper no 6).

The results of the trawl surveys in 1972 showed catch rates ranging from 149 to 261 kg·hr⁻¹ from Sarawak coastal waters while relatively higher catch rates were obtained from the West Coast of Sabah, ranging from 476 to 576 kg·hr⁻¹ (Latiff et al. 1976). The estimated demersal stock density ranged from 2.39 to 7.49 t·km⁻² in Sarawak waters and 10.49 to 13.56 t·km⁻² in Sabah. Based on the catch rates obtained in 1998, the trawl surveys in the Sarawak waters recorded a reduction of the average catch rate between 26% to 60%, while in Sabah reductions of between 82% to 88% were seen (Talib et al. paper no 6).

^{*} WorldFish Center Contribution No. 1712

The Ecopath with Ecosim (EwE) software (Christensen et al. 2000), developed by the WorldFish Centre and the University of British Columbia, was used to construct a trophic model of the coastal fisheries resources off Sabah and Sarawak. Biomass estimates from trawl surveys in 1972, particularly the demersal species/groups, were utilized in the construction of the ecosystem models (Pauly 1996, noted that demersal trawl surveys represent the most straightforward way of finding how many and what kind of fish appears in a given area).

The Ecopath models when used with time series information (e.g. catch data or CPUE) in the Ecosim routine of the EwE software permit evaluation of the effects of changes in the ecosystem (such as a change in the fishery, artificial enhancement of recruitment and any other measurable change such as nutrient loading or pollution) to be accurately simulated and the outcome predicted (Christensen 1998; Supongpan et al. this vol.). Hence, the trophic models constructed can be used for future temporal and/or spatial analysis. The models can also be utilized to understand the ecosystem effects on the decline in biomass of most demersal resources in the area.

Materials and Methods Study Area

The continental shelf off Sarawak has an area of about 125 000 km², of which 97 000 km² is traw-lable^a (see Fig. 1). The continental shelf (located between latitude 1° 30' N to 7° 07' N and longitude 109° 38' E to 114° 05' E) extends up to 220 m at its furthest point north of Tanjong Po in the south and its narrowest point at 30 nautical miles north of Tanjong Baram in the north. Beyond the 200 m isobath in this area, the depths drop to 1 000 m over a mean distance of 2.5 nautical miles.

The fisheries resources of Sarawak are such that major fishing effort is on muddy areas, mostly in the nearshore waters. In 1998, coastal demersal fish was reported as being overfished, while off-shore demersal was being lightly exploited. Landing of marine fish by gear in 2000 was dominated by trawl nets, i.e. 70% of total gears.

The coastline of Sabah is about 1 600 km (from the boundary of Brunei Darusalam waters to Kudat on the northern tip of Sabah), and surrounded by the South China Sea and the Palawan Thrust on the northwest, the Celebes Sea on the southeast and the Sulu Sea on the east. The west coast is generally rocky and sandy, while mangrove swamps dominate the east coast. The continental shelf area (located between latitude 4° 50' N to 8° 24' N and longitude 112° 30' E to 117° 00' E) for the west coast of Sabah is roughly 28 000 km², with approximately 14 000 km² is trawlable^b.

The marine capture fisheries can be categorized into two sub-sectors, i.e. deep-sea fisheries and coastal fisheries. Deep-sea fishing contributes to roughly 30% of the total marine landings in Sabah, mostly pelagic fishes. Deep-sea resources are estimated to be about 140 000 t, of which roughly 11 000 t are demersal fish^c. Most of the fishing activities however are concentrated within 30 nm from shore (categorized as coastal fisheries), with trawling being the main activity (mostly for prawns and finfishes).

The marine waters in the study area are influenced by monsoon patterns. Based on a recent study, the primary production during the southwest monsoon period varied between 0.13 to 0.88 gC·m⁻² ·day⁻¹ particularly in the coastal areas, and production rates were observed to be highest in waters off Brunei Darussalam and Sabah (SEAFDEC, 2000). Moreover, the total calculated biomass for chlorophyll *a* from a survey area of 243 000 km² was in the order of 1 870 and 2 070 t.

Trawl Survey

A total of 13 surveys have been conducted off the coast of Sarawak and the West Coast of Sabah since 1972 employing research vessels (Talib et al. paper no 6). In 1972, the trawl survey in the coastal waters off Sabah and Sarawak was conducted from 29 March to 1 May 1972. The survey area off the West Coast of Sabah covered about 20 209 km² while about 76 668 km² was surveyed off the coast of Sawarak waters (see Fig. 1). A total of 268 trawl stations/hauls were conducted between 10 - 60 m depth (see Fig. 2). Of this, 92 hauls were conducted off the West Coast of Sabah and 176 in Sarawak

^a www.tracc.00server.com/Fisheries/desstructive_fishing/sarawak_trawl_fisheries.html

^b www.tracc.00server.com/Fisheries/desstructive_fishing/fisheries_sabah.html

^c www.iczm.sabah.gov.my/Reports/Coastal%20Profile%20Sabah/ch11/11-FISHERIES.html

waters. Detailed descriptions of the survey and fishing operations are presented in (Latiff et al., 1976). The trawl surveys were carried out using two research stern trawlers, namely, KK Jehanak (Penyelidik I) and KK Merah (Penyelidik II). The research vessels have an overall length (LOA) of 23 m and a displacement of 85 GT. The vessels were powered by 325 hp and 365 hp diesel engines, respectively. The trawl gear used was a standard German type otter trawl with cod-end mesh size of 40 mm. At each fishing station, trawling was conducted for about 1 hour with a towing speed of 2.8 knots. Fishing was conducted only during day-time hours (between 6 am to 6 pm).



Fig. 1. Map of Sarawak and Sabah states showing the six sub-areas: Sub-areas I, II and III off the coast of Sarawak and Sub-areas IV, V and VI off the coast of Sabah.



Fig. 2. Distributions of sampling stations during the 1972 surveys off the coast of Sabah (a) and Sarawak (b) using K.K. JENAHAK/K.K. MERAH.

336 WorldFish Center

Modeling Approach

The trophic model for Sabah and Sarawak was constructed using the Ecopath with Ecosim (EwE) software following the approach described in Christensen et al. (2000). Ecopath has been used to model a wide variety of aquatic ecosystems (Christensen and Pauly 1993). It is also used to analyze trophic interactions and state variables (biomasses) derived from quantitative steady state models of aquatic systems (Christensen and Pauly 1992a; Christensen and Pauly 1992b).

Ecopath combines the method described by (Polovina 1984) for estimation of biomass and food consumption of the various elements (species or groups of species) of an aquatic ecosystem with an approach by (Ulanowicz 1986) for analysis of flows between the elements of ecosystems.

The basic assumption of Ecopath is that the ecosystem being analyzed is in steady-state, which means that the flows in and out of each component (box) must be balanced over the time period studied. This assumption results in a system of biomass budget using a set of simultaneous linear equations (one for each group *i*) expressed as:

Production by (i) - all predation on (i) non predation losses of (i) - export of (i) = 0

Another way of expressing the basic equation is:

$$P_i - B_i \cdot M2_i - P_i (1 - EE_i) - EX_i = 0$$
 (1)

where P_i = the production of i; B_i = the biomass of *i*; $M2_i$ = the predation mortality of *i*; EE_i = the ecotrophic efficiency of *i*, that is part of the production that is either passed up the trophic level or exported; 1- EE_i = 'other mortality'; and EX_i = the export of *i*.

A predator group is connected to its prey groups by its consumption (QBi). Thus equation (1) can be re-expressed as:

$$\mathbf{B}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{PB}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{EE}_{i} - \sum \mathbf{B}_{j} \cdot \mathbf{QB}_{j} \cdot \mathbf{DC}_{ji} - \mathbf{EX}_{i} = 0$$
(2)

where PB_i is the production/biomass ratio, QB_j is the consumption/biomass ratio of the predator (*j*), and Diet Composition (DC*ji*) is the fraction of prey (*i*) in the diet of predator (*j*).

Parameterization of the model calls for input of three of the following four parameters: B, P/B, Q/B and other mortality, for all groups of living organisms discerned in the model (Christensen and Pauly 1992a; Christensen et al. 2000). The fourth parameter is then calculated using a set of linear equations so as to ensure mass balance. For example, for any group (i), Ecotrophic Efficiency (EE) can be estimated if biomass (B) and production/biomass (PB) are known along with consumption/biomass (QB) and diet composition (DC) of all its predators. Input of fishery catches is also required. By using Ecopath with Ecosim software, all parameters are normalized to unit surface area using wet weights and expressing rates on an annual basis (Christensen et al. 2000).

Defining the Model Components

The model consisted of 29 ecological groups, i.e. 26 consumer groups, 2 producer (phytoplankton/ algae) groups and a detritus group. The taxonomic composition of the groups is listed in Table 1 (see also Appendix A). The species composition and biomass data from the trawl surveys in 1972 and catch/landing data were used to assign the species/ groups to the ecological groups. The aggregation process for this model was performed based on similarities in habitat, body size, growth and mortality rates and diet composition (Bundy and Pauly 2001). Such information (notably for fish) was mainly obtained from the FishBase database (Froese and Pauly 2000). Taxonomic groups with notable changes in abundance e.g. Lutjanidae, Balistidae (Silvestre 1990) were hence assigned to separate ecological groups for more detailed analysis using time series data. For some fish species (e.g. Leiognathidae, Nemipteridae), they were assigned to a separate ecological group because of their significant contribution to the fish catch as well as their relative abundance during the trawl survey.

Ecological Group	Representative Taxa
Large Predators	Carcharhinidae, Istiophoridae
Tuna	Scombridae (Tuna)
Large zoobenthos feeders	Dasyatidae, Rachycentridae
Intermediate predators	Ariidae, Centropomidae, Chirocentridae, Muraenesocidae, Plectorhynchidae, Plotosidae, Polynemidae, Pomadasyidae, Sphyraenidae, Trichiuridae
Lutjanids	Lutjanidae,
Serranids	Serranidae
Carangids	Carangidae (excluding trevally and scads)
Flatfishes/Soles	Psettodidae
Sciaenids	Sciaenidae
Small pelagics	Caesionidae, Carangidae (trevally), Carangidae (Scads), Hemiramphidae, Scombridae (mackerel)
Engraulids/Clupeids	Clupeidae, Engraulidae
Squids	Squids, Cephalopods
Demersal zoobenthos feeders	Bothidae, Centriscidae, Drepanidae, Formionidae, Gerridae, Lethtrinidae, Mugilidae, Scatophagidae, Siganidae, Sillaginidae, Stromatidae , Synodontidae, Theraponidae, Trash fish
Leiognathids	Leiognathidae
Mullids	Mullidae
Nemipterids	Nemipteridae
Balistids	Balistidae
Lactarids	Lactariidae
Reef Associated Fish	Scaridae, Labridae
Octopus/Sepia	Squids, Octopus
Crabs/Lobsters	Crabs, Lobsters
Shrimps	Penaeus spp.
Ecological group	Representative taxa
Small crustaceans	Sergestid shrimps, juvenile Penaeidae
Macrobenthos	Clams, Mollusc
Meiobenthos	-
Zooplankton	-
Macrobenthic algae	-
Phytoplankton	-
Detritus	-

Table 1. Taxonomic composition of the 29 ecological groups of the Sabah and Sarawak model.

Model Parameterization Biomass

The biomass of the demersal fish groups was obtained from the trawl surveys conducted in the coastal waters off Sabah and Sarawak in 1972 (Latiff et al. 1976). Biomass (B) was estimated using the "swept-area" method (Pauly 1984) with the following formula:

$$B = \frac{C/f \cdot A}{a \cdot x_1}$$

where B = biomass, C/f = mean cpue, A = total survey area, a = swept area, and x_1 = proportion of fish in path of gear retained in net (0.5 in Southeast Asian waters).

The swept area is defined by:

$$a = t \cdot v \cdot h \cdot x_{2}$$

where t = time spent in trawling, v = trawling velocity, h = length of trawl's headrope, and x_2 = fraction of area swept over length of headrope (0.5 in Southeast Asian waters).

The biomass values from the trawl surveys (particularly for the demersal species/taxa) were used as input data and also as the basis for aggregation of the species according to ecological groups (see Table 1).

Other Parameters

Values for most of the other input parameters were taken from the existing Ecopath models e.g. Brunei Darussalam coastal waters (Silvestre et al. 1993) and East Coast Malaysia (Liew and Chan 1987). Various published models on marine systems in (Christensen and Pauly 1993) were also used as reference. Estimates of total mortality (Z) (Silvestre et al. 1993) for representative species of the various fish groups were used as first approximations of the P/B ratios. P/B ratios for the invertebrate and consumer groups were based on turnover rates reported in the literature Christensen and Pauly (1993). Input parameters for phytoplankton and detritus were derived from Silvestre et al. (1993). The basic input parameters are summarized in Table 2.

Fishery Catch

Catch data for the various species/groups were taken from (Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries (MAF) 1972). In 1972, the total fish catch was estimated at 1.328 t·km⁻²·yr⁻¹ and 0.211 t·km⁻²·yr⁻¹ for Sabah and Sarawak, respectively. It is interesting to note that demersal zoobenthos feeders, intermediate predators and shrimps are the main groups that provide substantial contribution to fish catch in the study areas (see Table 2 a and b). To arrive at these values, the total landings reported in the annual statistics in 1972 were divided by the total area of the coastal waters covered by the model.

Diet Composition

Data for diet compositions were taken from gut content studies in FishBase (http://www.fishbase. org) and from published Ecopath models (Liew and Chan 1987; Silvestre et al. 1993). Other references used include: (Abitia-Cardenas et al. 1999; Blaber et al. 1990; de Lestang et al. 2000; Platell and Potter 2001; Salini et al. 1994; Velasco et al. 2001). The diet composition data used for model construction is given in Table 3.

Table 2a	. Basic input	parameters u	used in cor	nstructing th	e Ecopath	model for Sarawa	k.

Ecological Group	Biomass (t·km ⁻² ·year ⁻¹)	P/B (year⁻¹)	Q∕B (year ¹)	EE	Catch (t·km ⁻² ·year ⁻¹)
Large predators	0.11	-	9.50	0.50	0.010
Tuna	-	2.00	11.64	0.50	0.011
Large zoobenthos feeders	0.16	0.40	6.50	-	0.006
Intermediate predators	-	1.74	8.70	0.95	0.027
Lutjanids	0.19	-	8.70	0.95	0.002
Serranids	-	1.74	8.70	0.95	0.002
Carangids	-	2.07	8.70	0.95	0.004
Flatfishes/Soles	-	0.85	8.70	0.95	0.001
Sciaenids	0.08	-	8.70	0.95	0.009
Small pelagics	-	2.37	7.90	0.95	0.004
Engraulids/Clupeids	-	2.70	7.90	0.95	0.015
Squids	-	2.05	7.90	0.95	0.004
Demersal zoobenthos feeders	-	2.15	10.75	0.95	0.061
Leiognathids	0.85	-	10.75	0.95	0.006
Mullids	0.44	-	10.75	0.95	0.003
Nemipterids	0.16	-	10.75	0.95	0.001
Balistids	-	2.15	10.75	0.95	0.000
Lactarids	-	2.15	10.75	0.95	0.000
Reef associated fish	-	1.50	7.55	0.95	0.000
Octopus/Sepia	-	3.00	12.50	0.95	0.004
Crabs/Lobsters	-	4.00	21.90	0.95	0.002
Shrimps	-	4.00	21.90	0.95	0.034
Small Crustaceans	-	62.00	310.00	0.95	0.001
Macrobenthos	-	6.80	27.40	0.95	0.000
Meiobenthos	-	10.00	50.00	0.95	0.000
Zooplankton	-	67.00	280.00	0.95	0.000
Macrobenthic flora	-	15.35	-	0.03	0.000
Phytoplankton	-	71.20	-	.0500	0.000
Detritus	120.00				0.000

Table 2b. Basic input parameter	rs used in constructing	the Ecopath m	odel for Sabah

Ecological Group	Biomass (t·km ⁻² ·year ⁻¹)	P/B (year⁻¹)	Q∕B (year⁻¹)	EE	Catch (t·km ⁻² ·year ⁻¹)
Large predators	0.23	-	9.50	0.50	0.06
Tuna	-	2.00	11.64	0.50	0.03
Large zoobenthos feeders	0.15	0.40	6.50	_	0.03
Intermediate predators	-	1.74	8.70	0.95	0.17
Lutjanids	0.05	-	8.70	0.95	0.01
Serranids	-	1.74	8.70	0.95	0.01
Carangids	-	2.07	8.70	0.95	0.02
Flatfishes/Soles	-	0.85	8.70	0.95	0.05
Sciaenids	0.31	-	8.70	0.95	0.06
Small pelagics	-	2.37	7.90	0.95	0.02
Engraulids/Clupeids	-	2.70	7.90	0.95	0.09
Squids	-	2.05	7.90	0.95	0.02
Demersal zoobenthos feeders	-	2.15	10.75	0.95	0.38
Leiognathids	1.99	-	10.75	0.95	0.08
Mullids	1.26	-	10.75	0.95	0.02
Nemipterids	0.57	-	10.75	0.95	< 0.01
Balistids	-	2.15	10.75	0.95	< 0.01
Lactarids	-	2.15	10.75	0.95	< 0.01
Reef associated fish	-	1.50	7.55	0.95	< 0.01
Octopus/Sepia	-	3.00	12.50	0.95	0.02
Crabs/Lobsters	-	4.00	21.90	0.95	0.01
Shrimps	-	4.00	21.90	0.95	0.22
Small Crustaceans	-	62.00	310.00	0.95	< 0.01
Macrobenthos	-	6.80	27.40	0.95	< 0.01
Meiobenthos	-	10.00	50.00	0.95	0.00
Zooplankton	-	67.00	280.00	0.95	0.00
Macrobenthos flora	-	15.35	-	0.03	0.00
Phytoplankton	-	71.20	-	0.50	0.00
Detritus	120.00	_	-	_	0.00

3	26	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	0.05	I	0.70	0.25	1.00
1	22	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	1	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	0.05	I	0.05	0.90	1.00
:	24	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	1	1	I	I	I	I	I	1	1	I	I	I	I	I	0.30	0.10	0.10	0.05	0.45	1.00
1	73	I	I	1	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	1	I	I	I	I	I	I	T	I	I	I	I	I	I	0.40	I	0.50	0.10	1.00
1	77	I	I	1	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	0.01	0.30	0.20	0.05	I	I	0.05	0.39	1.00
1	21	I	I	1	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	1	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	0.01	0.04	0.04	0.38	0.13	0.03	0.02	I	0.35	1.00
1	20	I	I	1	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	0.02	0.01	I	0.10	0.10	0.07	0.10	I	0.10	0.50	1.00
;	16	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	1	I	I	I	I	I	1	1	I	I	I	I	I	I	0.10	09.0	0.30	I	1.00
:	18	I	I	I	I	1	I	I	I	I	I	I	1	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	0.15	0.45	0.05	0.24	I	0.05	0.06	1.00
;	11	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	T	I	I	I	I	I	I	1	T	I	0.05	0.05	0.20	0.30	0.10	0.20	I	I	0.10	1.00
;	16	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	T	0.02	I	I	I	I	I	1	1	I	I	0.06	0.15	0.45	0.05	0.20	I	I	0.06	1.00
;	15	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	-	I	I	I	0.15	0.45	0.05	0.20	I	0.10	0.05	1.00
ator	14	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	1	I	I	I	I	I	I	1	1	I	I	I	0.15	0.46	0.05	0.24	I	0.05	0.05	1.00
Pred	13	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	1	1	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	1	I	I	I	0.15	0.25	0.30	0.20	I	I	0.04	1.00
:	12	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	0.01	1	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	0.15	0.15	0.10	I	0.44	I	0.10	0.05	1.00
:	Ξ	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	1	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	0.15	0.20	0.10	I	0.40	I	0.10	0.05	1.00
	2	I	I	I	I	1	1	I	I	I	0.01	I	1	0.01	0.10	0.01	0.01	I	0.01	1	I	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.10	I	0.50	I	0.20	I	1.00
4	6	I	I	I	0.01	1	1	1	I	0.02	I	0.03	1	0.05	I	I	I	I	1	1	I	0.10	0.15	0.20	0.30	0.05	0.05	I	I	0.04	1.00
4	~	I	I	I	I	1	1	I	I	I	I	I	0.05	0.15	0.05	0.05	0.05	I	0.05	I	I	I	I	0.10	0.25	0.15	I	I	I	0.10	1.00
Ŀ	-	I	I	I	0.01	1	1	1	I	I	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.15	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.02	I	0.10	0.05	I	0.02	1	I	I	I	I	1.00
_	9	I	I	I	I	1	1	1	I	I	0.05	0.05	1	0.20	0.05	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.05	0.10	I	0.10	0.05	I	0.05	I	I	I	I	I	1.00
ŀ	S	I	I	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0.05	1	1	0.30	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.15	0.10	0.02	0.02	0.01	I	I	I	I	1.00
	4	I	I	I	0.01	1	1	1	1	1	0.05	0.10	0.05	0.13	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.05	0.01	I	0.02	0.15	0.05	0.20	0.01	I	1	1	I	1.00
4	m	I	I	I	1	1	1	1	1	1	I	1	1	I	1	I	1	I	1	I	0.10	0.05	0.20	0.15	0.40	0.05	I	1	1	0.05	1.00
-	7	I	I	1	0.22	1	1	0.11	I	I	0.11	0.22	0.11	0.11	1	I	1	I	1	1	I	0.03	0.06	0.02	I	1	I	I	I	I	1.00
	-	I	1	1	0.20	'	1	0.10	0.02	0.10	0.20	0.10	0.10	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	I	1.00
1	Prey	1. Large predators	2. Tuna	3. Large zoobenthos feeders	4. International predators	5. Lutjanids	6. Serranids	7. Carangids	8. Flatfishes/Soles	9. Sciaenids	10. Small pelagics	11. Engraulids/Clupeids	12. Squids	13. Demersal zoobenthos feeder	14. Leiognathids	15. Mullids	16. Nemipterids	17. Balistids	18. Lactarids	19. Reef associated fish	20. Octopus/Sepia	21. Crabs/Lobsters	22. Shrimps	23. Small crustaceans	24. Macrobenthos	25. Meiobenthos	26. Zooplankton	27. Macrobenthic flora	28. Phytoplankton	29. Detritus	Sum

mherc nd to the analvsis. The Predat used in the Econath osition of the ecolonical Table 3. The diet

Results and Discussion Trophic Model

The basic estimates of the Ecopath model for the coastal fisheries off Sarawak are presented in Table 4a; Table 4b presents the parameters estimated for the trophic model of the West Coast of Sabah. The biomass values obtained from Ecopath when compared with estimates of fishery catches given in Table 2 (a & b) imply a relatively low exploitation level of fishery resources in 1972. Under the assumption of steady state conditions, estimates of total mortality (Z) are reasonable estimates of turn-over rates (P/B ratios). However, these values should be compared with independent fisheries assessments to confirm the estimates.

Fig. 3 presents a mixed trophic impact analysis for the Sarawak ecosystem. This analysis quantifies all direct and indirect trophic impacts (be it through predation or competition), and can be seen both as a sensitivity analysis (what groups are important), and as a measure of the groups and the fishing fleets relating to trophic importance. The figure also indicates that fishing fleets target mainly intermediate predators, carangids, demersal species groups (flatfishes/soles, sciaenids), small pelagics including engraulids/clupeids, and shrimps. The fleets have also shown negative impacts on large predators, tuna, large zoobenthos feeders, lutjanids and serranids since the fisheries are exploiting their prey.

It is interesting to note that a relatively higher phytoplankton biomass has been estimated for the Sabah Ecopath model compared to the Sarawak model. This trend is consistent with the generalization from the oceanographic study (SEAFDEC 2000) in the area, wherein relatively higher primary productivity values were obtained from the coastal waters off Sabah and Brunei Darussalam as compared to Sarawak waters. Primary production levels have an influence on fish abundance as well as biomass of fisheries resources in the study area.

Ecological Group	Trophic level	Biomass (t·km ⁻² ·year ⁻¹)	P/B (year⁻¹)	Q∕B (year⁻¹)	EE	Production/ Consumption
Large predators	4.4	(0.12)	0.26	(9.50)	(0.50)	0.03
Tuna	4.4	0.01	(2.00)	(11.64)	(0.50)	0.17
Large zoobenthos feeders	3.4	(0.16)	(0.40)	(6.50)	0.10	0.06
Intermediate predators	3.9	0.08	(1.74)	(8.70)	(0.95)	0.20
Lutjanids	4.0	(0.19)	0.01	(8.70)	(0.95)	< 0.01
Serranids	4.0	< 0.01	(1.74)	(8.70)	(0.95)	0.20
Carangids	4.1	0.06	(2.07)	(8.70)	(0.95)	0.24
Flatfishes/Soles	3.5	0.06	(0.85)	(8.70)	(0.95)	0.10
Sciaenids	3.5	(0.08)	1.76	(8.70)	(0.95)	0.20
Small pelagics	3.5	0.17	(2.37)	(7.90)	(0.95)	0.30
Engraulids/Clupeids	3.1	0.13	(2.70)	(7.90)	(0.95)	0.34
Squids	3.1	0.13	(2.05)	(7.90)	(0.95)	0.26
Demersal zoobenthos feeders	3.2	0.47	(2.15)	(10.75)	(0.95)	0.20
Leiognathids	3.2	(0.85)	0.36	(10.75)	(0.95)	0.03
Mullids	3.1	(0.44)	0.67	(10.75)	(0.95)	0.06
Nemipterids	3.3	(0.16)	1.92	(10.75)	(0.95)	0.18
Balistids	3.2	0.11	(2.15)	(10.75)	(0.95)	0.20
Lactarids	3.2	0.14	(2.15)	(10.75)	(0.95)	0.20
Reef associated fish	2.1	0.11	(1.50)	(7.55)	(0.95)	0.20
Octopus/Sepia	2.5	0.09	(3.00)	(12.50)	(0.95)	0.24
Crabs/Lobster	2.9	0.16	(4.00)	(21.90)	(0.95)	0.18
Shrimps	2.8	0.36	(4.00)	(21.90)	(0.95)	0.18
Small Crustaceans	2.4	0.12	(62.00)	(310 .90)	(0.95)	0.20
Macrobenthos	2.4	2.09	(6.80)	(27.40)	(0.95)	0.25
Meiobenthos	2.1	2.19	(10.00)	(50.00)	(0.95)	0.20
Zooplankton	2.1	0.66	(67.00)	(280.00)	(0.95)	0.24
Macrobenthic flora	1.0	13.64	(15.35)	-	(0.03)	-
Phytoplankton	1.0	4.45	(71.20)	-	(0.50)	-
Detritus	1.0	(120.00)	-	-	0.40	-

Table 4a. Input and output parameters (in parenthesis) of the Ecopath model for Sarawak, 1972.

Ecological Group	Trophic level	Biomass (t·km ⁻² ·year ⁻¹)	P/B (year⁻¹)	Q/B (year⁻¹)	EE	Production/ Consumption
Large predators	4.4	(0.23)	0.55	(9.50)	(0.50)	0.06
Tuna	4.4	0.03	(2.00)	(11.64)	(0.50)	0.17
Large zoobenthos feeders	3.4	(0.15)	(0.40)	(6.50)	0.48	0.06
Intermediate predators	3.9	0.25	(1.74)	(8.70)	(0.95)	0.20
Lutjanids	4.0	(0.05)	0.22	(8.70)	(0.95)	0.03
Serranids	4.0	0.01	(1.74)	(8.70)	(0.95)	0.20
Carangids	4.1	0.14	(2.07)	(8.70)	(0.95)	0.24
Flatfishes/Soles	3.5	0.19	(0.85)	(8.70)	(0.95)	0.10
Sciaenids	3.5	(0.31)	1.13	(8.70)	(0.95)	0.13
Small pelagics	3.0	0.32	(2.37)	(7.90)	(0.95)	0.30
Engraulids/Clupeids	3.1	0.34	(2.70)	(7.90)	(0.95)	0.34
Squids	3.1	0.34	(2.05)	(7.90)	(0.95)	0.26
Demersal zoobenthos feeders	3.2	0.80	(2.15)	(10.75)	(0.95)	0.20
Leiognathids	3.2	(1.99)	0.30	(10.75)	(0.95)	0.03
Mullids	3.1	(1.26)	0.43	(10.75)	(0.95)	0.04
Nemipterids	3.3	(0.57)	0.94	(10.75)	(0.95)	0.09
Balistids	3.2	0.16	(2.15)	(10.75)	(0.95)	0.20
Lactarids	3.2	0.24	(2.15)	(10.75)	(0.95)	0.20
Reef associated fish	2.1	0.13	(1.50)	(7.55)	(0.95)	0.20
Octopus/Sepia	2.5	0.10	(3.00)	(12.50)	(0.95)	0.24
Crabs/Lobster	2.9	0.22	(4.00)	(21.90)	(0.95)	0.18
Shrimps	2.8	0.79	(4.00)	(21.90)	(0.95)	0.18
Small Crustaceans	2.4	0.27	(62.00)	(310 .00)	(0.95)	0.20
Macrobenthos	2.4	4.75	(6.80)	(27.40)	(0.95)	0.25
Meiobenthos	2.1	4.85	(10.00)	(50.00)	(0.95)	0.20
Zooplankton	2.1	1.50	(67.00)	(280.00)	(0.95)	0.24
Macrobenthic flora	1.0	29.76	(15.35)	_	(0.03)	-
Phytoplankton	1.0	10.06	(71.20)	-	(0.50)	-
Detritus	1.0	(120.00)	-	-	-	-

Table 4b. Input and output parameters (in parenthesis) of the Ecopath model for Sabah, 1972.





The summary statistics of the trophic models for Sabah and Sarawak are presented in Table 5. Total throughput is estimated at 3 152 t•km⁻²·year⁻¹ for the trophic model for Sabah and 14 14 t•km⁻² ·year⁻¹ for Sarawak. Mean trophic level of the fishery is 3.33 and 3.38, respectively. Biomass estimates for the various trophic levels (excluding detritus) for Sabah is 59.8 t•km⁻², and 27.2 t•km⁻² for Sarawak. The relatively higher biomass in Sabah waters may justify the relatively higher fishery catches (see Table 2) compared to Sarawak. In addition, the sum of all production is also relatively higher in Sabah than Sarawak. It is interesting to note that the 1972 levels in biomass and production in these areas are comparable to the values obtained from the Ecopath model for Brunei Darussalam coastal fisheries (Silvestre et al. 1993), in which the ecosystem was considered as a system under a low level of fishing.

Other derived parameters indicate that the coastal ecosystem in the study areas is in a stage of development. These include: (1) a P/R ratio greater than 1; (2) relatively high net system production (Sabah: 605 t•km⁻² and Sarawak: 273 t•km⁻²); and (3) P/B ratio greater than 1. Moreover, these indicators may suggest that the system has been under a moderate level of exploitation. Generally this situation can drive development of the system back to earlier stages (Odum 1971).

Parameter	Sabah	Sarawak
Sum of all consumption (t·km ² ·year ⁻¹)	972.4	434.6
Sum of all exports (t•km ⁻² •year ⁻¹)	605.5	273.0
Sum of all respiratory flows (t-km ⁻² -year-1)	567.7	253.4
Sum of all flows into detritus (t•km ⁻² •year ⁻¹)	1 006.4	453.3
Total system throughput (t·km ⁻² ·year ⁻¹)	3 152.0	1 414.0
Sum of all production (t·km ⁻² ·year ⁻¹)	1 383.0	616.0
Calculated total net primary production (t-km ⁻² -year ⁻¹)	1 173.2	536.4
Net system production (t-km ⁻² -year ⁻¹)	605.5	273.0
Total primary production/total respiration	2.07	2.08
Total primary production/total biomass (year 1)	19.62	19.37
Total biomass/total throughput (year))0.02	0.02
Total biomass (excluding detritus) (t·km ⁻²)	59.8	27.2
Mean trophic level of the fisheries catch	3.33	3.38
Total catches (t•km ² •year ⁻¹)	1.32	0.21
Gross efficiency (catch/net primary production)	0.0011	0.0004
Connectance Index	0.27	0.27
System Omnivory Index	0.22	0.22

Table 5. Summary of ecosystem parameter values for Ecopath models.

It is suggested that these Ecopath models be utilized with time series information (e.g. catch data or CPUE) and that estimates (e.g. biomasses) be evaluated from fishery-independent surveys. As highlighted earlier, the Ecosim routine of the EwE software permits evaluation of the effects of changes in the ecosystem (such as a change in the fishery and any other measurable change such as nutrient loading or pollution) to be accurately simulated and the outcome predicted. In addition, the models can be used for temporal analysis and in understanding the declines in biomass and the associated changes in species composition due to the increased intensity of fishing from 1972 to the present.

References

Abitia-Cardenas, L.A., F. Galvan-Magaña, F.J. Guitierrez-Sanchez, J. Rodriguez-Romero, B. Aguilar-Palomino and A. Moehl-Hitz. 1999. Diet of blue marlin *Makaira mazara* off the coast of Cabo San Lucas, Baja California Sur, Mexico. Fisheries Research 44 : 95 - 100.

Blaber, S.J.M., D.A. Milton and N.J.F. Rawlinson. 1990. Diets of lagoon fishes of the Solomon Islands: Predators of tuna baitfish and trophic effects of baitfishing on the subsistence fishery. Fisheries Research 8 : 263 - 286.

- Bundy, A. and D. Pauly. 2001. Selective harvesting by small scale fisheries: ecosystem analysis of San Miguel Bay, Philippines. Fisheries Research 53 : 263 - 281.
- Christensen, V. 1998. Fishery-induced changes in marine ecosystem: insight from models of the Gulf of Thailand. Journal of Fish Biology 53(Supplement A): 128 - 142.
- Christensen, V. and D. Pauly. 1992a. A guide to the ECOPATH software system (version 2.1). ICLARM Software 6, Manila, Philippines.
- Christensen, V. and D. Pauly. 1992b. ECOPATH II- A software for balancing steady-state ecosystem models and calculating network characteristics. Ecological Modelling 61 : 169 - 185.
- Christensen, V. and D. Pauly. 1993. Trophic models of aquatic ecosystems. ICLARM Conference Proceedings 26, p. 390, Manila, Philippines.
- Christensen, V., C.J. Walters and D. Pauly. 2000. Ecopath with Ecosim: A User's Guide Fisheries Centre, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada. ICLARM, Penang, Malaysia.

- de Lestang, S., M.E. Platell and I.C. Potter. 2000. Dietary composition of the blue swimmer crab *Portunus pelagicus* L. Does it vary with body size and shell state and between estuaries? Journal Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 246 : 2241 - 2257.
- DOF (Department of Fisheries). 1999. Annual Fisheries Statistics 1999 Department of Fisheries, Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. Froese, R. and D. Pauly. 2000. FishBase [Online]. Available by World Wide Web electronic publication. www.fishbase.org.
- Froese, R. and D. Pauly. 2000. FishBase 2000: Concepts, design and data sources. ICLARM, Los Baños, Laguna, Philipines. 344p.
- Latiff, M.S.S.A., W. Weber, A.K. Lee and W.C. Lam. 1976. Demersal fish resources in Malaysian waters-6. First Trawl Survey off the Coastal of Sarawak, Brunei and the west Coast of Sabah (29 March to 1 May 1972). Fisheries Bulletin No.8. Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development, Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Liew, H.C. and E.H. Chan. 1987. ECOPATH model of a tropical shallow-water community in Malaysia. International Development Research Centre. Unpublished, Singapore
- MAF (Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries). 1972. Annual Fisheries Statistics Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries, Fisheries Division, Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Odum, E.P. 1971. Fundamentals of ecology. 3rd edition W.B. Saunders, Philadelphia.
- Pauly, D. 1984. Fish Population dynamics in tropical waters: a manual for use with programmable calculators ICLARM Studies and Review 8, Manila, Philippines.
- Pauly, D. 1996. Biodiversity and the retrospective analysis of demersal trawl surveys: a programmatic approach, p. 1 - 6. *In*D. Pauly and P. Martosubroto, eds. Baseline studies of biodiversity: the fish resources of Western Indonesia. ICLARM Studies Review 23, 312 p., Manila, Philippines.
- Platell, M.E. and I.C. Potter. 2001. Partitioning of food resources among 18 abundant benthic carnivorous fish species in marine waters on the lower coast of Australia. Journal Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 261 : 31 - 54.
- Polovina, J.J. 1984. Model of a coral reef ecosystems I. The ECOPATH model and its application to French Frigate Shoal. Coral Reefs 3:1-11.Salini, J.P., S.J.M. Blaber and D.T. Brewer. 1994. Diets of trawled predatory fish of the Gulf of Carpentaria, Australia, with particular reference to predation on prawns. Australia Journal of Freshwater & Marine research 45 : 397 - 411.

- Salini. J.P., S.J.M. Blaber and D.T. Brewer 1994. Diets of predatory fish of the gulf of Carpentania, Austrialia, with particular reference to predation on prawns. Australia Journal of Freshwater & Marine research 45 : 397 - 411.
- SEAFDEC. 2000. Highlights of the SEAFDEC interdepartmental collaborative research program on fishery resources in the South China Sea, Area II: Waters of Sabah, Sarawak (Malaysia) and Brunei Darussalam. Special Paper no. SEC/SP/40. Southeast Asian Fisheries Development Center, Bangkok, Thailand.
- Silvestre, G.T. 1990. Overexploitation of the demersal stocks of Lingayen Gulf, Philippines, p. 873 - 876. *In* R. Hirano and I. Hanyu (eds.) The second Asian Fisheries Forum, 991p. Asian Fisheries Society, Manila, Philippines.
- Silvestre, G.T., S. Selvanathan and A.H.M. Salleh. 1993. Preliminary trophic model of the coastal fisheries resources of Brunei Darussalam, South China Sea, p. 300 - 306. *In* V. Christensen and D. Pauly, eds. Trophic models of aquatic ecosystems. ICLARM Conference Proceedings 26, 390 p., Manila, Philippines.
- Ulanowicz, R.E. 1986. Growth and development: Ecosystem phenomenology Springer- Verlag, New York, USA.
- Velasco, F., I. Olaso and F. Sanchez. 2001. The role of cephalopods as forage for the demersal fish community in the southern Bay of Biscay. Fisheries Research 52: 65 - 77.

Ecological Group	Local name	English name	Family				
Large Predators Tuna	Yu Mersuji Aya/Tongkol Tenggiri	Grey reef shark Black marlin Longtail tuna Indo-Pacific Spanish mackerel/Spotted Spanish mackerel	Carcharhinidae Istiophoridae Scombridae Scombridae				
Large zoobenthos feeders	Pari Aruan tasik	Pale-edged ray Black kingfish	Dasyatidae Rachycentridae				
Intermediate predators	Siakap Duri/Pulutan Duri/Jahan Pedukang Malong Kaci Semilang Kurau Ikan bulu Parang Timah Gerut-gerut Alu-alu	ap Barramundi/Giant seaperch /Pulutan Engraved catfish /Jahan Giant catfish Jakang Sea catfish Dagger tooth pike conger/Silver conger eel Painted sweetlips ilang Canine catfish eel nu Fourfinger threadfin bulu Blackhand paradise fish ing Dorab wolf-herring ah Hairtail ut-gerut Lined silver grunter					
Lutjanids	Merah Jenahak Kerisi bali Remong	Malabar red snapper John's snapper/Giant snapper Sharptooth snapper Bigeye snapper	Lutjanidae Lutjanidae Lutjanidae Lutjanidae				
Serranids	Kerapu	Six-banded grouper	Serranidae				
Carangids	Bulan Cermin Cincaru Demudok Jamah Pisang-pisang Selunsong Talang	Indo-Pacific tarpon Horse mackerel/Malabar cavalla Hardtail scad Blue trevally Bigeye trevally Rainbow runner Trevally Queenfish/Slender leatherskin	Carangidae Carangidae Carangidae Carangidae Carangidae Carangidae Carangidae Carangidae Carangidae				

Appendix A. Taxonomic composition of the 29 ecological groups of the Sabah and Sarawak Ecopath models.

Ecological Group	Local name	English name	Family				
Flatfishes/Soles	Lidah/Sebelah	Tongue soles	Psettodidae				
Sciaenids	Gelama Panjang/Terusan	Sin croaker Croaker	Sciaenidae Sciaenidae				
Small pelagics	Delah Pelata/Selar Selar kuning Selayang Jolong-jolong Kembong	Fusilier Herring trevally Yellowbanded scad Round scad Halfbeak Short bodied mackerel	Caesionidae Carangidae Carangidae Carangidae Hemiramphidae Scombridae				
Engraulids/Clupeids	Kebasi Puput Terubuk Empirit Tamban Bilis Bulu ayam Impirang	Chacunda gizzard shad Slender shad/Elongate illisha Toli shad Longtail shad Fringescale sardinella Shorthead anchovy Grenadier anchovy Scaly hairfin anchovy	Clupeidae Clupeidae Clupeidae Clupeidae Clupeidae Engraulidae Engraulidae Engraulidae				
Squids	Sotong biasa Sotong kereta/katak/ biasa	Squid Cephalopods					
Demersal zoobenthos feeders	Daun baharu Dengkis Bulus Mengkerong Lumi Sebelah Kerong-kerong Pelandok Belanak Bawal/Kilat Dueh/Bawal putih Dueh/Bawal putih Dueh/Bawal hitam Dueh/Bawal hitam Dueh/Bawal tambak Kitang Ikan baja Ikan campur Kekapas/Kapas Kering/Lidi	Spotted sicklefish Rabbitfish Silver whiting Greater lizardfish Bombay duck Malayan flounder Large scale therapon Emperor Large scale mullet Pomfret Silver pomfret Black pomfret Black pomfret Chinese pomfret Spotted scad Trash fish Mixed fish Mojarra (long-rayed) Razorfish	Drepanidae Siganidae Sillaginidae Synodontidae Bothidae Theraponidae Lethrinidae Mugilidae Stromatidae Stromatidae Formionidae Stromatidae Stromatidae Trash fish Mixed fish Gerridae Centriscidae				
Leiognathids	Kikek Ikan baja	Common ponyfish Trash fish (~20%)	Leiognathidae Trash fish				
Mullids	Biji nangka	Goatfishes	Mullidae				
Nemipterids	Kerisi Pasir	Japanese threadfin breams Monocle bream	Nemipteridae Nemipteridae				
Balistids	Jebong	Stary triggerfish	Balistidae				
Lactarids	Shrumbu	False trevally	Lactariidae				

Appendix A. Taxonomic composition of the 29 ecological groups of the Sabah and Sarawak Ecopath models. (continued)

Ecological Group	Local name	English name	Family
Reef Associated Fish	Bayan/Perenchong Pelayak	Parrot fish Ornate wrasse	Scaridae Labridae
Octpus/Sepia	Sotong kereta Sotong katak	Octopus Bobfins squid	
Crabs/Lobsters	Ketam Ketam suri Ketam laut Udang karang	Crab Crab Crab Lobster	
Shrimps	Udang besar Udang sedang Udang kecil Uidang putih Udang minyak Udang merah ros Udang kulit keras Udang harimau	Big prawn Medium prawn Small prawn Banana prawn Greasyback prawn Pink prawn Rainbow prawn Tiger prawn	- - - -
Small crustaceans	Lain-lain udang/ Udang baring Udang penaeid/ baring	Other prawn/Sergesiid prawn Penaeid prawn/Sergesiid prawn	-
Macrobenthos	Siput Kerang Tokoyong Ambai Ramin Lokan	Shell Clams Mollusc Mollusc Mollusc Mollusc	
Meiobenthos	-	-	-
Zooplankton	-	-	-
Macrobenthic algae	-	-	-
Phytoplankton	-	-	-
Detritus	-	-	-

Appendix A. Taxonomic composition of the 29 ecological groups of the Sabah and Sarawak Ecopath models. (continued)