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## ESSENTIAL INDICATOR FACT

## SHEET

| No. | FtF indicators |  |  | Outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Gross margin per unit of land, kilogram, or animal of selected product (rice, horticulture, fisheries production) | Fish | \$/hectare | 805 |
|  |  |  | \$/Mt | 373 |
|  |  | Shrimp | \$/hectare | 564 |
|  |  |  | \$/Mt | 2453 |
|  |  | Horticulture | \$/hectare | 1645 |
|  |  |  | \$/Mt | 187 |
| 2 | Number of jobs attributed to FTF implementation (Permanent job of 90units) |  |  | 542 |
| 3 | Number of hectares under improved technologies or management practices as a result of USG assistance (Hectare) |  |  |  |
| 4 | Number of rural households benefitting directly from USG interventions |  |  |  |
| 5 | Value of incremental sales (collected at farm-level) attributed to FTF implementation |  |  | - |
| 6 | Number of farmers and others who have applied new technologies or management practices as a result of USG assistance |  |  |  |
| 7 | Number of individuals who have received USG supported short-term agricultural sector productivity or food security training |  |  |  |
| 8 | Value of new private sector investment in the agriculture sector or food chain leveraged by FTF implementation (US \$ Million) (Fixed cost only) |  |  | 1.13 |
| 9 | Number of public-private partnerships formed as a result of FTF assistance |  |  |  |
| 10 | Total value of sales increased of USG assisted businesses |  |  |  |
| 11 | Yields of rice, fish (fish/shrimp) and other major crops (Mt/hectare) |  | Total fish | 1.32 |
|  |  |  | Shrimp | 0.23 |
| 12 | Increased value of crop (rice, maize, horticulture, fisheries etc.) production (in million USD) |  | Fish and Shrimp | 6.41 |
|  |  |  | Fish pond | 1.30 |
|  |  |  | Shrimp | 4.98 |
|  |  |  | Horticultur e | 0.23 |
| 13 | Number of children under five reached by USG-supported nutrition programs |  |  |  |
| 14 | Numbers of Policies/Regulations/Administrative Procedures in each of the following stages of development as a result of USG assistance in each case: |  |  |  |
| 16 | Percentage of HHs increased fish intake (small nutrient dense fish and other fish) per day (Kg) |  |  | 1.6 |
| 17 | Prevalence of households with moderate and/or severe hunger |  | Moderate | 1.5\% |
|  |  |  | Severe | 1.8\% |
| 18 | Prevalence of exclusive breastfeeding under 6 months of age |  |  | 52.5\% |
| 19 | Children 6-23 months of age receiving a minimum acceptable diet |  |  | 8.2\% |
| 20 | Women's dietary diversity (expanded to show more on large and small fish consumption) |  |  | 87.0\% |
| 21 | Other need based indicators will be considered in the proposal considering the M\&E needs |  |  | - |

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATION 

## 1. Background and Objectives

The World Fish Center, in collaboration with the GOB and USAID, has been implementing the FtF Aquaculture Project since October 2011 with a view to meet the government and FtF goals to sustainably reduce poverty and hunger. The project is funded by the USAID FtF initiative and covers a 5-year intervention in aquaculture focused on 20 southern districts in of the country. The project has four major objectives: (a) dissemination of improved quality fish and shrimp seed, (b) improving the nutrition and income status of farm households, (c) increasing investment, employment and fish production through commercial aquaculture, and (d) policy and regulatory reform and institutional capacity building to support sustainable aquaculture growth.

In order to achieve the objectives the project supports four major interventions: (a) supplies improved quality brood fish to public and private hatcheries which together with technical support for fish and shrimp and nursery management will lay the foundation for maintaining high quality seed production; (b) partners with other USAID supported projects to increase household incomes and nutrition; (c) works in commercial aquaculture to stimulate investment, employment, incomes and productivity including culture of brackish water commercial species that are resilient to salinity, water abstraction and climate change; (d) works with the GOB to assist with implementing existing policy and regulatory measures in the Hatchery and Fish Feed Acts in order to long term continuity and impact of investments involving institutional capacity building and expanding linkages between GOB as well as private sector associations and businesses. World Fish focuses on introducing income enhancing aquaculture technologies into the existing livelihood programs though training, demonstration and communication programs and nutrition education and promotion of nutritionally rich and income boosting vegetables production.

The project will be implemented in three phases. Phase 1 covers the first 18 months, phase 2 covers a total of 36 months and phase 3 covers all 60 months. The targets and results framework for each phase is specified. It targets to reach (a) 766,922 households in phase 1 extending to 971,525 in phase 2 and 1,172,933 in phase 3; (b) targets to cover 100,939 pond hectares in phase 1 extending to 148,398 ha in phase 2 and 206,550 ha in phase 3; (c) targets to increase fish, shrimp and vegetable production to 21,726 metric tons in phase 1 extending to 57,714 in phase 2 and 105,035 in
phase 3; (d) targets to produce additional value of US\$ 42 million in phase 1 extending to 147 million in phase 2 and 354 million in phase 3 ; and (e) targets to increase employment of 10,000 work days in phase 1 extending to 50,000 in phase 2 and 75,000 in phase 3.

## 2. Methodology

The present baseline survey is intended to inform the follow-up and endline surveys for impact evaluation and allow project administrators for adaptive management and course adjustments. Specifically the survey interviewed 991 household aquaculture farms, 401 commercial fish culture farms, 570 commercial shrimp culture farms, 97 cage culture farms, 77 fish nursery, 30 fish and 7 shrimp hatcheries, 10 focus groups and 50 key informants to (a) describe the project indicators and M\&E plan, (b) provide data to measure future impacts, plan future interventions and for advocacy; (c) provide inputs link to production economics and output; cost benefit analysis; and knowledge, attitude and practice of existing farms; (d) define households who needed quality seeds and service delivery points; and (e) identify control farms to track changes periodically; and (f) recommend performance monitoring tools and system for tracking hatchery and nursery business growth considering the baseline status.

## 3. Findings of the Survey

### 3.1. Household Aquaculture

Nearly two-thirds of the sample households were headed by male. Average size of the households was 4.6 members and most of the farmers were over 25 years of age and had some school education. On the average they had over a decade of involvement in pond fish culture and nearly one-third of them received one or more training in fish cultivation in the last three years of the survey.

Nearly 97\% of the households owned a homestead and average homestead area was 23 decimals. Over half the households did not cultivate a homestead vegetable garden. Average area cultivated by per household was 7 . Nearly half of the households owned homestead tree area and one-quarter had over 5 decimals.

On an average a household earned income from 4.4 sources and average monthly income of the households was Tk. 12594. Aquaculture, crops and vegetables, and business were the most important sources of income of the households.

Average number of fish ponds cultivated by a household was 2 and average water area cultivated by a household was 95 decimals. Average pond size was 16 decimals. Average water depth in the ponds was 5.4 ft in the culture season but water retained in the ponds for fish culture throughout the year. Most of the ponds were singly owned by the households; in case of jointly owned and jointly leased ponds the average number of owners was 3 . Most of the ponds had loamy and clay soil On an average nearly $30 \%$ of the water area was shaded by trees and the average age of the ponds was 22 years.

Average cash cost of fish culture was Tk. 464 per decimal and average return was Tk. 722. On an average a farmer got gross return Tk. 258 per decimal and 63,726 per hectare . Around $54 \%$ of the product was consumed by the farmers and $36 \%$ sold.

Patilwala/Faria (fish vendors) was the predominant source of fish seeds distantly followed by private nursery and neighbors of the farmers. One in twenty farmers collected common carp seeds from hatchery and self raised seeds was one of the least common source to them.

## Costs and Returns of Dike Vegetable Cultivation

Over the regions a quarter of the households cultivated dike in the year before the survey and the average size of the dike were 4 decimal per household. Almost all the labors used in the gardens were unpaid household labor and nearly three-fifths of the labors were females. Three-quarters of the households used inorganic fertilizers, two-thirds used manures and one-quarter used pesticides in home gardening.

The average cash cost of dike cultivation was Tk. 93 per decimal and the average return was Tk. 626 per decimal. On the average a farmer got gross return per decimal was over cash cost was Tk. 533 and average per household return was Tk. 3,145. Over the regions two-fifths of the households practiced home gardening in the year before the survey and
the average size of the gardens was 7 decimal per household.
Almost all the labors used in the gardens were unpaid household labor and nearly threefifths of the labors were females. Average cash cost of home gardening was Tk. 82 per decimal and average return was Tk. 620. On an average a farmer got gross return over cash cost was Tk. 537 per decimal and average return per family was Tk. 3712 only. Nearly half of the garden outputs were consumed in the households, two-fifths were sold in the market and the rest was distributed to others as gifts.

Two-thirds to three-quarters of the farmers knew about the improved technologies of liming and weed control for better fish cultivation but a quarter to half of them knew about the other technologies. Most of the farmers who had the knowledge of testing natural feed adequacy in water, species selection, weed control, liming, growth monitoring and post harvest fish handling practiced the technologies On the average from each farmer knowledge of a particular technology was disseminated to 3-4 other farmers across the upazilas.

## Household Decision Making in Fish Culture

Half the times farmers themselves took all the decisions on various aspects of fish cultivation and nearly one-third to half the times they took the decisions jointly with the other male and female members of the household.

## Nutritional Status

## Household Hunger

Household hunger score was estimated using the three generic questions formulated and validated in the Fanta 2 project. Using this approach almost all the fish farmers had little or no food hunger in the households (3.38).

## Women's Dietary Diversity

Most of the women ate grains, roots or tubers, animal protein and fruits and vegetables, some half to two-thirds ate legumes and vitamin A rich dark green leafy vegetables, and a quarter to two-fifths ate the other food groups including eggs, dairy products and other vitamin A rich fruits and vegetables on the day before the survey. Overall, four-fifths of the women ate four or more food groups which is regarded to provide adequate nutritional diversity and their diet was nutritionally adequate. On the other hand diet of some onefifths of the women was not nutritionally adequate (3.39).

## Nutritional Status of Children 6-23 Months Old

Almost all the children were fed colostrums and none was never breastfed. Three-fifths of the children were initiated breastfeeding immediately after birth. Nearly half the children were exclusively breastfed for six months but a fifth of them were introduced complementary feeding right after six months. A quarter of those who were given complementary foods right after six months were given solids, semisolids or soft foods and most of them were continued breastfeeding along with complementary feeding. Half the children were fed supplementary foods four times or more in the last 24 hours of the survey and most of them were fed foods from four or more food groups.

## Children's Dietary Diversity

The study observed 80 children aged $6-23$ months across the regions. Nearly threequarters of the children ate grains, roots or tubers and some two-thirds ate fruits and vegetables. Two-fifths ate vitamin A rich vegetables and fruits but fewer ate the other food groups including legumes, dairy products and eggs on the day before the survey. Overall, two-thirds of the children ate four food groups which is regarded to provide adequate nutritional diversity and their diet was nutritionally adequate. On the other hand diet of over one-third of the children was not nutritionally adequate.

### 3.2. Commercial Fish

Average number of fish ponds cultivated by per household was 3.2 and some two-third of the households cultivated over 2 ghers/ponds. Average water area cultivated by per household was 183 decimal and it ranges from 4 decimals to 3000 decimals. More than $25 \%$ of the farmer leased-in the pond

Average area cultivated by per household was 182 decimals and nearly $10 \%$ households leased-in and $20 \%$ leased-out their land. Nearly $90 \%$ of the households owned a homestead garden. Average homestead area was 28 decimals and around half of the households had an area of 20 decimals or less. Over half the households had a homestead vegetable garden. Nearly half of the households owned homestead trees. One-quarter had trees over 5 decimals of land and average area of homestead trees was 15 decimal.

On an average a household earned income from more than 4.7 sources and average monthly income of the households was Tk 4,498. Aquaculture was found the major sources of income and it contributed more than $40 \%$ of the income /
More than $75 \%$ of the ghers were singly owned by the households. Average area of pond was around 60 decimals of which 50 decimals was water area Average water depth was 2.7 ft in the culture season but average water retained period in the ponds for fish culture was 4.7 months. On the average nearly $18 \%$ of the water area was shaded by trees and average age of the ponds was 10 years.

Patilwala/Faria (fish vendors) was the predominant source of fish seeds distantly followed by private nursery other sources for almost all the fishes. Only 20\% of the farmers collected katla from the wild source. A good number of farmers (22\%) collected fish seed of Mola/Dhela/Tengra from other farmers.

Gross return per hectare of aquaculture at commercial level was Tk. 3,58,644 and cash cost was Tk.2,93,844, so gross margin per hectare was Tk.64,800. Benefit-cost ratio over the cash cost was 1.22..

Most of the farmers were found had the knowledge of weed control (84\%) and liming (76\%). Half of the farmers knew testing natural feed adequacy in water, species selection, supplementary feeding, growth monitoring, post-harvest handling and use of quality seeds. Most of the farmers who had knowledge they were practicing the technologies.

Major cause of not pract icing the technologies was lack of seriousness about it. On the average from each farmer knowledge of a technology was disseminated to 3-4 other
farmers across the upazilas.

### 3.3. Commercial Shrimp Culture

All the respondents were shrimp farmers and of them $93 \%$ were male. Most of the farmers were 25 to 54 years of age.Educational level of one-third of the shrimp farmers below primary level, $27 \%$ of them passed primary level and $24 \%$ had SSC or HSC certificate and $5.8 \%$ had education more than HSC. So, the educational level of the shrimp farmers better than the national average. Out of 570 respondents $40 \%$ received training on fish shrimp culture during last three years, among them $69 \%$ received the training once and $30 \%$ twice.

Average number of fish ghers cultivated by per household was 2.6 and one-third of the household's cultivated more than 2 ghers. Average water area of the cultivated gher was 195 decimals. Around $60 \%$ leased-in and $50 \%$ leased-out their ghers. Average areas of leased-in and leased-out ghers were 173 and 149 decimals, respectively. It indicates that the same farmer leased-in and leased-out their land for possibly for convenient of prepare and other management of the ghers for the shrimp cultivation.

Earning income from more than one source was common among the households. On an average a household earned income from 4.2 sources and average monthly income of the households was Tk.1, 90,463. All the households either involve in aquaculture or other fisheries activities. Highest income (55\%) of these households' was aquaculture and average income from this activity per family was Tk.1, 09,255. These households are involved in shrimp culture and their major income derived from this source.

Most of the ghers (85\%) were singly owned by the households. Soil characteristics of the majority (65\%) of the ghers either sandy loam or clay loam. Average gher area was 105 decimals of which water area was 89 decimals and the average dike area was 15.5 decimals. Average water depth in the ghers was one meter in the culture season but water retained in the gher for fish shrimp cuture for 8.6 months. Average age of the ghers was 11.6 years.

More than $72 \%$ of the farmers cultivate Bagda and Golda. Around $18 \%$ cultivated Harina/Chali shrimp and $14 \%$ cultivated carp fish in the shrimp gher. Average cash cost of fish culture was Tk. 54, 340 per hectare and return was Tk 99,460. On an average a farmer got gross margin of Tk. 45,120 and Benefit-Cost Ratio was 1.83..

Nearly $70 \%$ of the product was sold in the market and very few Galda and Bagda was consumed. However, around $18 \%$ of the Harina/Chali was consumed by the farmers themselves. A very few amount was distributed to the relatives or friends as gift.

Main sources of Bagda were hatchery, around 20\% were collected from natural source and around $90 \%$ of Golda was collected from hatchery. However, Harina/Chali was collected from natural sources only.

More than $70 \%$ of the farmers knew about the improved technologies of liming and weed control for better fish cultivation. Around half of them knew testing natural feed adequacy
in water, species selection, supplementary feeding, growth monitoring, use of quality seeds and feed application procedures. Quater of the faremrs knew other technologies. Most of the farmers who knew the technologies practiced them.

In general lack of seriousness in adopting a particular technology by the farmers was the major reason for not practicing in culture followed by 'Lack of enough knowledge' and 'lack of capital' .On the average from each farmer knowledge about a technology was disseminated to 3-4 other farmers across the upazilas.

### 3.4. Nursery

## Nursery Complex

Most of the nurseries were not well equipped with the facilities needed for a nursery its smooth operation. Physical infrastructure like office room, net drying shed, store room, labor shed and guest room were found at $49 \%, 38 \%, 32 \%, 17 \%$ and $13 \%$ nurseries, respectively. Water filtration unit was found only in $17 \%$ and overhead tank was available in $7 \%$ nurseries. etc.

Most of the common species of the fish were nursing. More than $60 \%$ were found nursing carp type fish like Rui, Catla and Mrigal. Silver carp and Grass carp were found in $52 \%$ and $40 \%$ nurseries respectively. Thai sorputi was nursed by $41 \%$ nurseries. Bagda and Golda shrimp were found nursing by $25 \%$ and $11 \%$ nurseries, respectively.

Use of lime, urea, inorganic fertilizers and organic fertilizer like cow dung were found to use by most of the farmers. Half had used ready commercial feed purchased from market and around $40 \%$ prepared the feed at their own farm or at home using locally available ingredients.

Out of 77 nurseries 53 had permanent male labors. Average number of permanent labors was 2.3 and average labor days were 538 in one year. Average male daily labors worked for 543 labor days per year and that was only 18 labor . Participation of family labor was very low and insignificant in number because nursery operation is all most of technical nature and in most of the cases depend on hired skilled labors for its successful operation

Most of the nursery personnel knew high density nursing in earthen ponds and around $60 \%$ knew about nursing in hapas, one and two stage nursing. Half of them knew Nursing in cemented concrete tanks and $26 \%$ knew nursing of Pangus fry. Practice of the knowledge was found all most equal to the level of knowledge they have.

Total 88 staffs of 54 nurseries operator received training. These persons participated at 202 training course. So on an average, 1.6 persons received training and each of the them participated at 3.7 courses.

Total input cost was Tk. 19,319,572 for all the 77 nurseries and average cost per nursery was Tk. 250,904. Average price of production per nursery was Tk 644,877.0 and average selling return was Tk. 596,428. Benefit-cost ratio was around 2.5,. On an average profit per nursery was Tk 380225 . So if proper support is given in technical and financial matter this business can attract the investor and protein deficiency of the country can be solved. Even
foreign currency can be earned by exporting those fishes which has demand in the world market.

Major reason for not practicing the improve nursing practices were they did not have enough capital (50\%) and lack of enough skill (44\%). Other reasons were input are not easily available and do not have faith on performance of improve technologies. Constraints of operating nurseries were natural climates like heavy rainfall and draught, high cost of inputs and marketing of product, and credit facilities, etc.

### 3.5. Cage Fish Culture

Around $62 \%$ of the cage farmers were female and rest $38 \%$ were male. Most of the farmers were between 25 to 44 years old and average family size of these farmers was 4.5. Educational level of the cage farmers was lower than the other fish farmers. Around $30 \%$ of them had no education at all and $32 \%$ were educated within I-V class. As majority of the cage farmers were female main occupation of most of them (56\%) was housewifery and $20 \%$ were agricultural farmer who were mainly male. Secondary occupation of most of the farmers ( $68 \%$ ) was found fish culture and around $20 \%$ had no secondary occupation.

It was found that a large number of the cage farmers (40\%) had no cultivable land at all and around $20 \%$ had 20 decimal or less land. Average cultivated land was 195 decimal and most of these ( 155 decimal) was leased-in from others.Around one-fourth of the cage farmer had no homestead land...

One an average 3.4 members of the households of the cage farmers were earning from various sources. Main sources of income of these families were crop or vegetable cultivation, livestock or poultry rearing, aquaculture or other fisheries activities. But their major incomederived from aquaculture or other fisheries activities. On an average annual income of the households was Tk. 117,393 and per capita income was Tk. 26088.

More than $90 \%$ of the cage farmers received training on the technology. Average number of training received during last three years was 2.8 . All most all had the farmers know about the cage maintenance aspects. Around $80 \%$ know the techniques of species selection, $77 \%$ knew about the supplementary feed application. However, maintenance of stocking density was known to less than half of the farmers. Around $40 \%$ only raise the problem of high mortality rate of fish and $30 \%$ identified credit for the capital as their problem

### 3.6. Hatchery

Depending upon the concentration of fish hatcheries, Barisal and Jessore hubs were selected for the study. As shrimp hatchery is not available in these hubs, so, along with Barisal and Jessore, Coxes Bazar was also included for shrimp hatchery base line information.

Most of the hatcheries of Barisal and Jessore found hatching Rui, Catla, Mrigal, Grass carp, Silver carp and Thai Sorputi. Monosex Tilapia was found hatching at only Jessore. Hatcheries of shrimp were found at Coxes Bazar only. All the hatcheries used broods
stock from other private farm and or from own production sources. But at Jessore all the hatcheries used brood stock from Jamuna and at Barisal broods stock used from government source and natural sources. Hatcheries of Coxes Bazar produce seedling of shrimp and they collect used brood stocks from the natural sources.

On an average permanent employment of 11.2 male was generated by a hatchery. Permanent employment of female was insignificant in number. On an average 80 male daily labor worked per hatchery total and on an average they worked for 104 days. On an average 496 labor days were created for the family male members and 13 for the female members.

On an average cost of fish hatchery was Tk. 1,90,828 and return was Tk. 1,96,611, so gross margin was Tk. 86,783. Average cost of shrimp hatchery was Tk. 28,80,254 and return was Tk.1,27,22,321. Gross margin of shrimp hatchery was Tk. 98, 42,068. Benefit-Cost Ratio of fish and shrimp hatchery was 1.79 and 4.42 respectively.

On an average 5.4 training had been taken by the shrimp hatchery employees in Cox,sBazar and it was 4.6 for Barisal and only 1.8 for Jessore.Some of the technology like Secchi disc reading, stage of maturation of brood fish and shrimp species, water quality management of hatcheries and incubation tanks, stripping of ripen eggs, mixing of eggs and milts feed production and algal culture and application, etc were not kwon to more than $50 \%$ of the hatchery operators.

Around $60 \%$ of the responses come across constraints of the hatchery operation and those are mentioned shortage of quality broods, climate change and temperature fluctuation, irregular power supply, high cost of larval feed, product marketing, high mortality of shrimp and prawn larvae, social problem (theft, poisoning, multiple ownership), nonavailability of credit, etc

### 3.7. Findings of FGD/Case Studies on Various Issues

The following findings are from FGDs and Case Studies. A total of six FGDs and eight case studies were conducted with the Project and Non-project fish farmers, Hatchery Owners, Middlemen and Other relevant Actors.

## Value Chain among Different Actors

There are different actors in the value chain combining different sources including input and output suppliers in fish farming. Supply chain starts from collection of Brood fish and go through fish farmers' level and ends at consumer level. Value Chain actors were categorized mainly into two types: one is at farming level and the another one is at market level. The first actor at the farming level is the Hatchery Owner who collects brood fish from Open Water (River), BFRI, Fish Farms, and Fish Markets. He produces spawn and supplies to Patilwala, Spawn traders of different local and distant markets. In the study areas there are found two types Nursery Owners. The Nursery type-1 collects spawn through Patilwala or hatchery and rear spawn for 10-15 days and Nursery type-2 collects fry from nursery type-1 directly or through Patiwala. Then Nursery-2 rears it for 30-45 days and makes as fingerling for the fish farmers. Then the fish farmers collect fingerlings from

Nursery-2 directly or through Patiwala for culturing various types of fish. Afterwards, it goes to the markets through fish farmers, fishermen or paikars.

There are three types of main intermediaries like, Aratdars/Commission agents, Paikars/Wholesalers, Retailers. Intermediaries play important role in the study areas. Aratdars have a prominent role in transferring fish from farmers' level to the wholesalers or retailers. Fish farmers and fishermen are the main actors in supplying fish in the marketing channels. Aratdars call auction in front of the wholesalers/Paikars/Fish farmers and retailers. Usually Aratdars take 3\% commission of the total selling amount of money from the farmers/fishermen in Jessore, Barisal, and Khulna (with Mongla) with exception to Faridpur area where they take $5 \%$ commission from the farmers/fishermen. On the other hand, Araddars in Dhaka City take 3\% commission from the Paikars and also 1-2\% from the purchasers (Retailers, and so on). Sometimes, farmers are bound to sale their fish without getting fair price for not having sufficient customers, occurring natural calamities, having internal syndicate among the Aratdars and Paikars. There is no option for the farmers except selling fish in the arat at the auction time due to creating confusion in mind, like, uncertainty of preservation facilities and the next days' price, urgent need of money, etc. Aratdars also provide credit to the fish farmers/fishermen to run their business well, and borrowers have no option except selling fish to them.

Apart from these actors, there are some important actors at supply level. These actors are suppliers of inputs like, medicine, hormone, fertilizers, feed, lime, etc.

## Volume of Sale to Different Actors

Almost $83 \%$ of the fish farmers sell their carp fishes to the Paikars through Aratdars (Commission agents) and the rest were found to sell locally by themselves (3\%). Also they sell to local Beparies or through fishermen and retailers directly which is occupied by $9 \%$ and $5 \%$ of the total sale respectively.

## Value Chain at the Farming Level

Value addition activities in the value chain process starting from producing spawn or PL in the hatchery from brood fish/shrimp and go through a series of consecutive rearing process at different stages and finally appeared as table size fish/shrimp at farmer level. One Kg body weight of brood fish (Rui/Catla) produces 250 gm of spawn at a time. It is found that on an average a total of $46,080 \mathrm{Kg}$ fishes is produced from only 250 gm of spawn. Net value adds were Tk. 250.00 at the hatchery level for producing 250 gm of spawn for a lot/one time, Tk. 675.00 at the nursery type-1 for producing 0.8 lac fry, Tk. $36,000.00$ at the nursery type-2 for producing 0.64 lac fry, and Tk. 18,43,200.00 for producing 57,600 fish or $46,080 \mathrm{Kg}$ fish at the farming level.

Yearly value adds at farming levels for per decimal of land is found higher (Tk. 1920.00) for the fish farmers followed by the owners of nursery type-1 (Tk. 1746.00) and nursery type-2 (Tk. 1535.00). Net value add of the fish farmer who collect fingerlings from the nursery type-2 is more than that of the fish farmer who collect it from Patilwala.

## Value Chain at the Market Level

Value adds per Kg of carp fish at every relevant actor starting from Jessore/Khulna/Bagerhat to Dhaka city varies from Tk. 40.00 to Tk. $43.00 / \mathrm{Kg}$ with some
exceptions. Paikars/wholesalers get the highest value add of Tk. $43.00 / \mathrm{Kg}(35 \%)$, which is followed by the same amount of value add Tk. $40.00 / \mathrm{Kg}$ and the same percentage (32.5\%) by fish farmers and retailers. Paikars in the local market take 100 gm dholon (extra amount of fish) for one Kg fish and Paikars get the benefit while selling this fish.

### 3.8. Recommendations

The following problems/challenges are needed to be taken into consideration by the concerned agencies:

## Hatchery:

Shortage of quality broods and lack of knowledge all together has invites the existing fish inbreeding problem of the country resulting low level of production against high investment. In addition to address the aforesaid issue, as the survey indicates, proper attention should also be given to develop human resource in the appropriate areas, ensure continuous power supply during hatching period, control over the cost of hatchery operational inputs and quality control aspects.

Fish Farming: Social attitude towards fish farming is not good in our country, lack of proper extension program and dissemination of new technologies regarding fish culture from GOs and NGOs, underdeveloped fish culture techniques and lack of practical knowledge in fish farming, and Under-developed marketing system.

Seed and Feed Supply: Success of any fish culture venture fully depends upon the quality of seed and feed. Farmers of the country could not reach the target because of unavailability and high cost of these two items. A Considerable portion of the farmers also lacking of awareness about application and role of quality feed in their pond. Implementation of legal instruments and regulatory practices are still in initial stage that urgently need to overcome the quality problems related to fish feed and seed production and marketing.

Marketing of fishes: Transportation system of fish is traditional, Government and local authority does not take initiatives to develop marketing infrastructure, hidden syndication system in controlling market price, no preservation facilities for the farmers and traders.
There is well developed marketing chain system for shrimp in Bangladesh. For fish the marketing system is very weak. The whole system is controlled by a series of syndicate members. As a result, in one end producer farmers cannot derived their benefits upto a desired level and in other end consumers have to pay more. Weak communication network, fish transportation and preservation facilities are also considered as major drawbacks that hindered fish marketing and increases technical loss of commodity.

Overall: Fish and shrimp virus/bacterial diseases are major threats in fish/shrimp farming especially in the southern coastal districts of Bangladesh, intrusion of saline water in coastal freshwater ponds/ghers in southern areas made fish farming impossible, huge amount of other fish seeds and zooplanktons are being destroyed for collecting shrimp PL in the coastal belt which is a threat towards coastal aquatic biodiversity conservation. Natural disasters hamper fish farms ultimately resulting lower production.


#### Abstract

A Brief Description of the FtF Aquaculture Project In collaboration with the government's efforts and USAID's FtF initiative, the world fish center has been implementing the FtF Aquaculture project with a view to meet the government and FtF goals to sustainably reduce poverty and hunger since October 2011. The project is one of the largest of its kind in Bangladesh funded by USAID under its FtF goal and covers a 5-year transformative investment in aquaculture focused on 20 southern districts of Barisal, Khulna and Dhaka divisions Beginning October 2011..


The project contributes to achieving the FtF goals through four objectives as follows:

1. Dissemination of improved quality fish and shrimp seed

Improved quality brood fish have currently been supplied to public and private actors. FtF-Aquaculture is working closely with key public and private actors in hatcheries for 2012 breeding season, and will be further supplemented for 2013-14 season. Together with technical support for fish and shrimp and nursery management, this component will lay the foundations for maintaining high quality seed production into the future. The project will benefit more than 900,000 households though this mechanism, and is expected to generate an associated increase in fish and shrimp production by 36000 and 24000 metric tons respectively over five years. An increase of $\$ 240$ per year in household income should be realized as a result, improving gradually in increasing number of farm households with the growth of demand for quality stocks by farmers over five years.
2. Improving the nutrition and income status of farm households

The WorldFish Center, FtF Aquaculture project is partnering with other USAID supported projects to increase household incomes and nutrition for over 20,000 pondowning households in the first 18 months of project activity. The project aims to extend impacts to a further direct 150,000 household pond owning families over the duration of the project. This outreach will be achieved through partnerships with USAID programs including the Nobo Jibon Multi Year Assistance Program (MYAP) implemented by Save the Children, and the Integrate Protected Area Co-management Project (IPAC) implemented through IRG(?). The project is working directly with MYAP and IPAC's key partners, CODEC and SpeedTrust, to ensure strong connectivity with rural communities, are in discussions with CARE, BRAC and others to help sustain our efforts into the future. WorldFish is focusing on introducing its income enhancing aquaculture technologies, including production of indigenous nutrient dense fish species, into these existing livelihood programs though training, demonstration and communication programs. Nutrition education and promotion of nutritionally rich and income boosting vegetables including Vitamin-A rich orange fleshed sweet potato cultivation will also be part of this component. Household incomes are expected to raise an average of $\$ 100$ per year, while improved nutrition, as indicated by number of meals containing fish per month, will double.
3. Increasing investment, employment and fish production through commercial aquaculture
The project is working in the commercial aquaculture area of the southern region to stimulate further investment, employment and increased incomes and productivity. Within the first phase of 18 months, the project will deliver increased production to around 20,000 shrimp farmers and support 5000 entrepreneurs practicing high value commercial fish culture. Conditions for culture of 'new' brackish water commercial aquaculture species that are resilient to the increasing salinity in the southern region associated with water abstraction and climate change will be established.
4. Policy and regulatory reform and institutional capacity building to support sustainable aquaculture growth
To ensure long term continuity and impact of investments of USAID Feed the Future, the project is work directly with the Government of Bangladesh, particularly with the Department of Fisheries and Bangladesh Fisheries Research Institute. Initial activities will assist with implementing existing policy and regulatory measures in the hatchery and feed acts in order to enhance fish and fish feed quality. This will involve institutional capacity building, including expanding linkages between GOB and India, as well as private sector associations and businesses.

## Targets

The project will contribute the following key results (Table 1.01) during the project period. The project will be implemented in three phases. Phase 1 covers 18 months. Targets and results framework is specific for this period. Also presented detail results and targets which will be generated over the five years. It emphasizes on the activities to be implemented within the first phase of 18 months, October 2011 - March 2013. A review will be conducted towards the end of the first phase to assess impacts and support preparation of detail implementation plans for scaling out of impacts during 2013-2016.

## Table 1.01: FtF-Aquaculture Key Result: Oct 2011- Sep 2016

| Indicators | $\mathbf{1 8}$ month <br> Target | $\mathbf{3 6}$ month Target | $\mathbf{6 0}$ Month Target |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of households reached | 766,922 | 971,524 | $1,172,933$ |
| Area covered by program (ha) | 100,939 | 148,398 | 206,550 |
| Increase in fish, shrimp and <br> vegetables production (Mt) | 21,726 | 57,714 | 105,035 |
| Additional value from fish and <br> shrimp and vegetable production <br> (million US\$) | 42 | 147 | 354 |
| Increase in employment | 10,000 | 50,000 | 75,000 |

## Objectives of the Baseline Study

The surveys will provide the basis for follow-up surveys including at the end of 2013 as part of the overall impact evaluation. Those findings will then act as a baseline for FtF-A's
second phase of work (2013-2016). Findings from these surveys will also enable FtF-A and the external evaluators to design methods for assessing impact at key points along the way to allow for adaptive management and course adjustments. The objectives of this agreement are to develop and understand:

- The baseline survey shall provide information required to describe qualitatively and quantitatively the indicators of the FtF Aquaculture Project (as provided in Section 2 above) and the project's M\&E plan to measure impact of future project interventions. Provide reliable data for advocacy at all levels.
- Inputs link to Production Economics, Output; Cost Benefit Analysis; Knowledge, Attitude and Practice (KAP) of existing farms (shrimp, prawn, tilapia and carp fish); define the outreach households who needs quality seeds from the project indentified service delivery points and indentify control farms as baseline mechanism to track changes periodically.
- And recommend performance monitoring tools and system for tracking hatchery and nursery's business growth considering baseline status.


## Conceptual Framework

The world fish center, Bangladesh has under taken the FtF Aquaculture project to reduce poverty and hunger by improving fisheries and aquaculture in project areas. The project envisaged to cover 20 districts under 4 hubs in three phases. The duration of 3 phases are: 18 months, 36 months, and 60 months respectively. It is planned to cover 30 upazilas of 10 districts under 4 hubs in the southern part of Bangladesh.

The input $\rightarrow$ process $\rightarrow$ output/impact model (Concept) used to develop the study Design is shown as:


A Significant difference between project end and base line level of indicators may be attributed to mainly project intervention.

## The Study Design

Following the model as the conceptual framework the study team resorted to using "Before and After Approach" design to capture the difference between baseline and project end Situation of the indicator.

Figure 2: Before and After Approach Design.

| Base line Level | End of 1st phase | End of 2nd phase | End of 3rd phase |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(\mathrm{P} 1)$ | Level (P2) | Level (P3) | Level (P4) |

The net effect of intervention after $3^{\text {rd }}$ phase $=P_{4}-P_{1}$.

## Important Indicators

The TOR specifics a list of indicators among them the poverty prevalence, underweight among children, population under nutrition, fish in take prevalence rate, life skill and livelihood skill indicators are important. Indicators will be worked out in a way that would facilitate monitoring of ongoing project intervention and evaluation of the project after every phase.

## Surveys

The study covered the following surveys: 1) Household Aquaculture Survey; 2) Commercial Fish Culture Survey; 3) Commercial Shrimp Culture Survey; 4) Cage Culture Survey; 5) Hatchery Survey; 6) Nursery Survey; and 7) Qualitative Survey.

## Sampling Design

Considering time, cost and management constraints the baseline survey used "Three
stage Sampling Design". Upazilas are first stage, Villages are second stage and households are third stage sampling units. At each stage probability sampling was adopted.

## Sample Sizes for the survey

Two standard formulas are used. The first one is for the sample size required to capture the changes in the prevalence of poverty or nutrition etc. The formula is:

$$
n=D^{*}\left[\left(Z_{2+} Z_{3}\right)^{2 *} P_{1}\left(1-P_{1}\right)+P_{4}\left(1-P_{4}\right)\right] /\left(P_{4}-P_{1}\right)^{2}
$$

The second one to reduce the coefficient of variation of sample Average compared to coefficient of variable of the variables such as production, project, sale etc. In this case inverse of square root of sample size equal the ratio of $\mathrm{CV}(\bar{x})$ to $\mathrm{cv}(\mathrm{x})$.
$\mathrm{CV}(\bar{x})$ is 5 percent of $\mathrm{CV}(\mathrm{x})$ i.e.,

$$
\frac{C V(\bar{x})}{C V(x)}=0.05=\frac{5}{100}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}=\frac{1}{20}, \mathrm{n}=400
$$

The sample sizes worked out for different surveys are presented in the Table 3.
Table 3: Sample Size for All the Surveys by Project Groups

| \# | Name of the survey | Respondents category | Total samples | Method of selection |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Household Aquaculture Survey | - Has HH pond <br> - FtF Aqua catchment area <br> - Pond size between 5 and 25 decimals | 991 | Multistage sample <br> systematic  <br> sampling   <br>   |
| 2 | Commercial Fish Culture Survey | - Has pond <br> - FtF Aqua catchment area <br> - Pond size between 20 and 100 decimals | 401 | Systematic random sampling |
| 3 | Commercial Shrimp Culture Survey | - Has pond <br> - FtF Aqua catchment area <br> - Pond size between 30 and 20 0 decimals | 570 | Systematic random sampling |
| 4 | Cage Culture Survey | - Has cage or not <br> - FtF Aqua catchment area | 97 | Systematic random sampling |
| 5 | Nursery Survey | - Concrete <br> - Clay made <br> - Pond Based | 77 | Systematic random sampling |
| 6 | Hatchery Survey | Fish <br> - Carp <br> - Tilapia <br> - Shrimp | 37 | Systematic random sampling |

The baseline sample size would be large enough to capture the difference between baseline, follow-ups and project end situation with statistical significance.

The initial size was taken large enough to ensure effective sample size required for desired precision level and confidence coefficient.

## Sample Size for Project Beneficiaries and Non- project Households Meeting the Selection Criteria

As shown in column 5 and 6, the sample size of each survey has been divided into two groups in proportion to the eligible households included in the project and not yet included in the project.

## Selection of Samples

A multistage stratified method was used to select the samples in this study. Sixty upazilas in 20 districts in 4 hubs in which FtF operates comprise the universe of the study. FtF had a list of all project fisher in the area from which the overall sampling fraction was determined for each survey. In the first stage 16 upazilas from 4 hubs were selected in proportion to the number of upazilas in each hub to obtain a representation of all the hubs in the sample. Next, the number of samples to be studied for each survey in each selected upazila was determined using the sampling fraction. In the second stage 6 villages from each upazila was selected at random. In the third stage equal number of samples was allocated in each selected village for each survey.

The senior researchers of the study drew the samples of the project households which the enumerator interviewed. The non-project farmers met all the criteria to be selected as project fisher but were not included in the project. The enumerators themselves searched and found the nonproject fishers in the village and interviewed them until the required quota was fulfilled. If the required quota was not fulfilled in the sample village they moved to the next nearest village and interviewed to complete the quota.


## Formation of the Core Survey Team

The core survey team included a Team Leader, a Field Research Manager, a Data Quality Manager, and two data collection Supervisors. The team leader had high academic training and long working experience in Bangladesh Fisheries sector, and all the members had extensive experience in designing and implementing a variety of surveys that utilized both quantitative and qualitative data collection and all of them served as team leader in previous assignments. The Data Quality Manager had special experience in overseeing data entrant teams and programming with quantitative analysis software. Familiarity with USAID quality standards, technical expertise in agriculture and health (nutrition, and hygiene) and background in research methods and statistics were special considerations in selecting the members for the survey.

## Development and Finalization of the Survey Instruments

The survey instruments included seven questionnaires one each for the seven surveys and a field data collection manual. The draft documents prepared by the core research team were jointly reviewed by WFC FtF Aquaculture team and the research team. The questionnaires were tried to be made self explanatory giving explanations, Averageings and instructions to the enumerators underneath each question as far as possible. Once the questionnaires were approved it was translated into Bengali and the Bengali questionnaire was pretested among actual beneficiaries of the survey by two data collection supervisors in a non-sample area to check its efficacy for data collection in this survey. Some sections of it were revised and reframed according to the field experience for more ease and clarity before it was finally accepted for data collection in this study.

## Development of the Field Survey Manual

A field survey manual was developed in Bengali 1) summarizing the objectives and implementation mechanisms of the FtF Aquaculture project, 2) explaining the survey approach and methodology, and 3) detailing a question-by-question explanation of the terms and intended Averageings of the questions. The manual was discussed in enumerator training and each enumerator carried a copy of it for reference while collecting data in order to keep uniformity of understanding and homogeneity of data collection across all enumerators.

## Orientation and Training of the Enumerators

Field data for this survey were collected by 56 enumerators who had at least bachelor degree and previous experience of collecting quantitative and qualitative data through face to face interview. Initially 120 enumerators were hired through competitive interviews and given three day extensive residential training in Khulna on goals and objectives of the survey, interview techniques, sampling, data collection instruments, monitoring procedures and field data collection using the final questionnaire. Later they were given one day supervised practical test on data collection among actual beneficiaries of the project using the final questionnaire in a non-sample area. After each day debriefing sessions were held and their performance were reviewed by the core survey team and finally the best 56 enumerators were retained for data collection in this survey.

## Implementation of the Survey

The enumerators were divided into 8 groups in such a way that one group could complete data collection in one selected village in one day. Data were collected through face-toface interview and in each case respondent's prior consent was obtained. The enumerators conducted the interviews, probed the responses where necessary, and recorded data taking maximum care for improved data reliability. The field supervisors accompanied the teams during data collection and visited the enumerators as they worked, and were available to all enumerators over phone to provide instant support and advice.

The field supervisors were responsible for drawing the sample and the enumerators interviewed the selected sample. The field supervisors were also responsible for deployment plan that detailed how the field enumerators would cover the sample, the number of interviews each enumerator would complete per day, and other pertinent
details.
The field supervisors were specially coached during the training on how to assign work to the enumerators and how to keep track of their work in order to organise the fieldwork more effectively and efficiently. After the data collection each day, the supervisors and enumerators crosschecked all completed questionnaire of that day, reviewed the performances of the survey, resolved problems if any, and ensured that no pending work was left on data verification for the day. Thereafter, the team planned for the next day.

The data collection was divided into two phases. In the first phase, all the data collection teams were deployed in 6 upazilas in Khulna hub for 2 days. The senior research staff accompanied them in the field to oversee the compliance of the research methodologies, monitor data collection procedures, and to solve any problems. They also checked the completed interviews for internal consistency. After 2 days of data collection the teams returned and assembled in a central place for one day mid-term review and debriefing. There, research methodologies were reiterated, and any problems, weaknesses and experiences were discussed freely and frankly, and deficiencies were removed on individual basis. The teams then moved to their respective data collection zones and completed the rest of the interviews in the second phase.

## Quality Control and Internal Validity

Quality control is built in every stage in this survey. The enumerators had previous experience of field data collection through interviews, they were given extensive training and field practice using the survey instruments, the questionnaires were prepared in local language and pretested among actual project beneficiaries for clarity and comprehensiveness, samples were drawn by the senior researchers and the enumerators interviewed the given samples, and interviews were conducted without prior information to anyone and outside influence. Besides, two field supervisors visited the enumerators in the field everyday and were available to them over phone for instant advice and support. Field interviews were checked for consistency by the senior researchers as these were received electronically and sent back to the enumerators for validation through revisit to the respondents in case of any doubtful entry. Each interview took less than fifty minutes to complete, respondents replied freely and spontaneously, and non-response was not a serious issue in this survey. So the quality and validity of data is unlikely to be a major problem in this study.

## Data Processing

Data collection and data entry were carried out simultaneously. Double entry procedure was followed for data entry. A customized data entry package was developed with all possible in-built conditional, logical and range check procedures to detect any errors in data entry.

During data entry, a tabulation plan to produce tables was prepared and necessary programs were developed using SPSS to analyze the data.

## Qualitative Survey

Qualitative data was gathered through Focus Group Discussion (FGD) from the Project and Non-project fish farmers, Hatchery Owners, Middlemen and other Actors in the value chain at different field level of southern districts.

The first portion will be illustrated on supply and value chain among different actors and the second portion will be on point-wise problems/constraints and suggestions/recommendations
FGDs were conducted in four hubs based on different types of actor in the value chain. In total, number of FGD was seven. Every relevant actor was covered in FGD. Some case studies were also made instead of FGD to understand the value chain fruitfully. FGDs were conducted with 7 to 10 participates of each group. .

## CHAPTER 3: HOUSEHOLD AQUACULTURE

## Household Characteristics

Nearly two-thirds of the sample households were headed by male (Table 3.01). Average size of the households was 4.6 members which corresponded well with the national average of 4.9 members (BBS, 2011). Most of the farmers ( $94.3 \%$ ) were over 25 years of age and had some school education (level of education). The main occupation of the female headed households was housekeeping. Overall, nearly a quarter of the household heads had farming and less than one-fifth had fish culture as the main occupation and three quarters of them some secondary occupation. On the average they had over a decade of involvement in pond fish culture and nearly one-third of them received one or more training in fish cultivation in the last three years of the survey.

Table 3.01: Household Characteristics

| Characteristics | Khulna |  | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore |  | All regions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Average household size | 4.8 |  | 4.2 |  | 4.5 |  | 4.8 |  | 4.6 |  |
| Sex of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 336 | 68.9 | 78 | 96.3 | 225 | 66.6 | 74 | 88.1 | 713 | 71.9 |
| Female | 152 | 31.1 | 3 | 3.7 | 113 | 33.4 | 10 | 11.9 | 278 | 28.1 |
| Total | 488 | 100 | 81 | 100 | 338 | 100 | 84 | 100 | 991 | 100 |
| Age of the farmer (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 25 | 22 | 4.5 | 3 | 3.7 | 19 | 5.6 | 12 | 14.3 | 56 | 5.7 |
| 25-49 | 324 | 66.4 | 40 | 49.4 | 202 | 59.8 | 48 | 57.1 | 614 | 62.0 |
| 50 or more | 142 | 29.1 | 38 | 46.9 | 117 | 34.6 | 24 | 28.6 | 321 | 32.4 |
| Total | 488 | 100 | 81 | 100 | 338 | 100 | 84 | 100 | 991 | 100 |
| Average |  | 42.5 |  | 46.1 |  | 42.3 |  | 40.9 |  | 42.6 |
| Educational level of the farmer (grades completed) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | 61 | 12.5 | 21 | 25.9 | 49 | 14.5 | 8 | 9.5 | 139 | 14.0 |
| Primary | 160 | 32.8 | 16 | 19.8 | 127 | 37.6 | 14 | 16.7 | 317 | 32.0 |
| Secondary | 218 | 44.7 | 35 | 43.2 | 132 | 39.1 | 39 | 46.4 | 424 | 42.8 |
| Higher Secondary | 32 | 6.6 | 5 | 6.2 | 18 | 5.3 | 11 | 13.1 | 66 | 6.7 |
| Above HSC | 17 | 3.5 | 4 | 4.9 | 12 | 3.6 | 12 | 14.3 | 45 | 4.5 |
| Total | 488 | 100 | 81 | 100 | 338 | 100 | 84 | 100 | 991 | 100 |
| Primary occupation of the farmer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Farming | 132 | 27.0 | 37 | 45.7 | 92 | 27.2 | 16 | 19.0 | 277 | 28.0 |
| Housewife | 142 | 29.1 | 4 | 4.9 | 109 | 32.2 | 6 | 7.1 | 261 | 26.3 |
| Fish culture | 87 | 17.8 | 8 | 9.9 | 19 | 5.6 | 34 | 40.5 | 148 | 14.9 |
| Vendor | 32 | 6.6 | 11 | 13.6 | 21 | 6.2 | 9 | 10.7 | 73 | 7.4 |
| Business | 26 | 5.3 | 3 | 3.7 | 31 | 9.2 | 12 | 14.3 | 72 | 7.3 |
| Service | 21 | 4.3 | 6 | 7.4 | 22 | 6.5 | 4 | 4.8 | 53 | 5.3 |
| Day labor | 20 | 4.1 | 3 | 3.7 | 22 | 6.5 | 2 | 2.4 | 47 | 4.7 |
| Handicrafts | 8 | 1.6 | 2 | 2.5 | 10 | 3.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 20 | 2.0 |
| Rickshaw driver | 8 | 1.6 | 4 | 4.9 | 6 | 1.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 18 | 1.8 |
| Professional | 4 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.5 |
| Others | 8 | 1.6 | 3 | 3.7 | 5 | 1.5 | 1 | 1.2 | 17 | 1.7 |
| Total | 488 | $\begin{gathered} 100 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 81 | $\begin{gathered} 100 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 338 | $\begin{gathered} 100 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 84 | $\begin{gathered} 100 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 991 | $\begin{gathered} 100 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |

Table 3.01: Household Characteristics

| Characteristics | Khulna |  | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore |  | All regions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Secondary occupation of the farmer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vendor | 35 | 7.2 | 2 | 2.5 | 13 | 3.8 | 6 | 7.1 | 56 | 5.7 |
| Day labor | 10 | 2.0 | 2 | 2.5 | 4 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 16 | 1.6 |
| Rickshaw driver | 3 | 0.6 | 1 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.4 |
| Farming | 60 | 12.3 | 3 | 3.7 | 27 | 8.0 | 13 | 15.5 | 103 | 10.4 |
| Handicrafts | 5 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.6 |
| Fish culture | 210 | 43.0 | 51 | 63.0 | 208 | 61.5 | 38 | 45.2 | 507 | 51.2 |
| Others | 6 | 1.2 | 2 | 2.5 | 15 | 4.4 | 2 | 2.4 | 25 | 2.5 |
| None | 159 | 32.6 | 20 | 24.7 | 70 | 20.7 | 25 | 29.8 | 274 | 27.6 |
| Total | 488 | $\begin{gathered} 100 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 81 | $\begin{gathered} 100 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 338 | $\begin{gathered} 100 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 84 | $\begin{gathered} 100 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 991 | $\begin{gathered} 100 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
| Average no. of training received | 3.9 |  | 0.0 |  | 3.8 |  | 2.5 |  | 3.7 |  |
| Average years involved in fishing | 13.8 |  | 10.7 |  | 9.4 |  | 9.9 |  | 11.7 |  |

## Fish Ponds

The Average number of fish ponds under cultivation per household was 2.0 and some $20 \%$ of the households found to cultivate fish in more than 2.0 ponds (Table 3.02). The Average water area cultivated by per household was 0.38 hectare decimals that varied widely from 0.61 in Khulna, 0.19 hectare in Faridpur, 0.09 hectare in Barisal and 0.41 hectare in Jessore. Nearly 10\% households leased in and 5\% leased out some pond.

| Characteristics | Khulna |  | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore |  | All regions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| No. of fish ponds cultivated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-2 | 335 | 68.6 | 72 | 88.9 | 311 | 92.0 | 64 | 78.0 | 782 | 79.1 |
| 3-4 | 122 | 25.0 | 8 | 9.9 | 25 | 7.4 | 11 | 13.4 | 166 | 16.8 |
| 5 or more | 31 | 6.4 | 1 | 1.2 | 2 | 0.6 | 7 | 8.5 | 41 | 4.1 |
| Total | 488 | 100 | 81 | 100 | 338 | 100 | 82 | 100 | 989 | 100 |
| Average | 2.2 |  | 1.5 |  | 1.4 |  | 2.0 |  | 1.9 |  |
| Area of fish ponds cultivated (hectare) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upto 1.00 | 407 | 83.4 | 79 | 97.5 | 333 | 99.4 | 73 | 89.0 | 892 | 90.5 |
| 1.01-300 | 67 | 13.7 | 2 | 2.5 | 2 | 0.6 | 7 | 8.5 | 78 | 7.9 |
| 3.01 and abvoe | 14 | 2.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 2.4 | 16 | 1.6 |
| Total | 488 | 100 | 81 | 100 | 335 | 10 | 82 | 100 | 986 | 100 |
| Average area | 0.61 |  | 0.19 |  | 0.09 |  | 0.41 |  | 0.38 |  |
| Leased in ponds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. of Farmers leased in ponds | 92 | 18.9 | 11 | 13.6 | 13 | 3.8 | 11 | 13.1 | 127 | 12.8 |
| Average area (hectare.) | 1.03 |  | 0.43 |  | 0.20 |  | 1.33 |  | 0.92 |  |
| Leased out ponds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. of Farmers leased out ponds | 50 | 10.2 | 4 | 4.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 4.8 | 58 | 5.9 |
| Average area (hectare | 0.57 |  | 0.37 |  | - |  | 0.40 |  | 0.95 |  |

## Cultivable Land

Average area cultivated by per household was 0.43 hectoare varying from 0.70 hectoare in Barisal to 0.43 hectoare in Khulna.

| Characteristics | Khulna |  | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore |  | All regions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Area of land cultivated (hectare) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upto 1.00 | 211 | 90.6 | 56 | 81.2 | 190 | 77.2 | 54 | 88.5 | 511 | 83.9 |
| 1.01-300 | 20 | 8.6 | 13 | 18.8 | 52 | 21.1 | 7 | 11.5 | 92 | 15.1 |
| 3.01 and abvoe | 2 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 1.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 1.0 |
| Total | 233 | 100 | 69 | 100 | 246 | 100 | 61 | 100 | 609 | 100 |
| Average area | 0.43 |  | 0.65 |  | 0.70 |  | 0.50 |  | 0.57 |  |

## Home Gardening

Nearly 97\% of the households owned a homestead (Table 3.04). The Average homestead area was 23 decimals and three-fifths of the households had an area of less than 20 decimals.

Over half the households did not cultivate a homestead vegetable garden. Average area cultivated by per household was 7 decimals while most of the farmers cultivated less than 10 decimals.

## Homestead Trees

Nearly half of the households owned homestead tree area and one-quarter had over 5 decimals (Table 3.04). The homestead tree area included areas under bamboo, timber and fruit trees. The Average number of homestead trees owned by per household was 12 varying from 8 in Faridpur to 17 in Jessore.

| Characteristics | Khulna |  | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore |  | All regions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Area in homestead (dec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 20 | 273 | 55.9 | 57 | 70.4 | 181 | 53.6 | 58 | 69.0 | 569 | 57.4 |
| 20-39 | 133 | 27.3 | 13 | 16.0 | 99 | 29.3 | 14 | 16.7 | 259 | 26.1 |
| 40 or more | 66 | 13.5 | 10 | 12.3 | 48 | 14.2 | 10 | 11.9 | 134 | 13.5 |
| None | 16 | 3.3 | 1 | 1.2 | 10 | 3.0 | 2 | 2.4 | 29 | 2.9 |
| Total | 488 | 100.0 | 81 | 100.0 | 338 | 100.0 | 84 | 100.0 | 991 | 100.0 |
| Average area (Dec.) | 24.4 |  | 18.8 |  | 23.6 |  | 19.6 |  | 23.3 |  |
| Area cultivated (decimals) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10 | 204 | 82.9 | 18 | 90.0 | 202 | 87.1 | 20 | 90.9 | 444 | 85.4 |
| 10-19 | 15 | 6.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 3.0 | 2 | 9.1 | 24 | 4.6 |
| Over 19 | 27 | 11.0 | 2 | 10.0 | 23 | 9.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 52 | 10.0 |
| Total | 246 | 100.0 | 20 | 100.0 | 232 | 100.0 | 22 | 100.0 | 520 | 100.0 |
| Average area (Dec.) | 7.4 |  | 4.7 |  | 7.5 |  | 4.2 |  | 7.2 |  |
| Area under homestead trees (dec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 5 | 82 | 16.8 | 20 | 24.7 | 79 | 23.4 | 9 | 10.7 | 190 | 19.2 |
| 5-9 | 28 | 5.7 | 5 | 6.2 | 47 | 13.9 | 8 | 9.5 | 88 | 8.9 |
| Over 9 | 48 | 9.8 | 7 | 8.6 | 95 | 28.1 | 19 | 22.6 | 169 | 17.1 |
| None | 330 | 67.6 | 49 | 60.5 | 117 | 34.6 | 48 | 57.1 | 544 | 54.9 |
| Total | 488 | 100.0 | 81 | 100.0 | 338 | 100.0 | 84 | 100.0 | 991 | 100.0 |
| Average area (Dec.) | 9.7 |  | 8.0 |  | 13.2 |  | 17.3 |  | 11.9 |  |

## Household Income

Earning income from more than one source was common among the households (Table
3.05). On the average a household earned income from 4.4 sources and most of the households earned income from 3 to 6 sources.

| No. of sources | Khulna |  | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore |  | All regions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| 1-2 | 51 | 10.5 | 5 | 6.2 | 8 | 2.4 | 10 | 12.2 | 74 | 7.5 |
| 3-4 | 261 | 53.5 | 50 | 61.7 | 110 | 32.5 | 50 | 61.0 | 471 | 47.6 |
| 5-6 | 146 | 29.9 | 23 | 28.4 | 177 | 52.4 | 20 | 24.4 | 366 | 37.0 |
| 7 or more | 30 | 6.1 | 3 | 3.7 | 43 | 12.7 | 2 | 2.4 | 78 | 7.9 |
| All | 488 | 100 | 81 | 100 | 338 | 100 | 82 | 100 | 989 | 100 |
| Average | 4.2 |  | 4.0 |  | 5.0 |  | 3.9 |  | 4.4 |  |

Average monthly income of the households was Tk. 12,594 and per capita income was Tk. 2, 923 Aquaculture, crops and vegetables, and business was the most important sources of income of the households. $10-30 \%$ of the household income was derived from these sources. Although 70\% of the households earned income from livestock and poultry and some $40 \%$ earned income from home gardening and homestead trees the share of these sources to total income was very small (Table 3.06)..

Table 3.06: Average Monthly Gross Household Income by Sources

| Sources | Khulna |  | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore |  | All regions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% of } \\ & \text { hh } \end{aligned}$ | \% of income (Tk) | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { hh } \end{aligned}$ | \% of income (Tk) | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { hh } \end{aligned}$ | \% of income (Tk) | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { hh } \end{aligned}$ | \% of income (Tk) | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { hh } \end{aligned}$ | \% of income (Tk) |
| Crops and vegetables | 51.2 | 11.4 | 86.4 | 25.1 | 75.4 | 20.5 | 75.6 | 16.8 | 64.4 | 15.9 |
| Livestock and poultry | 70.1 | 4.2 | 42.0 | 2.4 | 78.4 | 3.9 | 64.6 | 4.9 | 70.2 | 4.0 |
| Home gardening | 41.8 | 1.2 | 14.8 | 0.4 | 50.3 | 1.3 | 11.0 | 0.2 | 39.9 | 1.1 |
| Homestead trees | 30.9 | 1.8 | 22.2 | 1.7 | 59.2 | 2.6 | 25.6 | 0.9 | 39.4 | 2.0 |
| Aquaculture | 91.4 | 43.1 | 92.6 | 23.1 | 93.8 | 15.6 | 93.9 | 20.0 | 92.5 | 30.1 |
| Other fisheries | 12.9 | 2.7 | 29.6 | 1.0 | 22.2 | 2.5 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 16.5 | 2.3 |
| Water pump rental | 3.9 | 0.2 | 6.2 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 0.2 |
| Power tiller rental | 1.8 | 0.2 | 4.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 3.7 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 0.2 |
| Fishing net rental | 2.7 | 0.1 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 0.1 |
| Labor selling | 24.0 | 5.2 | 25.9 | 5.4 | 22.8 | 7.9 | 8.5 | 1.7 | 22.4 | 5.8 |
| Services | 12.9 | 6.9 | 13.6 | 9.7 | 15.7 | 8.4 | 20.7 | 10.4 | 14.6 | 7.9 |
| Large lusiness | 11.3 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 4.6 | 15.1 | 12.9 | 23.2 | 25.4 | 13.1 | 10.3 |
| Small trade | 17.0 | 4.4 | 22.2 | 9.3 | 14.8 | 4.8 | 19.5 | 3.1 | 16.9 | 4.8 |
| Vehicle rental | 7.2 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 0.9 | 6.2 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 2.1 |
| Remittance | 10.9 | 4.5 | 9.9 | 7.4 | 23.1 | 12.6 | 13.4 | 11.7 | 15.2 | 8.2 |
| Leased out land | 14.3 | 2.1 | 16.0 | 4.1 | 10.7 | 2.3 | 8.5 | 0.9 | 12.7 | 2.2 |
| Others | 11.7 | 3.3 | 6.2 | 2.8 | 8.9 | 2.0 | 11.0 | 3.0 | 10.2 | 2.8 |

## Ownership and Characteristics of the Selected Pond

Data on ownership pattern reveals that most of the selected ponds were singly owned ( $85 \%$ ) by the households; in case of jointly owned and jointly leased ponds the Average number of owners was 3 in both cases (Table 3.07). Most of the ponds had loamy, sandy loamy and clay loam soil. Silt or sandy soils were found relatively rare. Thus the soil chactereristics of the ponds reflects their their productivity.

The Average of total pond area, water surface area and dike area of the ponds was 16 decimal, 12 decimal and 4 decimal, respectively. The Average water depth in the ponds was 5.4 ft in the culture season (May to September) but found to retain water at a depth level that allows to culture fish throughout the year. On the average nearly $30 \%$ of the water area was shaded by trees and the Average age of the ponds was 22 years. The stated description of the ponds does not reflect an ideal situation for fish culture in those ponds, because in almost all the cases these rural household ponds were dugged purposively to serve the domestic requirements of the houswhold. However, considering all other factors, like quality of the soil and their productive nature, sub surface and surface water sources, fist growing and short cycle fish species availability and cost and availability of inputs, these household pond resources can be ideally used as a potential source of family nutrition and income generation, as well.

| Characteristics | Khulna |  | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore |  | All regions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Ownership status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Singly owned | 411 | 84.2 | 68 | 84.0 | 282 | 83.4 | 81 | 96.4 | 842 | 85.0 |
| Jointly owned | 66 | 13.5 | 9 | 11.1 | 53 | 15.7 | 3 | 3.6 | 131 | 13.2 |
| Singly leased | 10 | 2.0 | 3 | 3.7 | 3 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 16 | 1.6 |
| Jointly leased | 1 | 0.2 | 1 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.2 |
| Total | 488 | 100 | 81 | 100 | 338 | 100 | 84 | 100 | 991 | 100 |
| Average no. of owners of joined owned pond | 2.8 |  | 2.9 |  | 3.0 |  | 3.3 |  | 2.9 |  |
| Type of soil |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loamy | 88 | 18.0 | 22 | 27.2 | 50 | 14.8 | 20 | 23.8 | 180 | 18.2 |
| Clay | 82 | 16.8 | 5 | 6.2 | 130 | 38.5 | 2 | 2.4 | 219 | 22.1 |
| Sandy | 30 | 6.1 | 4 | 4.9 | 3 | 0.9 | 5 | 6.0 | 42 | 4.2 |
| Sandy loam | 157 | 32.2 | 23 | 28.4 | 112 | 33.1 | 39 | 46.4 | 331 | 33.4 |
| Clay loam | 112 | 23.0 | 25 | 30.9 | 35 | 10.4 | 14 | 16.7 | 186 | 18.8 |
| Silt | 6 | 1.2 | 2 | 2.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 0.8 |
| Silt loam | 9 | 1.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 0.9 |
| Others | 4 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 2.4 | 4 | 4.8 | 16 | 1.6 |
| Total | 488 | 100 | 81 | 100 | 338 | 100 | 84 | 100 | 991 | 100 |
| Pond size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average pond area (dec) | 14.6 |  | 18.1 |  | 14.8 |  | 24.1 |  | 15.7 |  |
| Average water area (dec) | 11.1 |  | 11.9 |  | 11.0 |  | 17.3 |  | 11.6 |  |
| Average dike area (dec) | 3.7 |  | 5.4 |  | 3.8 |  | 6.2 |  | 4.1 |  |
| Water area shaded by trees (\%) | 27.1 |  | 34.5 |  | 29.3 |  | 35.5 |  | 29.1 |  |
| Average water depth in culture season (feet) | 5.0 |  | 4.6 |  | 6.2 |  | 4.8 |  | 5.4 |  |
| No. of months water retains for fish culture | 11.4 |  | 11.5 |  | 11.8 |  | 11.4 |  | 11.5 |  |
| Average age of the pond (yrs) | 27.5 |  | 17.6 |  | 16.3 |  | 22.4 |  | 22.5 |  |

## Input Use and Costs and Returns of Household Aquaculture

Input use and cost and return data were collected for one pond per household. In case of household had more than one pond a randomly selected pond of larger than five decimals was chosen.
In Bangladesh, in all most all cases household ponds in addition to their domestic use, traditionally stocks with various types of fish species particularly to fulfill the family requirement. Under that consideration, over the study area all household also found to involve with fish raising activities from many years ago before conducted this baseline study. Average size of those study ponds under the hubs as recorded were about 12 decimal while the highest size (17.4 decimal) was in Jessor (Table 3.08).

| Table 3.08: Household Pond Fish Culture |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Items | Khulna | Faridpur | Barisal | Jessore | All regions |  |
| Households cultivated (\#) | 488 | 81 | 338 | 82 | 989 |  |
| Total water area in decimal | 5355 | 956 | 3708 | 1429 | 11448 |  |
| Total water area in hectare | 21.68 | 3.87 | 15.01 | 5.79 | 46.35 |  |
| Average pond size (dec) | 11.0 | 11.8 | 11.0 | 17.4 | 11.6 |  |

Almost all the labors involved in the fish culture were unpaid household labor and over $33 \%$ of them were female. Unpaid family labour was shown 22.5 per decimal and paid labour was only 0.43 per decimal. It is mentionable that the estimate of the household labour seems to be too high, because account of household labour was not mentained, they considered number of one member worked for some hour in a day as a man-day. However, for the hired labour they had to pay, so they mentaied it properly (Table 3.9).

Average labor cost was Tk. 150 per labor/day and the average value of fish was 100 Taka per kg in the area which was used in calculating the costs and returns of fish culture throughout the regions. Since labor cost comprised most of the costs the uniform rates will provide better measures of margins which will be directly comparable across the regions.

| Table 3.9: Labor Use and Costs in Pond Fish Culture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LLabor type | Khulna | Faridpur | Barisal | Jessore | All <br> regions | $\%$ |  |
| HH labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 14 | 20.4 | 14 | 17.8 | 15 | 65.70 |  |
| Female | 7.7 | 11.9 | 7.9 | 2.4 | 7.4 | 32.41 |  |
| Total | 21.7 | 32.3 | 21.9 | 20.2 | 22.5 | 98.55 |  |
| Hired labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 0.25 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.27 | 1.18 |  |
| Total | 0.23 | 0.19 | 0.07 | 0 | 0.16 | 0.70 |  |
| All laborers | 0.48 | 0.37 | 0.34 | 0.24 | 0.33 | 1.45 |  |

Fixed cost items like land, water, structures, operational instruments, machineries and accessories etc for aquaculture are almost same. However their degree of
utilization/operation and quantity may vary from case to case and on the type of culture system. However in the present study, among the durable inputs, $60 \%$ of the households found to use spade or sickles, over $50 \%$ used harvesting net, $40 \%$ used bamboo, wood or rope, and $20 \%$ or fewer found to use the other inputs like hapa, tube, drum, etc.

Most of the farmers used lime and nearly $50 \%$ of them used inorganic and organic fertilizers for pond preparation. Most of the farmers used supplementary feeds and $40 \%$ of them used organic and inorganic fertilizers and lime for post stocking management. Average quantities of inputs used were of negligible quantity. Most of the farmers raised Rui in ponds, nearly $66 \%$ raised Katla and silver carp on mixed basis, and $50 \%$ raised Thai Sarputi with carps and Tilapia or Niloticaon monoculture basis.

Average cash cost of fish culture was Tk. 464 per decimal (Table 3.10) and average return was Tk. 722 per decimal (Table 3.11). On the average a farmer got gross margin of Tk. 258 per decimal and Tk. 63726 per hectare. Benefit-Cost Ratio was 1.56 (Table-3.11\&3.12)

| Table 3.10: Costs of Pond Culture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Items |  | Per Decimal |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Khulna | Faridpur | Barisal | Jessore | All regions | $\%$ |  |
| Fixed costs | 110 | 120 | 100 | 125 | 118 | 25.43 |  |
| Hired labor | 72 | 56 | 51 | 36 | 50 | 10.78 |  |
| Pond preparation | 21 | 24 | 20 | 23 | 23 | 4.96 |  |
| Seeding | 119 | 120 | 115 | 122 | 120 | 25.86 |  |
| Inputs for stock management | 119 | 121 | 123 | 118 | 121 | 26.08 |  |
| Water management | 12 | 11 | 13 | 8 | 12 | 2.59 |  |
| Harvesting | 10 | 9 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 2.37 |  |
| Selling | 8 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 1.94 |  |
| Cash cost per dec. | 471 | 469 | 444 | 453 | 464 | 100.00 |  |
| Cash cost per hectare | 116337 | 115843 | 109668 | 111891 | 114608 |  |  |


| Outputs | Khulna |  | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore |  | All regions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Qty } \\ & \text { (Kg) } \end{aligned}$ | Value (Tk) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Qty } \\ & \text { (Kg) } \end{aligned}$ | Value <br> (Tk) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Qty } \\ & \text { (Kg) } \end{aligned}$ | Value (Tk) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Qty } \\ & \text { (Kg) } \end{aligned}$ | Value <br> (Tk) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Qty } \\ & \text { (Kg) } \end{aligned}$ | Value <br> (Tk) |
| Output per dec | 5.2 | 702 | 5.3 | 678 | 5 | 625 | 5.6 | 728 | 5.43 | 722 |
| Output per hect. | 1284 | 173394 | 1309.1 | 167466 | 1235 | 154375 | 1383.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 17981 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | 1341 | $\begin{aligned} & 17833 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |


| Table 3.12: Financial Returns from Pond Culture |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Returns | Khulna | Faridpur | Barisal | Jessore | All regions |
|  | $(\mathrm{Tk})$ | $(\mathrm{Tk})$ | $(\mathrm{Tk})$ | $(\mathrm{Tk})$ | $(\mathrm{Tk})$ |
|  | 702 | 678 | 625 | 728 | 722 |
| Cash cost per decimal | 471 | 469 | 444 | 453 | 464 |
| Gross margin per decimal | 231 | 209 | 181 | 275 | 258 |
| Gross margin per hectare | 57057 | 51623 | 44707 | 67925 | 63726 |
| Benefit-Cost Ratio | 1.49 | 1.44 | 1.41 | 1.60 | 1.56 |

Nearly $47 \%$ of the fish output was consumed in the households and $37 \%$ was sold in the market. Technical loss of fish output was found very small Few portion were used for product development like dry fish and gift to the neighbours and others (Table 1.13).

| Table 3.13: Disposal of Pond Fish |  |  |  |  |  |  | Khulna <br> (\% output) | Faridpur <br> (\% output) | Barisal <br> (\% output) | Jessore <br> (\% output) | All regions <br> (\% output) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disposal | 54.8 | 56.7 | 53.6 | 48.7 | 54.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumed | 32.9 | 34.9 | 39.5 | 46.7 | 36.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sold | 7.9 | 7.4 | 3.4 | 4.3 | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gifted | 2.4 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 2.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dried | 2.0 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Technical loss |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sources of Fish Seeds

Traditionally the most common practice of fish seed selling in the rural areas of Bangladesh is done by Patilwala or Faria. Over the period, with the establishment of fish breeding hatcheries and selling network and bringing of diversified high yielding fish species under the breeding program and improved transportation mechanisn, various other distribution channels of fish seed developed in the counry during the last two decades which has been extended upto village level. Even after that still the selling of fish seed for household pond fish culture, Patilwala occupies the highest position. This fact was also found true for the present study areas where they cover $60-90 \%$ of household's seed requirements. Other following such sources are private nurseries and then neighbours pond. High dependency of pond owners on Patilwala is because of the multiple involvement of farmers in different business so they cannot effort time and getting their seeds at pond side by the Patilwala, seed may be purchased on credit basis, low price of seed and mortality risk is also low etc.

Selling of seed of Small Indigenous Fishes (SIS) by Patilwala and others are not a common practice in Bangladesh. However, with increasing awareness of people about importance of nutrient dense fish species, few people are now a days collecting and stocking these seeds from wild sources and maintain culture environment for their growth and propagation. Now a days these species are also considered as high priced item. As a result traders are gradually getting interest to invole themselves into its trade. In the study area $44 \%$ of fish culturists mentioned Patilwala as a source of SIS seed for their culture ponds.

In case of Galda PL, though there are available GO and NGO hatchery sources in the country, farmers are still found to depend directly on the wild sources (about $50 \%$ ). This may may because of better growth, breeding response, disease resistance and ess mortality of the wild seed that can ensure profitability. In case of other $31 \%$ farmers, they collect Galda PL from Patilwala that generally contains a mixed seed of hatchery and wild sources. Some of the farmer purchase seedlings from village traders or imported, these were very few in number, so these sources were categories as other.

| Table 3.14: Sources of Fish Seeds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sources | Khulna | Faridpur | Barisal | Jessore |  | All regions |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. | $\%$ | No. | $\%$ | No. | $\%$ | No. | $\%$ | No. | $\%$ |
| Rui |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Private nursery | 14 | 3.3 | 24 | 29.3 | 11 | 3.6 | 29 | 36.3 | 78 | 8.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Govt nursery | 3 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 2.5 | 5 | 0.6 |
| Patilwala/Faria | 388 | 92.8 | 39 | 47.6 | 277 | 91.1 | 25 | 31.3 | 729 | 82.5 |
| Other famer | 2 | 0.5 | 5 | 6.1 | 11 | 3.6 | 21 | 26.3 | 39 | 4.4 |
| Hatchery | 3 | 0.7 | 4 | 4.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 2.5 | 9 | 1.0 |
| Own raised | 1 | 0.2 | 3 | 3.7 | 2 | 0.6 | 1 | 1.3 | 7 | 0.8 |
| Wild | 1 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.2 |
| Others | 6 | 1.4 | 7 | 8.5 | 2 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 15 | 1.7 |
| All | 418 | 100.0 | 82 | 100.0 | 304 | 100.0 | 80 | 100.0 | 884 | 100.0 |
| Katla |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private nursery | 7 | 2.9 | 25 | 33.3 | 11 | 4.3 | 20 | 37.7 | 63 | 10.0 |
| Govt nursery | 1 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 3.8 | 3 | 0.5 |
| Patilwala/Faria | 229 | 93.9 | 35 | 46.7 | 229 | 89.1 | 18 | 34.0 | 511 | 81.2 |
| Other famer | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 4.0 | 12 | 4.7 | 13 | 24.5 | 28 | 4.5 |
| Hatchery | 1 | 0.4 | 2 | 2.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.5 |
| Own raised | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 4.0 | 3 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 1.0 |
| Wild | 1 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.3 |
| Others | 5 | 2.0 | 7 | 9.3 | 1 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 13 | 2.1 |
| All | 244 | 100.0 | 75 | 100.0 | 257 | 100.0 | 53 | 100.0 | 629 | 100.0 |
| Mrigel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private nursery | 9 | 5.5 | 17 | 27.0 | 5 | 4.5 | 22 | 37.9 | 53 | 13.4 |
| Govt nursery | 1 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 3.4 | 3 | 0.8 |
| Patilwala/Faria | 150 | 91.5 | 31 | 49.2 | 97 | 87.4 | 18 | 31.0 | 296 | 74.7 |
| Other famer | 1 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 6.3 | 13 | 22.4 | 21 | 5.3 |
| Hatchery | 1 | 0.6 | 3 | 4.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 3.4 | 6 | 1.5 |
| Own raised | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 6.3 | 1 | 0.9 | 1 | 1.7 | 6 | 1.5 |
| Wild | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.3 |
| Others | 2 | 1.2 | 8 | 12.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 10 | 2.5 |
| All | 164 | 100.0 | 63 | 100.0 | 111 | 100.0 | 58 | 100.0 | 396 | 100.0 |
| Silver Carp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private nursery | 6 | 2.3 | 21 | 31.8 | 9 | 4.1 | 19 | 32.8 | 55 | 9.1 |
| Govt nursery | 1 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 3.4 | 3 | 0.5 |
| Patilwala/Faria | 253 | 96.9 | 32 | 48.5 | 199 | 91.3 | 21 | 36.2 | 505 | 83.7 |
| Other famer | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.5 | 9 | 4.1 | 15 | 25.9 | 25 | 4.1 |
| Hatchery | 1 | 0.4 | 3 | 4.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.7 | 5 | 0.8 |
| Own raised | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 4.5 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.7 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 9.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 1.0 |
| All | 261 | 100.0 | 66 | 100.0 | 218 | 100.0 | 58 | 100.0 | 603 | 100.0 |
| Grass Carp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private nursery | 4 | 3.5 | 6 | 18.8 | 3 | 5.0 | 3 | 21.4 | 16 | 7.3 |
| Govt nursery | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 3.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 7.1 | 2 | 0.9 |
| Patilwala/Faria | 106 | 93.0 | 16 | 50.0 | 56 | 93.3 | 5 | 35.7 | 183 | 83.2 |
| Other famer | 1 | 0.9 | 1 | 3.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 28.6 | 6 | 2.7 |
| Hatchery | 2 | 1.8 | 2 | 6.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 7.1 | 5 | 2.3 |
| Own raised | 1 | 0.9 | 2 | 6.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 1.4 |
| Others | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 12.5 | 1 | 1.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 2.3 |
| All | 114 | 100.0 | 32 | 100.0 | 60 | 100.0 | 14 | 100.0 | 220 | 100.0 |
| Common Carp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private nursery | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 33.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 38.5 | 11 | 20.0 |
| Govt nursery | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Patilwala/Faria | 16 | 88.9 | 10 | 55.6 | 6 | 100.0 | 2 | 15.4 | 34 | 61.8 |
| Other famer | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 38.5 | 5 | 9.1 |


| Hatchery | 1 | 5.6 | 1 | 5.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 7.7 | 3 | 5.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Own raised | 1 | 5.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.8 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 5.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.8 |
| All | 18 | 100.0 | 18 | 100.0 | 6 | 100.0 | 13 | 100.0 | 55 | 100.0 |
| Mirror Carp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private nursery | 3 | 2.9 | 3 | 18.8 | 4 | 3.8 | 10 | 41.7 | 20 | 8.1 |
| Govt nursery | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 8.3 | 2 | 0.8 |
| Patilwala/Faria | 96 | 94.1 | 10 | 62.5 | 92 | 86.8 | 5 | 20.8 | 203 | 81.9 |
| Other famer | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 6.3 | 8 | 7.5 | 5 | 20.8 | 14 | 5.6 |
| Hatchery | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.2 | 1 | 0.4 |
| Own raised | 1 | 1.0 | 2 | 12.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.2 | 4 | 1.6 |
| Wild | 1 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.8 |
| Others | 1 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.8 |
| All | 102 | 100.0 | 16 | 100.0 | 106 | 100.0 | 24 | 100.0 | 248 | 100.0 |
| Thai Sarputi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private nursery | 7 | 2.6 | 12 | 26.1 | 7 | 4.0 | 13 | 40.6 | 39 | 7.4 |
| Govt nursery | 1 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 3.1 | 2 | 0.4 |
| Patilwala/Faria | 262 | 95.6 | 24 | 52.2 | 160 | 92.5 | 11 | 34.4 | 457 | 87.0 |
| Other famer | 1 | 0.4 | 2 | 4.3 | 6 | 3.5 | 7 | 21.9 | 16 | 3.0 |
| Hatchery | 1 | 0.4 | 1 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.4 |
| Own raised | 1 | 0.4 | 3 | 6.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.8 |
| Others | 1 | 0.4 | 4 | 8.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 1.0 |
| All | 274 | 100.0 | 46 | 100.0 | 173 | 100.0 | 32 | 100.0 | 525 | 100.0 |
| Thai Pangas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private nursery | 2 | 4.3 | 1 | 20.0 | 5 | 5.3 | 1 | 50.0 | 9 | 6.0 |
| Govt nursery | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Patilwala/Faria | 43 | 91.5 | 3 | 60.0 | 88 | 92.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 134 | 89.9 |
| Other famer | 1 | 2.1 | 1 | 20.0 | 1 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 2.0 |
| Hatchery | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 50.0 | 1 | 0.7 |
| Wild | 1 | 2.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.3 |
| All | 47 | 100.0 | 5 | 100.0 | 95 | 100.0 | 2 | 100.0 | 149 | 100.0 |
| GIFT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private nursery | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 20.0 | 5 | 12.8 | 6 | 85.7 | 12 | 20.0 |
| Govt nursery | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Patilwala/Faria | 8 | 88.9 | 2 | 40.0 | 31 | 79.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 41 | 68.3 |
| Other famer | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 20.0 | 1 | 2.6 | 1 | 14.3 | 3 | 5.0 |
| Own raised | 1 | 11.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 2.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 3.3 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 20.0 | 1 | 2.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 3.3 |
| All | 9 | 100.0 | 5 | 100.0 | 39 | 100.0 | 7 | 100.0 | 60 | 100.0 |
| Tilapia/Nilotica |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private nursery | 8 | 2.9 | 13 | 28.9 | 4 | 2.2 | 19 | 30.2 | 44 | 7.8 |
| Govt nursery | 1 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.4 |
| Patilwala/Faria | 204 | 74.7 | 23 | 51.1 | 154 | 84.6 | 25 | 39.7 | 406 | 72.1 |
| Other famer | 22 | 8.1 | 2 | 4.4 | 13 | 7.1 | 17 | 27.0 | 54 | 9.6 |
| Hatchery | 5 | 1.8 | 2 | 4.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 3.2 | 9 | 1.6 |
| Own raised | 17 | 6.2 | 2 | 4.4 | 4 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 23 | 4.1 |
| Wild | 6 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 1.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 1.6 |
| Others | 10 | 3.7 | 3 | 6.7 | 3 | 1.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 16 | 2.8 |
| All | 273 | 100.0 | 45 | 100.0 | 182 | 100.0 | 63 | 100.0 | 563 | 100.0 |
| Mola/Dhela/Tengra |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private nursery | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 50.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 6.3 |
| Govt nursery | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |


| Patilwala/Faria | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 00.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Other famer | 6 | 66.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 60.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 11 | 69.3 |
| Own raised | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 50.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 6.3 |
| Wild | 1 | 11.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 40.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 18.8 |
| Other | 2 | 22.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 12.5 |
| All | 9 | 100.0 | 2 | 100.0 | 5 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 16 | 100.0 |
| Other white fish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private nursery | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 42.9 | 2 | 22.2 | 3 | 37.5 | 11 | 12.6 |
| Govt nursery | 2 | 3.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 2.3 |
| Patilwala/Faria | 44 | 78.6 | 6 | 42.9 | 7 | 77.8 | 2 | 25.0 | 59 | 67.8 |
| Other famer | 1 | 1.8 | 1 | 7.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 25.0 | 4 | 4.6 |
| Hatchery | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 12.5 | 1 | 1.1 |
| Wild | 7 | 12.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 8.0 |
| Others | 2 | 3.6 | 1 | 7.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 3.4 |
| All | 56 | 100.0 | 14 | 100.0 | 9 | 100.0 | 8 | 100.0 | 87 | 100.0 |
| Golda PL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private nursery | 5 | 3.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 6.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 4.4 |
| Patilwala/Faria | 44 | 34.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 20.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 50 | 31.3 |
| Other famer | 1 | 0.8 | 1 | 100.0 | 1 | 3.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 1.9 |
| Hatchery | 6 | 4.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 3.8 |
| Own raised | 5 | 3.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 3.1 |
| Depot | 4 | 3.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 2.5 |
| Wild | 61 | 47.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 16 | 53.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 77 | 48.1 |
| Other | 3 | 2.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 16.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 5.0 |
| All | 129 | 100.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 30 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 160 | 100.0 |

## Input Use and Costs and Returns of Dike Vegetable Cultivation

Over the regions a quarter of the households cultivated dike in the year before the survey and average size of the dike was 4 decimal per household (Table 3.15).

Almost all the labors used in the gardens were unpaid household labor and nearly threefifths of the labors were females (Table 3.16). Three-quarters of the households used inorganic fertilizers, two-thirds used manures and one-quarter used pesticides in dike.

Average labor cost was Tk. 150 per Labor Day, average value of dike vegetables, fruits and spices was Tk. 20 per kg and the average values of a dike tree was Tk . 100 in the area which were used in calculating the costs and returns of dike cultivation throughout the regions. Since labor cost comprised most of the costs (Table 3.16), the uniform rates will provide better measures of net margin which will be directly comparable across the regions.

Average cash cost of dike cultivation was Tk. 93 per decimal and gross margin per decimal was Tk. 626 and gross margin per hectare was Tk. 131651 (Table 3.18). On the average a farmer got gross return over cash cost was taka 533. On a average a household got return of Tk. 3,145 over cash cost (Table 3.20).

Nearly three-fifths of the dike vegetables, fruits and spices were consumed in the households, one-third was sold in the market and the rest was distributed to others as
gifts (Table 3.21).

Table 3.15: Dike Cultivation

| Sources | Khulna |  | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore |  | All regions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# of farms | \% of farms | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { farms } \end{aligned}$ | \% of farms | \# of farms | \% of farms | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { farms } \end{aligned}$ | \% of farms | \# of farms | \% of farmss |
| Households cultivated | 137 | 28.1 | 15 | 18.5 | 85 | 25.1 | 12 | 14.6 | 249 | 25.2 |
| Average area (dec) | 3.9 |  | 4.7 |  | 4.3 |  | 5.9 |  | 4.2 |  |

Table 3.16: Labor Use in Dike cultivation

| Labor use per decimal (MD) | Khulna |  | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore |  | All regions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { labor } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% of } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | \# of <br> labor | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | \# of labor | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | \# of labor | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | \# of <br> labor | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ |
| HH labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 10.2 | 40.5 | 23.4 | 60.6 | 9.3 | 40.3 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 10.3 | 43.1 |
| Female | 14.5 | 57.7 | 15.2 | 39.4 | 13.5 | 58.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 13.2 | 55.4 |
| Total | 24.6 | 98.1 | 38.6 | 100.0 | 22.8 | 98.5 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 23.4 | 98.5 |
| Hired labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 0.41 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.32 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.32 | 1.3 |
| Female | 0.06 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.02 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.04 | 0.2 |
| Total | 0.47 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.34 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.36 | 1.5 |
| All labor | 25.1 | 100.0 | 38.6 | 100.0 | 23.1 | 100.0 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 23.8 | 100.0 |

Table 3.17: Fertilizer and Pesticide Use in Dike Cultivation

| Fertilizers and pesticides | Khulna |  | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore |  | All regions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# of farms | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { farms } \end{aligned}$ | \# of farms | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { farms } \end{aligned}$ | \# of farms | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { farms } \end{aligned}$ | \# of farms | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { farms } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \# of farms | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { farms } \end{aligned}$ |
| Inorganic fertilizer | 58 | 42.3 | 12 | 80.0 | 56 | 65.9 | 9 | 75.0 | 135 | 54.2 |
| Organic fertilizer | 38 | 27.7 | 2 | 13.3 | 37 | 43.5 | 3 | 25.0 | 80 | 32.1 |

Table 3.18: Costs of Dike Cultivation per Decimal

| Items | Khulna |  | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore |  | All regions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Costs <br> (Tk) | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | Costs <br> (Tk) | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | Costs <br> (Tk) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% of } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | Costs <br> (Tk) | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | Costs <br> (Tk) | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ |
| Household labor | 3694 | 97.08 | 5789 | 99.5 | 3417 | 97.38 | 423 | 92.16 | 3517 | 97.42 |
| Hired labor | 70 | 1.84 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 1.45 | 0 | 0.00 | 54 | 1.50 |
| Manures | 15 | 0.39 | 12 | 0.2 | 24 | 0.68 | 12 | 5.45 | 19 | 0.53 |
| Fertilizers | 16 | 0.42 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 0.37 | 11 | 2.40 | 13 | 0.36 |
| Transport cost | 10 | 0.26 | 17 | 0.3 | 4 | 0.11 | 0 | 0.00 | 7 | 0.19 |
| Total | 3805 | 100 | 5819 | 100 | 3509 | 100 | 446 | 100 | 3610 | 100 |
| Cash Cost | 111 |  | 30 |  | 92 |  | 23 |  | 93 |  |


| Outputs | Khulna |  | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore |  | All regions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Qty <br> (Kg) | Value <br> (Tk) | Qty <br> (Kg) | Value <br> (Tk) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Qty } \\ & \text { (Ka) } \end{aligned}$ | Value <br> (Tk) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Qty } \\ & \text { (Kg) } \end{aligned}$ | Value <br> (Tk) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Qty } \\ & \text { (Kg) } \end{aligned}$ | Value <br> (Tk) |
| Output per hh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vegetables | 51.6 | 1032 | 2.7 | 53 | 81.9 | 1639 | 0.0 | 0 | 56.5 | 1131 |
| Fruits | 33.2 | 664 | 1.3 | 27 | 29.7 | 595 | 1.3 | 25 | 28.6 | 571 |
| Spices | 0.4 | 9 | 0.0 | 0 | 1.3 | 26 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.7 | 14 |


| Trees | 11.9 | 1194 | 33.3 | 3333 | 1.2 | 125 | 0.0 | 0 | 9.0 | 900 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All |  | 2900 |  | 3413 |  | 2384 |  | 25 |  | 2616 |
| Output per dec |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vegetables | 13.2 | 265 | 0.6 | 11 | 19.1 | 383 |  | 0 | 13.5 | 271 |
| Fruits | 8.5 | 170 | 0.3 | 6 | 6.9 | 139 | 0.2 | 4 | 6.8 | 137 |
| Spices | 0.1 | 2 |  | 0 | 0.3 | 6 |  | 0 | 0.2 | 3 |
| Trees | 3.1 | 306 | 7.0 | 704 | 0.3 | 29 |  | 4 | 2.2 | 215 |
| Retun per decimal | 743 |  | 721 |  | 557 |  | 29 |  | 626 |  |

Table 3.20: Financial Returns from Dike Cultivation

| Returns | Khulna | Faridpur | Barisal | Jessore | All regions |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $(\mathrm{Tk})$ | $(\mathrm{Tk})$ | $(\mathrm{Tk})$ | $(\mathrm{Tk})$ | $(\mathrm{Tk})$ |
| Gross Margin per decimal | 632 | 691 | 465 | 6 | 533 |
| Gross Margin per hctare | 156104 | 170677 | 114855 | 1482 | 131651 |
| Return over cash costs per hh | 2465 | 3248 | 2000 | 72 | 3145 |

Table 3.21: Disposal of Dike Vegetables, Fruits and Spices

| Disposal | Khulna <br> (\% output) | Faridpur <br> (\% output) | Barisal <br> (\% output) | Jessore <br> (\% output) | All regions <br> (\% output) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Consumed | 58.6 | 100.0 | 57.0 | 53.3 | 58.0 |
| Sold | 28.4 | 0.0 | 35.8 | 46.7 | 31.6 |
| Gifted and others | 13.0 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 10.4 |

## Input Use and Costs and Returns of Homestead Vegetable Cultivation

Over the regions two-fifths of the households cultivated home gardens in the year before the survey and the Average size of the gardens was 7 decimal per household (Table 3.22).

Almost all the labors used in the gardens were unpaid household labor and nearly threefifths of the labors were females (Table 3.23). Three-quarters of the households used inorganic fertilizers, two-thirds used manures and one-quarter used pesticides in home gardening.

The average labor cost was 150 taka per labor day and the average value of vegetables was 20 taka per kg in the area and these rates were used in calculating the costs and returns of home gardening throughout the regions. Since labor cost comprised most of the costs (Table 3.23) and vegetables was the only output of the gardens, the uniform rates will provide better measure of net margins which will be directly comparable across the regions.

The average cash cost of home gardening was 82 taka per decimal (Table 3.24) and the average return was 620 taka per decimal (Table 3.25). On the average a farmer got gross margin per decimal was Tk. 538 and per hectare was Tk. 132886. Average return per family was taka 3712 only (Table 3.26).

Nearly half of the garden outputs were consumed in the households, two-fifths were sold in the market and the rest was distributed to others as gifts (Table 3.27).

Table 3.22: Cultivation of Home Gardens

| Sources | Khulna |  | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore |  | All regions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \mathrm{Hh} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|ll\|} \hline \% & \text { of } \\ \text { hh } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { hh } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|ll\|} \hline \% & \text { of } \\ \text { hh } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { hh } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|ll\|} \hline \% & \text { of } \\ \text { hh } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { hh } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \% \\ \text { hh of } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { hh } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|ll\|} \hline \% & \text { of } \\ \text { hh } & \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Households cultivated | 222 | 45.8 | 15 | 18.5 | 149 | 44.1 | 11 | 13.1 | 397 | 40.2 |
| Average area (dec) | 8.1 |  | 2.9 |  | 5.8 |  | 4.0 |  | 6.9 |  |

Table 3.23: Labor Use in Home Gardening

| Labor use per decimal (MD) | Khulna |  | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore |  | All regions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# of labor | \% of total | \# of labor | \% of total | \# of labor | \% of total | \# of labor | \% of total | \# of labor | \% of total |
| HH labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 6.8 | 46.0 | 22.4 | 54.4 | 11.4 | 37.9 | 5.2 | 19.1 | 8.5 | 41.9 |
| Female | 7.8 | 52.8 | 18.4 | 44.8 | 18.4 | 61.0 | 22.0 | 80.9 | 11.5 | 57.0 |
| Total | 14.6 | 98.8 | 40.8 | 99.2 | 29.8 | 98.9 | 27.1 | 100.0 | 20.0 | 98.9 |
| Hired labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.9 |
| Female | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Total | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.1 |
| All labor | 14.8 | 100.0 | 41.2 | 100.0 | 30.1 | 100.0 | 27.1 | 100.0 | 20.2 | 100.0 |

Table 3.24: Costs of Home Gardening per Decimal

| Items | Khulna |  | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore |  | All regions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Costs <br> (Tk) | \% of total | Costs (Tk) | \% of total | Costs <br> (Tk) | \% of total | Costs <br> (Tk) | \% of total | Costs <br> (Tk) | \% of total |
| Hired labor | 27 | 41.54 | 51 | 36.43 | 51 | 43.97 | 29 | 40.28 | 34 | 41.46 |
| Manures | 5 | 7.69 | 29 | 20.71 | 9 | 7.76 | 7 | 9.722 | 7 | 8.54 |
| Fertilizers | 24 | 36.92 | 35 | 25.00 | 30 | 25.86 | 28 | 38.89 | 26 | 31.71 |
| Pesticides | 8 | 12.31 | 25 | 17.86 | 13 | 11.21 | 8 | 11.11 | 10 | 12.20 |
| Others | 1 | 1.54 | 0 | 0.00 | 13 | 11.21 |  | 0 | 5 | 6.10 |
| All | 65 | 100.00 | 140 | 100.00 | 116 | 100.00 | 72 | 100 | 82 | 100.00 |

Table 3.25: Outputs of Home Gardening

| Outputs | Khulna |  | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore |  | All regions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Qty } \\ & \text { (Kg) } \end{aligned}$ | Value (Tk) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Qty } \\ & \text { (Kg) } \end{aligned}$ | Value (Tk) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Qty } \\ & \text { (Kg) } \end{aligned}$ | Value (Tk) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Qty } \\ & \text { (Kg) } \end{aligned}$ | Value (Tk) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Qty } \\ & \text { (Kg) } \end{aligned}$ | Value <br> (Tk) |
| Output per hh | 214 | 4276 | 243 | 4867 | 221 | 4428 | 118 | 2364 | 215 | 4302 |
| Output per dec | 26 | 527 | 83 | 1659 | 38 | 764 | 30 | 598 | 31 | 620 |


| Table 3.26: Financial Returns from Home Gardening |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Returns | Khulna | Faridpur | Barisal | Jessore | All regions |  |
|  | (Tk) | (Tk) | (Tk) | (Tk) | (Tk) |  |
| Gross margin per decimal | 462 | 1519 | 648 | 526 | 538 |  |


| Gross margin per hectare | 114114 | 375193 | 160056 | 129922 | 132886 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Return over cash costs per HH | 3742 | 4405 | 3758 | 2220 | 3712 |

Table 3.27: Disposal of Garden Vegetables

| Disposal | Khulna <br> (\% output) | Faridpur <br> (\% output) | Barisal <br> (\% output) | Jessore <br> (\% output) | All regions <br> (\% output) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Consumed | 50.5 | 54.0 | 46.9 | 62.3 | 49.4 |
| Sold | 44.1 | 35.3 | 47.0 | 18.1 | 44.4 |
| Gifted and others | 5.4 | 10.7 | 6.0 | 19.6 | 6.1 |

## Adoption and Dissemination of Improved Fish Cultivation Technology

Two-thirds to three-quarters of the farmers knew about the improved technologies of liming and weed control for better fish cultivation but a quarter to half of them knew about the other technologies (Table 3.28). Most of the farmers who had the knowledge of testing natural feed adequacy in water, species selection, weed control, liming, growth monitoring and post harvest fish handling practiced the technologies but two-thirds to three-quarters of the farmers who knew of the other technologies practiced them.

In general 'not serious about it' was the major reason for the lack of practice followed by 'inputs not easily available'. 'Lack of enough knowledge' and 'lack of capital' were the other reasons for the lack of practice.

On the average from each farmer a technology was disseminated to 3-4 other farmers across the upazilas.

| Technology | Khulna |  | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore |  | All regions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Testing natural feed adequacy in water |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Knew | 230 | 47.1 | 32 | 39.5 | 146 | 43.2 | 43 | 51.2 | 451 | 45.5 |
| Practiced among those who knew | 196 | 81.7 | 19 | 54.3 | 119 | 81.5 | 40 | 93.0 | 374 | 80.6 |
| Dissmenated to average no. of farmers | 4.0 |  | 6.0 |  | 3.1 |  | 3.5 |  | 3.6 |  |
| Maintaining fish stock density |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Knew | 159 | 32.6 | 14 | 17.3 | 98 | 29.0 | 41 | 48.8 | 312 | 31.5 |
| Practiced among those who knew | 127 | 74.3 | 8 | 44.4 | 72 | 73.5 | 33 | 80.5 | 240 | 73.2 |
| Dissmenated to average no. of farmers | 4.0 |  | 10 |  | 2.9 |  | 4 |  | 3.6 |  |
| Species selection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Knew | 252 | 51.7 | 27 | 33.3 | 179 | 53.0 | 48 | 57.1 | 506 | 51.1 |
| Practiced among those who knew average no. of farmers | 210 | 80.5 | 13 | 44.8 | 153 | 85.5 | 40 | 83.3 | 416 | 80.5 |
| Dissmenated to average no. of farmers | 4.3 |  | 4 |  | 3.3 |  | 4 |  | 3.9 |  |
| Weed control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Knew | 373 | 76.6 | 50 | 61.7 | 237 | 70.1 | 71 | 84.5 | 731 | 73.8 |
| Practiced among those who knew | 349 | 93.1 | 38 | 74.5 | 224 | 94.5 | 71 | 100.0 | 682 | 92.9 |
| Dissmenated to average no. of farmers | 4.0 |  | 3.7 |  | 3.4 |  | 4.3 |  | 3.9 |  |


| Lming |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Knew | 346 | 71.0 | 48 | 59.3 | 202 | 59.8 | 62 | 73.8 | 658 | 66.5 |
| Practiced among those who knew | 309 | 88.5 | 30 | 58.8 | 153 | 75.7 | 61 | 98.4 | 553 | 83.3 |
| Dissmenated to average no. of farmers | 4.7 |  | 3.6 |  | 3.6 |  | 5.0 |  | 4.4 |  |
| Supplementary feeding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Knew | 210 | 43.1 | 37 | 45.7 | 170 | 50.3 | 57 | 67.9 | 474 | 47.9 |
| Practiced among those who knew | 169 | 77.2 | 24 | 61.5 | 116 | 67.8 | 43 | 75.4 | 352 | 72.4 |
| Dissmenated to average no. of farmers | 4.5 |  | 4.4 |  | 3.7 |  | 3.7 |  | 4.1 |  |
| Fish disease management |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Knew | 117 | 24.0 | 31 | 38.3 | 51 | 15.1 | 29 | 34.5 | 228 | 23.0 |
| Practiced among those who knew | 98 | 76.6 | 15 | 42.9 | 29 | 56.9 | 26 | 89.7 | 168 | 69.1 |
| Dissmenated to average no. of farmers | 3.8 |  | 4.0 |  | 4.2 |  | 3.6 |  | 3.9 |  |
| Health monitoring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Knew | 149 | 30.7 | 23 | 28.4 | 66 | 19.5 | 27 | 32.1 | 265 | 26.8 |
| Practiced among those who knew | 129 | 80.6 | 10 | 35.7 | 47 | 71.2 | 25 | 92.6 | 211 | 75.1 |
| Dissmenated to average no. of farmers | 3.4 |  | 5.0 |  | 3.7 |  | 3.6 |  | 3.5 |  |
| Growth monitoring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Knew | 219 | 45.0 | 37 | 45.7 | 107 | 31.7 | 46 | 54.8 | 409 | 41.3 |
| Practiced among those who knew | 203 | 89.4 | 28 | 70.0 | 81 | 75.0 | 44 | 95.7 | 356 | 84.6 |
| Dissmenated to average no. of farmers | 3.7 |  | 4 |  | 4.1 |  | 4 |  | 3.8 |  |
| Post-harvest handling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Knew | 155 | 31.8 | 29 | 35.8 | 136 | 40.2 | 45 | 53.6 | 365 | 36.9 |
| Practiced among those who knew | 142 | 85.5 | 20 | 60.6 | 105 | 77.2 | 40 | 88.9 | 307 | 80.8 |
| Dissmenated to average no. of farmers | 4.2 |  | 3.0 |  | 4.0 |  | 4.9 |  | 4.3 |  |
| Use of quality seeds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Knew | 265 | 54.5 | 24 | 29.6 | 186 | 55.0 | 47 | 56.0 | 522 | 52.8 |
| Practiced among those who knew | 219 | 80.2 | 14 | 53.8 | 140 | 75.3 | 30 | 63.8 | 403 | 75.8 |
| Dissmenated to average no. of farmers | 4.9 |  | 2.6 |  | 4.3 |  | 4.0 |  | 4.4 |  |
| Feed application procedures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Knew | 219 | 45.0 | 5 | 6.2 | 116 | 34.3 | 35 | 41.7 | 375 | 37.9 |
| Practiced among those who knew | 179 | 78.5 | 3 | 30.0 | 74 | 63.2 | 30 | 85.7 | 286 | 73.3 |
| Dissmenated to average no. of farmers | 4.8 |  | 3.0 |  | 3.8 |  | 3.8 |  | 4.3 |  |
| Constraints of adoption: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inputs not easily available | 7 | 17.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 15 | 55.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 22 | 25.3 |
| Lack of capital | 7 | 17.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 3.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 9.2 |
| Not serious about it | 25 | 61.0 | 6 | 37.5 | 9 | 33.3 | 1 | 33.3 | 41 | 47.1 |
| Lack of enough knowledge | 5 | 12.2 | 6 | 37.5 | 2 | 7.4 | 2 | 66.7 | 15 | 17.2 |
| Others | 2 | 4.9 | 4 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 6.9 |

## Household Decision Making in Fish Culture

Half the times farmers themselves took all the decisions in fish cultivation and nearly onethird to half the times they took the decisions jointly with the other male and female members of the household (Table 3.29). In very few occasions the other male and female members of the household took the decisions independently.

| Table 3.29: Household Decision Making in Fish Culture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Decisions | Khulna |  | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore |  | All regions |  |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Planning fish culture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Farmer him/herself | 203 | 42.1 | 65 | 80.2 | 158 | 47.4 | 52 | 65.0 | 478 | 49.0 |
| Other female members | 3 | 0.6 | 1 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.4 |
| Other male members | 26 | 5.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 1.8 | 3 | 3.8 | 35 | 3.6 |
| Jointly | 250 | 51.9 | 15 | 18.5 | 169 | 50.8 | 25 | 31.3 | 459 | 47.0 |
| Selection of species |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Respondent farmer | 241 | 50.3 | 68 | 84.0 | 167 | 50.6 | 61 | 75.3 | 537 | 55.3 |
| Other female members | 2 | 0.4 | 1 | 1.2 | 1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.4 |
| Other male members | 32 | 6.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 2.1 | 4 | 4.9 | 43 | 4.4 |
| Jointly | 204 | 42.6 | 12 | 14.8 | 155 | 47.0 | 16 | 19.8 | 387 | 39.9 |
| Fish seed purchase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Respondent farmer | 245 | 52.5 | 70 | 86.4 | 167 | 51.1 | 64 | 80.0 | 546 | 57.2 |
| Other female members | 5 | 1.1 | 1 | 1.2 | 2 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 0.8 |
| Other male members | 41 | 8.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 2.1 | 5 | 6.3 | 53 | 5.5 |
| Jointly | 176 | 37.7 | 10 | 12.3 | 151 | 46.2 | 11 | 13.8 | 348 | 36.4 |
| Feed application |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Respondent farmer | 213 | 46.5 | 52 | 65.8 | 147 | 44.5 | 49 | 61.3 | 461 | 48.7 |
| Other female members | 10 | 2.2 | 2 | 2.5 | 2 | 0.6 | 2 | 2.5 | 16 | 1.7 |
| Other male members | 29 | 6.3 | 1 | 1.3 | 12 | 3.6 | 5 | 6.3 | 47 | 5.0 |
| Jointly | 206 | 45.0 | 24 | 30.4 | 169 | 51.2 | 24 | 30.0 | 423 | 44.7 |
| Fertilizer application |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Respondent farmer | 196 | 48.8 | 60 | 77.9 | 138 | 49.8 | 49 | 61.3 | 443 | 53.0 |
| Other female members | 5 | 1.2 | 3 | 3.9 | 2 | 0.7 | 2 | 2.5 | 12 | 1.4 |
| Other male members | 35 | 8.7 | 1 | 1.3 | 9 | 3.2 | 6 | 7.5 | 51 | 6.1 |
| Jointly | 166 | 41.3 | 13 | 16.9 | 128 | 46.2 | 23 | 28.8 | 330 | 39.5 |
| Stocking density |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Respondent farmer | 239 | 53.7 | 64 | 85.3 | 153 | 50.8 | 62 | 76.5 | 518 | 57.4 |
| Other female members | 4 | 0.9 | 1 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 2.5 | 7 | 0.8 |
| Other male members | 36 | 8.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 1.7 | 2 | 2.5 | 43 | 4.8 |
| Jointly | 166 | 37.3 | 10 | 13.3 | 143 | 47.5 | 15 | 18.5 | 334 | 37.0 |
| Feed preparation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Respondent farmer | 210 | 45.8 | 55 | 70.5 | 155 | 48.0 | 50 | 62.5 | 470 | 50.0 |
| Other female members | 8 | 1.7 | 2 | 2.6 | 2 | 0.6 | 2 | 2.5 | 14 | 1.5 |
| Other male members | 24 | 5.2 | 1 | 1.3 | 9 | 2.8 | 3 | 3.8 | 37 | 3.9 |
| Jointly | 217 | 47.3 | 20 | 25.6 | 157 | 48.6 | 25 | 31.3 | 419 | 44.6 |
| Time to harvest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Respondent farmer | 219 | 47.7 | 44 | 58.7 | 159 | 48.6 | 58 | 71.6 | 480 | 51.0 |
| Other female members | 6 | 1.3 | 1 | 1.3 | 1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 0.8 |
| Other male members | 26 | 5.7 | 1 | 1.3 | 11 | 3.4 | 3 | 3.7 | 41 | 4.4 |
| Jointly | 208 | 45.3 | 29 | 38.7 | 156 | 47.7 | 20 | 24.7 | 413 | 43.8 |

Dyke cultivation planning

| Respondent farmer | 101 | 43.2 | 20 | 80.0 | 53 | 47.3 | 22 | 59.5 | 196 | 48.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other female members | 10 | 4.3 | 1 | 4.0 | 3 | 2.7 | 2 | 5.4 | 16 | 3.9 |
| Other male members | 5 | 2.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 1.5 |
| Jointly | 118 | 50.4 | 4 | 16.0 | 55 | 49.1 | 13 | 35.1 | 190 | 46.6 |
| Vegetables selling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Respondent farmer | 125 | 40.8 | 17 | 70.8 | 63 | 44.7 | 25 | 59.5 | 230 | 44.8 |
| Other female members | 9 | 2.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.7 | 1 | 2.4 | 11 | 2.1 |
| Other male members | 14 | 4.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.7 | 1 | 2.4 | 16 | 3.1 |
| Jointly | 158 | 51.6 | 7 | 29.2 | 76 | 53.9 | 15 | 35.7 | 256 | 49.9 |
| Re-investment of income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Respondent farmer | 218 | 47.9 | 52 | 69.3 | 153 | 48.1 | 54 | 67.5 | 477 | 51.4 |
| Other female members | 3 | 0.7 | 2 | 2.7 | 1 | 0.3 | 2 | 2.5 | 8 | 0.9 |
| Other male members | 21 | 4.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 1.3 | 4 | 5.0 | 29 | 3.1 |
| Jointly | 213 | 46.8 | 21 | 28.0 | 160 | 50.3 | 20 | 25.0 | 414 | 44.6 |
| Distribution of responsibility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Respondent farmer | 182 | 40.3 | 33 | 45.2 | 150 | 46.0 | 49 | 60.5 | 414 | 44.4 |
| Other female members | 1 | 0.2 | 1 | 1.4 | 1 | 0.3 | 1 | 1.2 | 4 | 0.4 |
| Other male members | 16 | 3.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.3 | 4 | 4.9 | 21 | 2.3 |
| Jointly | 253 | 56.0 | 39 | 53.4 | 174 | 53.4 | 27 | 33.3 | 493 | 52.9 |

## Nutritional Status in Pond Aquaculture Households

## Household Hunger

Household hunger score was estimated using the three generic questions formulated and validated in the Food and Nutrition Technical Assistance (FANTA) project of Diseases anlobal Health at the USA Agency for International Development. Using this approach almost all the fish farmers had little or no food hunger in the households (3.30).

| Indicators | Khulna |  | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore |  | All regions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. |  |
| How often there was no food to eat of any kind in your house |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never | 461 | 94.5 | 73 | 90.1 | 328 | 97.0 | 78 | 95.1 | 940 | 95.0 |
| Rarely or sometimes | 21 | 4.3 | 5 | 6.2 | 5 | 1.5 | 3 | 3.7 | 34 | 3.4 |
| Often | 6 | 1.2 | 3 | 3.7 | 5 | 1.5 | 1 | 1.2 | 15 | 1.5 |
| How often did any member of your household go to bed hungry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never | 467 | 95.7 | 76 | 93.8 | 321 | 95.0 | 78 | 95.1 | 942 | 95.2 |
| Rarely or sometimes | 13 | 2.7 | 1 | 1.2 | 15 | 4.4 | 2 | 2.4 | 31 | 3.1 |
| Often | 8 | 1.6 | 4 | 4.9 | 2 | 0.6 | 2 | 2.4 | 16 | 1.6 |
| How often did any member of your household spend a full day and night without eating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never | 478 | 98.0 | 75 | 92.6 | 330 | 97.6 | 80 | 97.6 | 963 | 97.4 |
| Rarely or sometimes | 7 | 1.4 | 2 | 2.5 | 4 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 13 | 1.3 |
| Often | 3 | 0.6 | 4 | 4.9 | 4 | 1.2 | 2 | 2.4 | 13 | 1.3 |
| Household hunger status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Little or no hunger (0-1) | 474 | 97.1 | 75 | 92.6 | 327 | 96.7 | 80 | 97.6 | 956 | 96.7 |
| Moderate hunger (2-3) | 7 | 1.4 | 1 | 1.2 | 7 | 2.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 15 | 1.5 |


| Severe hunger (4-6) | 7 | 1.4 | 5 | 6.2 | 4 | 1.2 | 2 | 2.4 | 18 | 1.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All | 488 | 100 | 81 | 100 | 338 | 100 | 82 | 100 | 989 | 100 |

## Women's Dietary Diversity

The study observed 916 women aged 15-49 years in 991 households across the regions. Most of the women ate grains, roots or tubers, animal protein and fruits and vegetables, some half to two-thirds ate legumes and vitamin A rich dark green leafy vegetables, and a quarter to two-fifths ate the other food groups including eggs, dairy products and other vitamin A rich fruits and vegetables on the day before the survey. Overall, four-fifths of the women ate four or more food mentioned in the following table which is regarded to provide adequate nutritional diversity and their diet was nutritionally adequate. On the other hand diet of some one-fifths of the women was not nutritionally adequate (Table3.31).

| Table 3.31: Dietary Diversity of Women 15-49 Years Old |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food groups | Khulna |  | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore |  | All regions |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Grains, roots and tubers | 361 | 78.3 | 55 | 80.9 | 246 | 79.6 | 74 | 94.9 | 736 | 80.3 |
| Legumes | 231 | 50.1 | 47 | 69.1 | 223 | 72.2 | 40 | 51.3 | 541 | 59.1 |
| Dairy products | 143 | 31.0 | 19 | 27.9 | 87 | 28.2 | 37 | 47.4 | 286 | 31.2 |
| Eggs | 165 | 35.8 | 26 | 38.2 | 125 | 40.5 | 33 | 42.3 | 349 | 38.1 |
| Flesh foods and other misc. animal protein | 398 | 86.3 | 57 | 83.8 | 272 | 88.0 | 70 | 89.7 | 797 | 87.0 |
| Vitamin A rich dark green leafy vegetables | 259 | 56.2 | 51 | 75.0 | 200 | 64.7 | 70 | 89.7 | 580 | 63.3 |
| Other Vitamin A rich vegetables and fruits | 183 | 39.7 | 38 | 55.9 | 122 | 39.5 | 28 | 35.9 | 371 | 40.5 |
| Other fruits and vegetables | 403 | 87.4 | 54 | 79.4 | 293 | 94.8 | 32 | 41.0 | 782 | 85.4 |
| Average | 4.6 |  | 5.1 |  | 5.1 |  | 4.9 |  | 4.8 |  |
| Distribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-3 groups | 95 | 20.6 | 7 | 10.3 | 47 | 15.2 | 8 | 10.3 | 157 | 17.1 |
| 4 or more groups | 366 | 79.4 | 61 | 89.7 | 262 | 84.8 | 70 | 89.7 | 759 | 82.9 |
| All women | 461 | 100.0 | 68 | 100.0 | 309 | 100.0 | 78 | 100.0 | 916 | 100.0 |

## Nutritional Status of Children 6-23 Months Old

Almost all the children were fed colostrums and there were no children which was never breastfed (Table 3.32). Three-fifths of the children were initiated breastfeeding immediately after birth. Nearly half the children were exclusively breastfed for six months but a fifth of them were introduced complementary feeding right after six months. A quarter of those who were given complementary foods right after six months were given solids, semisolids or soft foods and most of them were continued breastfeeding along with complementary feeding. Half the children were fed supplementary foods four times or more in the last 24 hours of the survey and most of them were fed foods from four or more food groups.

Table 3.32: Nutritional status of 6-23 months old children

| Indicators | Khulna |  | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore |  | All regions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Fed colostrum to the child | 39 | 100 | 7 | 100 | 34 | 94.4 | 8 | 100 | 88 | 97.8 |


| Initiated breastfeeding immediately after birth | 22 | 56.4 | 4 | 57.1 | 23 | 63.9 | 4 | 50.0 | 53 | 58.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average duration of first initiating breastfeeding (hours) | 2.9 |  | 16.0 |  | 3.3 |  | 2.0 |  | 3.5 |  |
| Never breastfed the child | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Exclusively breastfed for 6 months | 21 | 37.5 | 5 | 100 | 24 | 77.4 | 2 | 28.6 | 52 | 52.5 |
| Average duration of exclusive breastfeeding (months) | 3.0 |  | 9.2 |  | 5.6 |  | 4.9 |  | 4.2 |  |
| Introduced complementary feeding right after 6 months | 9 | 14.5 | 3 | 42.9 | 7 | 20.6 | 1 | 12.5 | 20 | 18.0 |
| Average age at introduction of complementary foods (months) | 3.1 |  | 6.6 |  | 5.9 |  | 5.8 |  | 4.4 |  |
| Introduced solid, semisolid or soft foods right after 6 months | 14 | 22.6 | 3 | 42.9 | 11 | 33.3 | 1 | 12.5 | 29 | 26.4 |
| Average age at introduction of solid, semi-solid or soft foods (months) | 3.4 |  | 7.0 |  | 6.1 |  | 6.1 |  | 4.6 |  |
| Continued breastfeeding along with complementary feeding for 12 or more months | 32 | 82.1 | 7 | 100 | 28 | 77.8 | 7 | 87.5 | 74 | 82.2 |
| Average number of months continued breastfeeding along with complementary feeding | 2.2 |  | ${ }^{*}$ |  | 7.1 |  | 2.0 |  | 3.1 |  |
| Fed supplementary foods 4 times or more in last 24 hours | 16 | 45.7 | 6 | 85.7 | 15 | 46.9 | 3 | 37.5 | 40 | 48.8 |
| Average number of times fed supplementary foods in last 24 hours | 4.4 |  | 4.7 |  | 3.8 |  | 3.3 |  | 4.1 |  |
| Breastfed 4 times or more in last 24 hours | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  |
| Average number of times breastfed in last 24 hours | 10.7 |  | 11.6 |  | 11.8 |  | 8.5 |  | 11.0 |  |
| Average number of food groups supplementary foods were fed from in last 24 hours | 4.4 |  | 4.7 |  | 3.8 |  | 3.3 |  | 4.1 |  |
| Fed supplementary foods from 4 or more food groups and 4 or more times in last 24 hours | 30 | 83.3 | 5 | 71.4 | 29 | 85.3 | 6 | 75.0 | 70 | 82.4 |

## Children's Dietary Diversity

The study observed 80 children aged 6-23 months in 991 households across the regions. Nearly three-quarters of the children ate grains, roots or tubers and some two-thirds ate fruits and vegetables. Two-fifths ate vitamin A rich vegetables and fruits but fewer ate the other food groups including legumes, dairy products and eggs on the day before the survey. Overall, two-thirds of the children ate four food groups which is regarded to provide adequate nutritional diversity and their diet was nutritionally adequate. On the
other hand diet of over one-third of the children was not nutritionally adequate (Table 3.33).

| Table 3.33: Dietary Diversity of Children 6-23 months Old |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food groups | Khulna |  | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore |  | All regions |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Grains, roots and tubers | 26 | 76.5 | 3 | 42.9 | 22 | 75.9 | 6 | 75.0 | 57 | 73.1 |
| Legumes and nuts | 11 | 32.4 | 4 | 57.1 | 15 | 51.7 | 3 | 37.5 | 33 | 42.3 |
| Dairy products | 19 | 55.9 | 1 | 14.3 | 13 | 44.8 | 5 | 62.5 | 38 | 48.7 |
| Eggs | 15 | 44.1 | 3 | 42.9 | 15 | 51.7 | 3 | 37.5 | 36 | 46.2 |
| Vitamin A rich vegetables/fruits | 20 | 58.8 | 3 | 42.9 | 18 | 62.1 | 4 | 50.0 | 45 | 57.7 |
| Other fruits and vegetables | 18 | 52.9 | 5 | 71.4 | 24 | 82.8 | 4 | 50.0 | 51 | 65.4 |
| Distribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-3 groups | 17 | 47.2 | 3 | 42.9 | 7 | 24.1 | 3 | 37.5 | 30 | 37.5 |
| 4 or more groups | 19 | 52.8 | 4 | 57.1 | 22 | 75.9 | 5 | 62.5 | 50 | 62.5 |
| All children | 36 | 100.0 | 7 | 100.0 | 29 | 100.0 | 8 | 100.0 | 80 | 100.0 |

## CHAPTER 4: COMMERCIAL FISH CULTURE

## Household Characteristics

All most all the sample households (92.5\%) were headed by male (Table 3.01). Average size of the households was 4.8 members which corresponded well with the national Average of 4.9 members (BBS, 2010). Most of the farmers were over 25 years of age and average age around 42 years. Only $14 \%$ of the farmers had no school education. However, none-educated farmers of Khulna and Faridpur were much higher than Barisal and Jessore.
The main occupation of all most all the female headed households was housekeeping. Overall, nearly one-fifth of the household heads had farming and less than one-fifth had secondary occupation. One-fifth had fish culture as the main occupation and around one-fourth took it as secondary occupation. On the average they had involvement in pond fish culture for around a decade. One-third of them received one or more training in fish cultivation in the last three years of the survey and average number of training received by them was around 4.

Study also showed that on an average the farmers are involved in fish culture for around a decade. About $33 \%$ of them received average 4 number of training on fish cultivation in the last three years.

| Table 4.01: Household Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characteristics | Khulna |  | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore |  | All regions |  |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Average household size | 4.8 |  | 4.6 |  | 4.6 |  | 4.6 |  | 4.7 | 4.8 |
| Sex of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 17 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | 94.7 | 98 | 97.0 | 80 | 84.2 | 14 | 87.5 | 371 | 92.5 |
| Female | 10 | 5.3 | 3 | 3.0 | 15 | 15.8 | 2 | 12.5 | 30 | 7.5 |
| All | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 18 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 . \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 10 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 . \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 95 | $\begin{aligned} & 100 . \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 16 | 100.0 | 401 | $\begin{aligned} & 100 . \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Age of the farmer (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 25 | 18 | 9.5 | 5 | 5.0 | 5 | 5.3 | 2 | 12.5 | 30 | 7.5 |
| 25-34 | 32 | 16.9 | 25 | 24.8 | 22 | 23.2 | 2 | 12.5 | 81 | 20.2 |
| 35-44 | 50 | 26.5 | 27 | 26.7 | 26 | 27.4 | 8 | 50.0 | 111 | 27.7 |
| 45-54 | 47 | 24.9 | 24 | 23.8 | 20 | 21.1 | 3 | 18.8 | 94 | 23.4 |
| 55 or above | 42 | 22.2 | 20 | 19.8 | 22 | 23.2 | 1 | 6.3 | 85 | 21.2 |
| Less than 25 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 18 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | 100 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 10 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | 100 | 95 | 100 | 16 | 100 | 401 | 100 |


| Average | $\begin{aligned} & 42 . \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 42 . \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 43 . \\ & 6 . \end{aligned}$ |  | 38. 3 |  | 42. 7 | 42.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Educational level of the farmer (grades completed) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 33 | 17.5 | 16 | 15.8 | 7 | 7.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 56 | 14.0 |
| 1-5 | 50 | 26.5 | 25 | 24.8 | 19 | 20.0 | 5 | 31.3 | 99 | 24.7 |
| 6-10 | 42 | 22.2 | 28 | 27.7 | 19 | 20.0 | 4 | 25.0 | 93 | 23.2 |
| 10-12 | 54 | 28.6 | 23 | 22.8 | 41 | 43.2 | 5 | 31.3 | 123 | 30.7 |
| 13 or more | 10 | 5.3 | 9 | 8.9 | 9 | 9.5 | 2 | 12.5 | 30 | 7.5 |
| All | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 100 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | 100 | 95 | 100 | 16 | 100 | 401 | 100 |
| Primary occupation of the farmer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| House wife | 9 | 4.8 | 3 | 2.97 | 11 | 11.6 | 2 | 13 | 25 | 6.2 |
| Service | 7 | 3.7 | 6 | 5.9 | 9 | 9.5 | 1 | 6 | 23 | 5.7 |
| Big/medium Business | 9 | 4.8 | 8 | 7.9 | 20 | 21.1 | 2 | 13 | 39 | 9.7 |
| Small business | 5 | 2.6 | 10 | 9.9 | 9 | 9.5 | 1 | 6 | 25 | 6.2 |
| Day labor | 6 | 3.2 | 3 | 3.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2.2 |
| Rickshaw/Van driver | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.2 |
| Agriculture (Own/share cropper) | 39 | 20.6 | 19 | 18.8 | 26 | 27.4 | 1 | 6 | 85 | 21.2 |
| Handicrafts, Carpenter, Mason and other self employed | 2 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.5 |
| Professional ( Doctor, engineer, advocate) | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 3.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0.7 |
| Student | 7 | 3.7 | 3 | 3.0 | 2 | 2.1 | 1 | 6 | 13 | 3.2 |
| Retired / Minor child | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 4.0 | 1 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1.2 |
| Old (Age > 60 years) | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.5 |
| Fish Culture | 57 | 30.2 | 7 | 6.9 | 9 | 9.5 | 8 | 50 | 81 | 20.2 |
| Others | 47 | 24.9 | 34 | 33.7 | 7 | 7.4 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 21.9 |
| All | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 100 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | 100 | 95 | 100 | 16 | 100 | 401 | 100 |


| Secondary occupation of the farmer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| House wife | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.5 |
| Service | 3 | 1.6 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 1.2 |
| Big/medium Business | 12 | 6.3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 13 | 3.2 |
| Small business | 11 | 5.8 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 6.3 | 15 | 3.7 |
| Day labor | 13 | 6.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 13 | 3.2 |
| Rickshaw/Van driver | 2 | 1.1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.7 |
| Agriculture (Own/share cropper) | 37 | 19.6 | 19 | 19 | 7 | 7.4 | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 37 . \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 69 | 17.2 |
| Handicrafts, Carpenter, Mason and other self employed | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.5 |
| Professional ( Doctor, engineer, advocate) | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.2 |
| Fish Culture | 27 | 14.3 | 7 | 7 | 50 | 52.6 | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 43 . \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | 91 | 22.7 |
| Others | 32 | 16.9 | 46 | 46 | 23 | 24.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 101 | 25.2 |
| No Subsidiary Occupation | 50 | 26.5 | 22 | 22 | 12 | 12.6 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 12 . \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 86 | 21.4 |
| All | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 100 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | 100 | 95 | 100 | 16 | 100 | 401 | 100 |
| Average no. of training received | 4.5 |  | 3.6 |  | 3.4 |  | 2.7 |  | 3.9 |  |
| Average no. of years involve in fishing | $\begin{aligned} & 11 . \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 11 . \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | 9.9 |  | 8.5 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11 . \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |

## Fish Ponds

Average number of fish gher/pond cultivated by per household was 3.2 and some two-third of the households cultivated over 2 ghers/ponds (Table 4.02).Average water area cultivated by per household was 183 decimal ( 0.74 hectare) and it ranges from 4 decimals to 3000 decimals ( 12.15 hectare). Average area of gher/pond of Khulna, Faridpur, Barisal and Jessor were 255, 144, 75 and 239 decimal respectively. More than $25 \%$ of the farmer leased-in the gher/pond in all the hubs except Barisal and less than $10 \%$ leased-out except Khulna.

| Characteristics | Khulna |  | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore |  | All regions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| No. of fish ponds cultivated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-2 | 111 | 59.73 | 73 | 72.28 | 77 | 81.05 | 7 | 43.75 | 268 | 66.83 |
| 3-4 | 56 | 29.63 | 16 | 15.84 | 16 | 16.84 | 3 | 18.75 | 91 | 22.69 |


| 5 or more | 22 | 11.64 | 12 | 11.88 | 2 | 2.11 | 6 | 47.50 | 42 | 10.48 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 189 | 100 | 101 | 100 | 95 | 100 | 16 | 100 | 401 | 100 |
| Average | 3.6 |  | 3.5 |  | 1.9 |  | 4.6 |  | 3.2 |  |
| Area of fish ghers/ponds cultivated (decimals) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.00-50.00 | 30 | 16.13 | 30 | 29.70 | 43 | 45.26 | 2 | 12.5 | 105 | 26.38 |
| 50.01-100.00 | 42 | 22.58 | 35 | 34.65 | 39 | 41.05 | 3 | 18.75 | 119 | 29.90 |
| 100.01-150.00 | 21 | 11.29 | 11 | 10.89 | 4 | 4.21 | 1 | 6.25 | 37 | 9.30 |
| 150.01-200.00 | 37 | 19.89 | 5 | 4.95 | 3 | 3.16 | 3 | 18.75 | 48 | 12.06 |
| 200.01-250.00 | 14 | 7.53 | 2 | 1.98 | 3 | 3.16 | 1 | 6.25 | 20 | 5.03 |
| 250.01 and above | 42 | 22.58 | 18 | 17.82 | 3 | 3.16 | 6 | 37.5 | 69 | 17.34 |
| Total | 186 | 100 | 101 | 100 | 95 | 100 | 16 | 100 | 398 | 100 |
| Average area | 254.7 |  | 143.7 |  | 74.7 |  | 238.6 |  | 182.9 |  |
| Leased in ghers/ponds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Farmers | 60 | 31.75 | 34 | 33.66 | 8 | 8.42 | 4 | 25.00 | 106 | 26.43 |
| Average area | 245.3 |  | 212.0 |  | 66.8 |  | 364.0 |  | 225.6 |  |
| Leased out ghers/ponds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Farmers | 30 | 15.87 | 5 | 4.95 | 1 | 1.05 | 1 | 6.25 | 37 | 9.23 |
| Average area | 199.1 |  | 39.0 |  | 21.0 |  | 156.0 |  | 171.5 |  |

## Cultivable Land

The Average number of field plots cultivated by per household was 4.5 and over half of the households' cultivated 1-2 plots (Table 4.03). Average area cultivated per household was 0.74. It was $0.56,0.69,1.03$ and 0.68 in Khulna, Faridpur, Barisal and Jessore respectively. Nearly 10\% households leased-in and 20\% leased cultivable land.

| Characteristics | Khulna |  | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore |  | All regions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Average no. of field plot cultivated | 2.9 |  | 6.8 |  | 4.4 |  | 3.9 |  | 4.5 |  |
| Area of land cultivated (decimals) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.00-50.00 | 44 | 37.93 | 14 | 15.56 | 17 | 19.77 | 2 | 15.38 | 84 | 27.54 |
| 50.01-100.00 | 26 | 22.41 | 17 | 18.89 | 25 | 29.07 | 2 | 15.38 | 73 | 23.93 |
| 100.01-150.00 | 13 | 11.21 | 16 | 17.78 | 9 | 10.47 | 2 | 15.38 | 30 | 9.84 |
| 150.01-200.00 | 14 | 12.07 | 14 | 15.56 | 6 | 6.98 | 4 | 30.77 | 38 | 12.46 |
| 200.01-250.00 | 3 | 2.59 | 13 | 14.44 | 3 | 3.49 | 1 | 7.69 | 20 | 6.56 |
| 250.01 and above | 16 | 13.79 | 16 | 17.78 | 26 | 30.23 | 2 | 15.38 | 61 | 20.00 |
| All Farmers | 116 | 100 | 90 | 100 | 86 | 100 | 13 | 100 | 305 | 100 |
| Average area (Hectare) | 0.56 |  | 0.69 |  | 1.03 |  | 0.68 |  | 0.74 |  |
| Leased in farm land |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of farmers | 20 | 10.58 | 7 | 6.93 | 5 | 5.26 | 4 | 25.00 | 38 | 9.48 |
| Average area (Hectare) | 0.70 |  | 0.68 |  | 0.49 |  | 10.61 |  | 0.58 |  |
| Leased out farm land |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of farmers | 10 | 5.25 | 18 | 17.82 | 15 | 15.79 | 1 | 6.25 | 84 | 20.94 |
| Average area (Hectare) | 0.33 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.37 |  | 0.12 |  | 1.07 |  |

## Home Gardening

Nearly $90 \%$ of the households owned a homestead. Average homestead area was 28 decimals and around half of the households had an area of 20 decimals or less. Over half the households had a homestead vegetable garden. Average number of homestead vegetable plots cultivated
by per household was 1.8 and four in ten households' cultivated one plot. Average area cultivated by per household was 7 decimals while most of the farmers cultivated less than 10 decimals (Table 4.04).

## Homestead Trees

Nearly half of the households owned homestead trees. One-quarter had trees over 5 decimals of land and average area of homestead trees was 15 decimal. Homestead tree are bamboo, timber and fruit trees. Average number of homestead trees per household was 12 varying from 8 in Faridpur to 17 in Jessore (Table 4.04).

| Characteristics Khulna Faridpur Barisal Jessore All regions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characteristics | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Area in homestead (decimal) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 00.01-10.00 | 37 | 19.6 | 31 | 30.7 | 3 | 3.2 | 7 | 43.75 | 101 | 25.2 |
| 10.01-20.00 | 60 | 31.7 | 20 | 19.8 | 21 | 22.1 | 3 | 18.75 | 110 | 27.4 |
| 20.01-30.00 | 25 | 13.2 | 15 | 14.9 | 23 | 24.2 | 4 | 25 | 61 | 15.2 |
| 30.01-40.00 | 19 | 10.1 | 3 | 3.0 | 12 | 12.6 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 10.2 |
| 40.0-50.00 | 8 | 4.2 | 5 | 5.0 | 12 | 12.6 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 5.7 |
| 50.01 and above | 21 | 11.1 | 15 | 14.9 | 12 | 12.6 | 2 | 12.5 | 45 | 11.2 |
| No homestead land | 19 | 10.1 | 12 | 11.9 | 12 | 12.6 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 5.0 |
| All | 189 | 100.0 | 101 | 100.0 | 95 | 100.0 | 16 | 100 | 401 | 100.0 |
| Total having Homestead | 170 | 89.9 | 89 | 88.1 | 83 | 87.4 | 16 | 100 | 381 | 95.0 |
| Average area in decimal | 26.4 |  | 24.3 |  | 34.8 |  | 19.0 |  | 27.5 |  |
| Average plot culrivated per hh | 1.1 |  | 1.0 |  | 2.3 |  | 1.0 |  | 1.4 |  |
| Area cultivated (decimals) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10 | 81 | 68.6 | 39 | 86.7 | 29 | 46.8 | 3 | 75.0 | 152 | 66.4 |
| 10-19 | 20 | 16.9 | 4 | 8.9 | 16 | 25.8 | 1 | 25.0 | 41 | 17.9 |
| Over 19 | 17 | 14.4 | 2 | 4.4 | 17 | 27.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 36 | 15.7 |
| All | 118 | 100 | 45 | 100 | 62 | 100 | 4 | 100 | 229 | 100 |
| Average area in decimal | 11.9 |  | 4.9 |  | 15.9 |  | 4.5 |  | 11.4 | 11.9 |
| Area under homestead trees (decimals) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 5 | 45 | 23.8 | 27 | 26.7 | 21 | 22.1 | 4 | 25.0 | 97 | 24.2 |
| 5-9 | 12 | 6.3 | 2 | 2.0 | 18 | 18.9 | 1 | 6.3 | 33 | 8.2 |
| Over 9 | 34 | 18.0 | 12 | 11.9 | 36 | 37.9 | 4 | 25.0 | 86 | 21.4 |
| None | 98 | 51.9 | 60 | 59.4 | 20 | 21.1 | 7 | 43.8 | 185 | 46.1 |
| All | 189 | 100 | 101 | 100 | 95 | 100 | 16 | 100 | 401 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average area | 14.9 |  | 8.4 |  | 11.9 |  | 14.8 |  | 12.6 | 14.9 |

## Household Income

Earning income from more than one source was common among the households. On an average a household earned income from more than 4.7 sources and most of the households earned income from 3 to 6 sources (Table 3.05).

| Table 4.05: Number of Sources of Household Incomer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of sources | Khulna |  | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore |  | All regions |  |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| 1-2 | 10 | 5.3 | 7 | 6.9 | 2 | 2.1 | 1 | 6.3 | 20 | 5.0 |
| 3-4 | 80 | 42.3 | 53 | 52.5 | 22 | 23.2 | 10 | 62.5 | 165 | 41.1 |
| 5-6 | 86 | 45.5 | 37 | 36.6 | 55 | 57.9 | 4 | 25.0 | 182 | 45.4 |
| 7 or more | 13 | 6.9 | 4 | 4.0 | 16 | 16.8 | 1 | 6.3 | 34 | 8.5 |
| All | 189 | 100 | 101 | 100 | 95 | 100 | 16 | 100 | 401 | 100 |
| Average sources of income per hh | 4.6 |  | 4.2 |  | 5.4 |  | 4.1 |  | 4.7 |  |

The average monthly income of the households was Tk. 4,498 (Table 4.06). Aquaculture was found the major sources of income and it contributed more than $40 \%$ of the income which was followed by crops and vegetables (18\%), and business (10\%). Although more than $70 \%$ of the households earned income from livestock and poultry, but its contribution in total income only $4 \%$. Around $50 \%$ involved in home gardening, but its share in total income less than $1 \%$.

| Table 4.06: Average Monthly Gross Household Income by Sources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sources | Khulna |  | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore |  | All regions |  |
|  | \% of hh | \% of income (Tk) | \% of hh | \% of income (Tk) | \% of hh | \% of income (Tk) | \% of hh | \% of income (Tk) | \% of hh | \% of (Tk) |
| Crops and vegetables | 73.54 | 17.00 | 83.17 | 17.95 | 88.42 | 19.38 | 81.25 | 13.41 | 79.80 | 17.70 |
| Livestock and poultry | 80.42 | 4.90 | 52.48 | 2.99 | 87.37 | 3.20 | 81.25 | 8.58 | 75.06 | 4.14 |
| Home gardening | 45.50 | 1.02 | 32.67 | 0.52 | 49.47 | 1.30 | 0.00 |  | 41.40 | 0.89 |
| Homestead trees | 52.91 | 2.08 | 15.84 | 0.94 | 73.68 | 2.58 | 37.50 | 0.62 | 47.88 | 1.81 |
| Aquaculture | 97.88 | 46.66 | 93.07 | 49.40 | 96.84 | 32.19 | 93.75 | 51.45 | 96.26 | 43.65 |
| Other fisheries | 7.94 | 0.45 | 36.63 | 0.78 | 16.84 | 1.63 | 6.25 | 0.79 | 17.21 | 0.90 |
| Pump rental | 3.70 | 0.27 | 4.95 | 0.66 | 1.05 | 0.03 | 12.50 | 0.95 | 3.74 | 0.35 |
| Tiller rental | 1.06 | 0.09 | 1.98 | 0.16 | 5.26 | 0.34 | 0.00 |  | 2.24 | 0.17 |
| Fishing net rental | 1.06 | 0.01 | 5.94 | 0.25 | 1.05 | 0.01 | 18.75 | 0.56 | 2.99 | 0.11 |
| Labor | 21.69 | 3.36 | 12.87 | 1.03 | 7.37 | 0.83 | 6.25 | 0.38 | 15.46 | 1.81 |
| Services | 13.76 | 5.53 | 20.79 | 8.57 | 27.37 | 11.35 | 6.25 | 2.38 | 18.45 | 7.80 |
| Business | 16.40 | 8.98 | 12.87 | 6.86 | 26.32 | 13.06 | 31.25 | 18.92 | 18.45 | 10.20 |
| Small trade | 12.17 | 2.46 | 13.86 | 3.14 | 16.84 | 2.95 | 6.25 | 0.79 | 13.47 | 2.68 |
| Vehicle rental | 4.23 | 0.94 | 1.98 | 1.04 | 2.11 | 0.67 | 0.00 |  | 2.99 | 0.83 |
| Remittance | 4.76 | 2.28 | 0.99 | 0.38 | 14.74 | 5.24 | 0.00 |  | 5.99 | 2.44 |
| Leased out land | 16.40 | 1.30 | 13.86 | 1.59 | 15.79 | 1.49 | 12.50 | 0.79 | 15.46 | 1.40 |
| Others | 6.88 | 2.67 | 14.85 | 3.73 | 8.42 | 3.76 | 18.75 | 0.36 | 9.73 | 3.12 |
| No. of total household | 189 |  | 101 |  | 95 |  | 16 |  | 401 |  |
| Per capita (Tk.) |  | 3,425 |  | 4,892 |  | 5,636 |  | 7,945 |  | 4,498 |

## Characteristics of the Selected Gher/Pond

Input use and cost and return data were collected for one gher/pond per household if a Household had more than one gher/pond a randomly selected.

More than $75 \%$ of the ponds were singly owned by the households. Leased of single owned
pond was $8.5 \%$ compared to only $1.2 \%$ of joint own ponds. In case of jointly owned ponds average number of owners was 3 . Most of the ponds had loamy and clay soil or their variations. Silt or sandy soils were relatively rare (Table 4.07).

Average area of gher/pond was around 60 decimals, the Average water area was 50 decimals and the Average dike area was 9 decimals. The Average water depth was 2.7 ft in the culture season but average water retained in the ghers/ponds for fish culture was 4.7 months. On the average nearly $18 \%$ of the water area was shaded by trees and the Average age of the ghers/ponds was 10 years.

In case of ownership pattern, it is found that more than $76 \%$ of the ponds are owned singly and $14 \%$ have joint ownership. Joint owned ponds are higher ( $24 \%$ ) in Barisal. Data revealed that leasing-in and leasing-out activities for fish culture are vary limited in the study areas. Only $8.5 \%$ farmers leased in ponds singly and $1 \%$ farmers jointly laesed (Table (4.07). In case of jointly owned ponds average number of owners was 3. Most of the ponds had loamy, sandy loamy and clay loam soil. Silt or sandy soils were found relatively rare. Thus the soil chactereristics of the ponds reflects their productive nature.

Average total area of pond was around 55 decimals, the Average water area was 46 decimals and the Average dike area was 9 decimals. The Average water depth of the pond was 3.5 ft . Low average water depth was recorde for Jesssor ( 2.3 ft ) and Khulna ( 2.7 ft ). Probably low depth ponds in these two region is because these ponds were mostly used for pre stocking of fingerlings in the commercial farms. However, water retained in these ponds for fish culture was on an average for 4.7 months. While Faridpur ponds found to retain culture suitable water depth for about 11 months. On the average nearly $18 \%$ of the water area of the commercial fish farms was shaded by trees that hinderd light penetration and chemical cycle of the pond water. The Average age of the ponds was 11 years.

| Table 4.07: Characteristics of the Selected Ponds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characteristics | Khulna |  | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore |  | All regions |  |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Ownership status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Singly owned | 155 | 82.0 | 73 | 72.28 | 66 | 69.5 | 12 | 75.00 | 306 | 76.3 |
| Jointly owned | 17 | 9.0 | 15 | 14.85 | 23 | 24.2 | 1 | 6.25 | 56 | 14.0 |
| Singly leased | 16 | 8.5 | 11 | 10.89 | 6 | 6.3 | 1 | 6.25 | 34 | 8.5 |
| Jointly leased | 1 | 0.5 | 2 | 1.98 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 12.50 | 5 | 1.2 |
| All | 189 | 100 | 101 | 100. | 95 | 100 | 16 | 100 | 401 | 100 |
| In case jointly owned Average no. of owners |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type of soil |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loamy | 28 | 14.8 | 7 | 6.9 | 18 | 18.9 | 1 | 6.3 | 54 | 13.5 |
| Clay | 42 | 22.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 34 | 35.8 | 3 | 18.8 | 79 | 19.7 |
| Sandy | 10 | 5.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 11 | 2.7 |
| Sandy loam | 32 | 16.9 | 65 | 64.4 | 28 | 29.5 | 8 | 50.0 | 133 | 33.2 |
| Clay loam | 66 | 34.9 | 29 | 28.7 | 10 | 10.5 | 3 | 18.8 | 108 | 26.9 |
| Silt | 2 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 6.3 | 3 | 0.7 |
| Silt loam | 9 | 4.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 2.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 11 | 2.7 |
| Others | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 2.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.5 |
| All | 189 | 100 | 101 | 100 | 95 | 100 | 16 | 100 | 401 | 100 |


| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average gher/pond area (dec) | 58.2 | 58.5 | 44.2 | 67.0 | 55.3 |  |
| Average water area (dec) | 50.2 | 46.2 | 35.8 | 53.2 | 45.9 |  |
| Average dike area (dec) | 9.1 | 13.2 | 8.9 | 13.4 | 10.3 |  |
| Water area shaded by trees (\%) | 18.5 | 25.9 | 28.2 | 24.3 | 23.7 |  |
| Average water depth in culture season (feet) | 2.7 | 4.2 | 3.7 | 2.3 | 3.5 |  |
| No. of months water retains for fish culture | 4.7 | 10.9 | 7.2 | 6.8 | 6.9 |  |
| Average age of the pond (yrs) | 10.1 | 12.1 | 11.7 | 11.1 | 11.0 |  |

## Input Use and Costs and Returns of Household Aquaculture

Over the regions all the households raised fish in the household pond in the years before the survey done. The Average size of pond was found 55.3 decimal (Table 4.08).

| Table 4.08: Household Pond Fish Culture |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Items | Khulna | Faridpur | Barisal | Jessore | All regions |
| Households cultivated (\#) | 189 | 101 | 95 | 16 | 401 |
| Total water area (dec) | 9495 | 4665 | 3404 | 851 | 18415 |
| Average pond size (dec) | 58.2 | 58.5 | 44.2 | 67.0 | 55.3 |

Laber investment data shows that a total of 1514 labour day was used for culture one hectare of pond and 1133 hired labors which was $75 \%$ of the total labour used (Table 4.10) and the average e

| Labor use | Khulna |  | Faridp |  | Barisal |  | Jessor |  | All re |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# of labor | \% of total | \# of labor | \% of total | \# of labor | \% of total | \# of labor | \% of total | \# of labor | \% of total |
| HH labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 75 | 5 | 83 | 5 | 71 | 5 | 80 | 5 | 77 | 5 |
| Female | 300 | 20 | 330 | 20 | 285 | 20 | 300 | 20 | 304 | 20 |
| Total | 375 | 25 | 413 | 25 | 356 | 25 | 380 | 26 | 381 | 25 |
| Hired labor | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Male | 113 | 8 | 124 | 8 | 107 | 8 | 110 | 7 | 113 | 7 |
| Female | 1013 | 68 | 1114 | 68 | 962 | 68 | 1000 | 68 | 1022 | 68 |
| Total | 1125 | 75 | 1238 | 75 | 1069 | 75 | 1100 | 74 | 1133 | 75 |
| All laborers | 1500 | 100 | 1650 | 100 | 1425 | 100 | 1480 | 100 | 1514 | 100 |
| Cost of hired labour (Tk.) | 151875 |  | 185625 |  | 160313 |  | 165000 |  | 169922 |  |

Major cost of the commercial fish culture was labour cost and it was Tk. 1,69,950 per hectare which was 57.84 of total cost. Fixed cos twas second highest which was $24.63 \%$ of total cost. Fixed cost items for aquaculture are all most common for all the areas. Mostly used durable but minor items are spade/sickle, bamboo, wood and rope, etc. Average fixed cost per hctare was found Tk 72370. Minimum fixed cost was found at Barisal was Tk. 56563 and maximum was Tk. 129181 at Jessore (Tab le-4.11). This variation is likely due to the variation in management practices, culture intensity and input application. Among major items shallow tubewell or water lifting pump was Tk. 7725. Use of pump is minimum in Barisal which is probably due to natural facility that exists in the area due to tidal flow of water through rivers network in different areas.

Commonly used imputs cost items for commercial fish farms are organic fertilizer, inorganic fertilizer, lime, other chemicals (medicines) and other prestocking preparations like drying and plaughing etc. Average cost of pond preparation was Tk. 7825 and average post stockin management cost was Tk. 5773.

Average cost per hectare was Tk. 293844 and return was Tk. 358644. Therefore Gross Margin per hectare was Tk. 64800 and Benefit-Cost Ratio was 1.22 (Table 4.11)..

| Table 4.11: Per Hectare Costs of Pond Culture of Commercial Fish |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Items | Per Decimal |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Khulna | Faridpur | Barisal | Jessore | All regions | \% |
| Fixed costs | 60515 | 100282 | 56563 | 129181 | 72370 | 24.63 |
| Hired labor | 168750 | 185700 | 160350 | 165000 | 169950 | 57.84 |
| Pond preparation | 14481 | 9000 | 8825 | 8940 | 7825 | 2.66 |
| Seeding | 17226 | 18525 | 17784 | 19019 | 18278 | 6.22 |
| Inputs for stock management | 5190 | 6131 | 5042 | 5718 | 5773 | 1.96 |
| Water management | 8000 | 7000 | 7500 | 8400 | 7725 | 1.96 |
| Harvesting | 9500 | 10000 | 9000 | 11000 | 9875 | 3.36 |
| Selling | 5000 | 3000 | 3500 | 4500 | 4000 | 1.36 |
| Cash cost per dec. | 288662 | 339638 | 268564 | 351758 | 293844 | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |

Table 4.15: Financial Returns from Pond Culture

| Returns | Khulna | Faridpur | Barisal | Jessore | All <br> region <br> s |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Production per hectare | (Tk) | $($ Tk) | (Tk) | (Tk) | (Tk) |
| Return per hectare | 2836 | 3140 | 2870 | 3526 | 2964 |
| Cost per hectare | 354500 | 405000 | 332920 | 412542 | 35864 <br> 4 |
| Gross Marginal per Hectare | 288662 | 339638 | 268564 | 295796 | 29384 <br> 4 |
| Benefit-cost ration | 65838 | 65362 | 64356 | 116746 | 64800 |

## Sources of Fish Seed

Patilwala/Faria (fish vendors) was the predominant source of fish seeds distantly followed by private nursery other sources for almost all the fishes. around $20 \%$ of the farmers collected katla from the wild source. Other sources of seeds are hatchery, self raised seeds other sources other farmers, etc. A good number of farmers (22\%) collected fish seed of Mola/Dhela/Tengra from other farmers (Table-4.21).

For commercial fish culture, like household aquaculture, most farmers preferred to collect fish seed from the Patilwalas. Patilwala/Faria (fish vendors) was the predominant source of fish seeds distantly followed by private nursery and other sources for almost all the fish species. Patilwala found to contribute in more than $60 \%$ farms for various carp species except grass carp seed (Table 4.17). Fish seed selling by Patilwala is an age old traditional system of the country. Farmers generally preferred this source because of comparatively low price and pond side delivery of the commodity, so that the owner or farmer can save both money and time.

About $20 \%$ of the farmers collected katla seed from various wild sources. Katla wild source
species of the country is very well known for their fast growing nature thatswhy farmer prefer to buy this seed even by spending more price. Other sources of seeds are hatchery, self raised and other farmers pond, etc. A good number of farmers (22\%) collected fish seed of Mola/Dhela/Tengra from other farmers pond (Table-4.17).

Table 4.17: Sources of Fish Seeds

| Sources | Khulna |  | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore |  | All regions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Rui |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private nursery | 5 | 2.8 | 44 | 39.3 | 13 | 15.1 | 8 | 53.3 | 70 | 17.9 |
| Govt nursery | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 6.7 | 1 | 0.3 |
| Patilwala/Faria | 166 | 92.7 | 42 | 37.5 | 62 | 72.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 270 | 68.9 |
| Other famer | 3 | 1.7 | 19 | 17.0 | 7 | 8.1 | 5 | 33.3 | 34 | 8.7 |
| Hatchery | 3 | 1.7 | 4 | 3.6 | 4 | 4.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 11 | 2.8 |
| Own raised | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 6.7 | 2 | 0.5 |
| Depot | 1 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.3 |
| Wild | 1 | 0.6 | 2 | 1.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.8 |
| Others | 5 | 2.8 | 44 | 39.3 | 13 | 15.1 | 8 | 53.3 | 70 | 17.9 |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Katla |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private nursery | 5 | 4.1 | 40 | 36.7 | 12 | 15.6 | 6 | 50.0 | 63 | 19.6 |
| Govt nursery | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 8.3 | 1 | 0.3 |
| Patilwala/Faria | 113 | 91.9 | 45 | 41.3 | 53 | 68.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 211 | 65.7 |
| Other famer | 2 | 1.6 | 16 | 14.7 | 8 | 10.4 | 4 | 33.3 | 30 | 9.3 |
| Hatchery | 2 | 1.6 | 4 | 3.7 | 4 | 5.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 10 | 3.1 |
| Own raised | 1 | 0.8 | 4 | 3.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 8.3 | 6 | 1.8 |
| Wild | 5 | 4.1 | 40 | 36.7 | 12 | 15.6 | 6 | 50.0 | 63 | 19.6 |
| Others | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 8.3 | 1 | 0.3 |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mrigel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private nursery | 3 | 3.3 | 39 | 39.4 | 7 | 13.2 | 6 | 40.0 | 55 | 21.4 |
| Govt nursery | 1 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 6.7 | 2 | 0.8 |
| Patilwala/Faria | 84 | 93.3 | 40 | 40.4 | 36 | 67.9 | 1 | 6.7 | 161 | 62.6 |
| Other famer | 0 | 0.0 | 13 | 13.1 | 6 | 11.3 | 5 | 33.3 | 24 | 9.3 |
| Hatchery | 1 | 1.1 | 4 | 4.0 | 3 | 5.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 3.1 |
| Own raised | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.0 | 1 | 1.9 | 2 | 13.3 | 4 | 1.6 |
| Wild | 1 | 1.1 | 2 | 2.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 1.2 |
| Others | 3 | 3.3 | 39 | 39.4 | 7 | 13.2 | 6 | 40.0 | 55 | 21.4 |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silver Carp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private nursery | 2 | 1.8 | 38 | 36.9 | 9 | 14.5 | 7 | 46.7 | 56 | 19.2 |
| Govt nursery | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 6.7 | 1 | 0.3 |
| Patilwala/Faria | 107 | 95.5 | 48 | 46.6 | 44 | 71.0 | 2 | 13.3 | 201 | 68.8 |
| Other famer | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 8.7 | 4 | 6.5 | 4 | 26.7 | 17 | 5.8 |
| Hatchery | 2 | 1.8 | 5 | 4.9 | 4 | 6.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 11 | 3.8 |
| Own raised | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.0 | 1 | 1.6 | 1 | 6.7 | 3 | 1.0 |
| 9=Other | 1 | 0.9 | 2 | 1.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 1.0 |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grass Carp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private nursery | 2 | 2.0 | 25 | 32.5 | 2 | 9.1 | 3 | 37.5 | 32 | 15.4 |
| Govt nursery | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 12.5 | 1 | 0.5 |


| Patilwala/Faria | 98 | 97.0 | 38 | 49.4 | 15 | 68.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 151 | 72.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other famer | 1 | 1.0 | 8 | 10.4 | 3 | 13.6 | 3 | 37.5 | 15 | 7.2 |
| Hatchery | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 3.9 | 2 | 9.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 2.4 |
| Own raised | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 12.5 | 2 | 1.0 |
| Others | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 2.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.0 |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common Carp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private nursery | 0 | 0.0 | 14 | 32.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 50.0 | 17 | 29.8 |
| Patilwala/Faria | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 16.7 | 1 | 1.8 |
| Other famer | 7 | 100.0 | 19 | 44.2 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 27 | 47.4 |
| Hatchery | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 14.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 16.7 | 7 | 12.3 |
| Own raised | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 7.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 16.7 | 4 | 7.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 2.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.8 |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mirror Carp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private nursery | 3 | 2.9 | 17 | 39.5 | 5 | 13.9 | 5 | 83.3 | 30 | 16.0 |
| Govt nursery | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Patilwala/Faria | 98 | 95.1 | 19 | 44.2 | 25 | 69.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 142 | 75.5 |
| Other famer | 1 | 1.0 | 4 | 9.3 | 3 | 8.3 | 1 | 16.7 | 9 | 4.8 |
| Hatchery | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 2.3 | 3 | 8.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 2.1 |
| Own raised | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 4.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.1 |
| Wild | 1 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.5 |
| Others | 3 | 2.9 | 17 | 39.5 | 5 | 13.9 | 5 | 83.3 | 30 | 16.0 |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thai Sarputi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private nursery | 2 | 2.1 | 28 | 36.4 | 7 | 12.7 | 5 | 50.0 | 42 | 17.6 |
| Govt nursery | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Patilwala/Faria | 94 | 97.9 | 41 | 53.2 | 46 | 83.6 | 1 | 10.0 | 182 | 76.5 |
| Other famer | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 2.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 30.0 | 5 | 2.1 |
| Hatchery | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 3.9 | 2 | 3.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 2.1 |
| Own raised | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 10.0 | 2 | 0.8 |
| Others | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 2.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.8 |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thai Pangas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private nursery | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 12.5 | 1 | 33.3 | 5 | 11.4 |
| Govt nursery | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Patilwala/Faria | 9 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 23 | 71.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 32 | 72.7 |
| Other famer | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 9.4 | 1 | 33.3 | 4 | 9.1 |
| Hatchery | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 6.3 | 1 | 33.3 | 3 | 6.8 |
| Wild |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GIFT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private nursery | 1 | 11.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 12.1 | 4 | 100.0 | 9 | 19.6 |
| Govt nursery | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Patilwala/Faria | 6 | 66.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 27 | 81.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 33 | 71.7 |
| Other famer | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 6.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 4.3 |
| Hatchery | 1 | 11.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 2.2 |
| Other | 1 | 11.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 2.2 |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tilapia/Nilotica |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private nursery | 0 | 0.0 | 18 | 28.6 | 4 | 10.8 | 2 | 40.0 | 24 | 12.0 |
| Govt nursery | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |


| Patilwala/Faria | 79 | 83.2 | 34 | 54.0 | 26 | 70.3 | 1 | 20.0 | 140 | 70.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other famer | 3 | 3.2 | 9 | 14.3 | 3 | 8.1 | 1 | 20.0 | 16 | 8.0 |
| Hatchery | 1 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 5.4 | 1 | 20.0 | 4 | 2.0 |
| Own raised | 5 | 5.3 | 2 | 3.2 | 2 | 5.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 4.5 |
| Others | 7 | 7.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 3.5 |
| Mola/Dhela/Tengra |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private nursery | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 50.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 11.1 |
| Govt nursery | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Patilwala/Faria | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Other famer | 2 | 66.7 | 1 | 3.33 | 3 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 66.7 |
| Other | 1 | 33.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other white fish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private nursery | 0 | 0.0 | 21 | 38.9 | 2 | 22.2 | 3 | 75.0 | 26 | 28.6 |
| Patilwala/faria | 20 | 83.3 | 24 | 44.4 | 4 | 44.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 48 | 52.7 |
| Other famer | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 9.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 5.5 |
| Hatchery | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.9 | 2 | 22.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 3.3 |
| Own raised | 1 | 4.2 | 1 | 1.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 25.0 | 3 | 3.3 |
| Wild | 2 | 8.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 11.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 3.3 |
| Other | 1 | 4.2 | 2 | 3.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 3.3 |
| Golda PL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private nursery | 4 | 4.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 9.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 5.3 |
| Patilwala/faria | 43 | 50.6 | 3 | 42.9 | 8 | 38.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 54 | 47.8 |
| Other famer | 2 | 2.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 19.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 5.3 |
| Hatchery | 9 | 10.6 | 1 | 14.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 10 | 8.8 |
| Own raised | 1 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.9 |
| Depot | 5 | 5.9 | 2 | 28.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 6.2 |
| Wild | 4 | 4.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 33.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 11 | 9.7 |
| Other | 17 | 20.0 | 1 | 14.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 18 | 15.9 |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Adoption and Dissemination of Improved Fish Cultivation Technology

Knowledge parameters and practice level of the farmers indicates that most of the farmers ( $50 \%$ ) are well acquinted with the natural feed adequacy testing methodin the pond water and majority of them ( $81 \%$ ) practice thes technology in field (Table 4.25) .More than $50 \%$ of farmers knew about the species selection, weed control, lime application, supplementary feed application, growth monitoring, post harvesting handling and quality seed selection methods wbut ou them only 70-80 practuice the technologies. About 30-40\% farmersknew about feed application procedures, fish health monitoring and fish disease monitoring procedure. It is observed that whatever knowledge they had, did not practiced them in field properly. The prime cause of non practicing the technologies as identified was less seriousness of the farmers about the technology application (71\%). Other causes were non availability of inputs, capital shortage and lack of enough knowlwdge.

Most of the farmers found had the knowledge of Weed control (84\%) and liming (76\%). Half of the farmers knew testing natural feed adequacy in water, species selection, supplementary feeding, growth monitoring, post-harvest handling and use of quality seeds.Around $30 \%$ knew other technologies practiced them. Most of the farmers who had knowledge they were practicing the technologies.

Major cause of not practicing the technologies was not serious about it. On the average from each farmer a technology was disseminated to 3-4 other farmers across the upazilas.

| Table 4.25: Adoption and Dissemination of Improved Pond Fish Culture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Technology | Khulna |  | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore |  | All regions |  |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Testing natural feed adequacy in water |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Knew | 75 | 39.7 | 50 | 49.5 | 65 | 68.4 | 11 | 68.8 | 201 | 50.1 |
| Practiced among those who knew | 59 | 78.7 | 36 | 72.0 | 57 | 87.7 | 11 | 100.0 | 163 | 81.1 |
| Average no. of farmers disseminated to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maintaining fish stock density |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Knew | 33 | 17.5 | 33 | 32.7 | 41 | 43.2 | 8 | 50.0 | 115 | 28.7 |
| Practiced among those who knew | 23 | 69.7 | 20 | 60.6 | 31 | 75.6 | 7 | 87.5 | 81 | 70.4 |
| Average no. of farmers disseminated to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Species selection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Knew | 79 | 41.8 | 56 | 55.4 | 63 | 66.3 | 12 | 75.0 | 210 | 52.4 |
| Practiced among those who knew | 66 | 83.5 | 41 | 73.2 | 55 | 87.3 | 11 | 91.7 | 173 | 82.4 |
| Average no. of farmers disseminated to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weed control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Knew | 167 | 88.4 | 72 | 71.3 | 81 | 85.3 | 15 | 93.8 | 335 | 83.5 |
| Practiced among those who knew | 154 | 92.2 | 62 | 86.1 | 77 | 95.1 | 15 | 100.0 | 308 | 91.9 |
| Average no. of farmers disseminated to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lming |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Knew | 132 | 69.8 | 79 | 78.2 | 78 | 82.1 | 14 | 87.5 | 303 | 75.6 |
| Practiced among those who knew | 108 | 81.8 | 69 | 87.3 | 69 | 88.5 | 14 | 100.0 | 260 | 85.8 |
| Average no. of farmers disseminated to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary feeding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Knew | 75 | 39.7 | 53 | 52.5 | 68 | 71.6 | 12 | 75.0 | 208 | 51.9 |
| Practiced among those who knew | 59 | 78.7 | 39 | 73.6 | 50 | 73.5 | 11 | 91.7 | 159 | 76.4 |
| Average no. of farmers disseminated to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fish disease management |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Knew | 36 | 19.0 | 46 | 45.5 | 29 | 30.5 | 11 | 68.8 | 122 | 30.4 |
| Practiced among those who knew | 29 | 80.6 | 33 | 71.7 | 23 | 79.3 | 10 | 90.9 | 95 | 77.9 |
| Average no. of farmers disseminated to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Health monitoring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Knew | 44 | 23.3 | 50 | 49.5 | 31 | 32.6 | 7 | 43.8 | 132 | 32.9 |
| Practiced among those who knew | 35 | 79.5 | 37 | 74.0 | 25 | 80.6 | 6 | 85.7 | 103 | 78.0 |
| Average no. of farmers disseminated to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Growth monitoring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Knew | 87 | 46.0 | 69 | 68.3 | 58 | 61.1 | 12 | 75.0 | 226 | 56.4 |
| Practiced among those who knew | 78 | 89.7 | 54 | 78.3 | 49 | 84.5 | 12 | 100.0 | 193 | 85.4 |


| Average no. of farmers disseminated to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Post-harvest handling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Knew | 78 | 41.3 | 72 | 71.3 | 56 | 58.9 | 13 | 81.3 | 219 | 54.6 |
| Practiced among those who knew | 73 | 93.6 | 55 | 76.4 | 53 | 94.6 | 13 | 100.0 | 194 | 88.6 |
| Average no. of farmers disseminated to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of quality seeds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Knew | 84 | 44.4 | 42 | 41.6 | 50 | 52.6 | 8 | 50.0 | 184 | 45.9 |
| Practiced among those who knew | 68 | 81.0 | 30 | 71.4 | 42 | 84.0 | 8 | 100.0 | 148 | 80.4 |
| Average no. of farmers disseminated to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feed application procedures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Knew | 65 | 34.4 | 33 | 32.7 | 36 | 37.9 | 12 | 75.0 | 146 | 36.4 |
| Practiced among those who knew | 51 | 78.5 | 18 | 54.5 | 32 | 88.9 | 11 | 91.7 | 112 | 76.7 |
| Average no. of farmers disseminated to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Constraints of adoption: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inputs not easily available | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 62.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 13.2 |
| Lack of capital | 1 | 6.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 2.6 |
| Not serious about it | 13 | 81.3 | 11 | 78.6 | 3 | 37.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 27 | 71.1 |
| Lack of enough knowledge | 1 | 6.3 | 3 | 21.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 10.5 |
| Others | 1 | 6.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 2.6 |

## CHAPTER 5: COMMERCIAL SHRIMP CULTURE

Shrimp is one of the commercial fish culture at the costal areas and it is generally cultured in the ghar.. Shrimp culture was found only at Khulna region of the survey areas.

## Households Characteristics of the Farmers

All the respondents were farmers and of them $93 \%$ were male and $7 \%$ were female. Average of the family size was 4.5. Most of the farmers were 25 to 54 years of age, only $7.4 \%$ below 25 years and $18.1 \%$ above $55 y$ years.

Educational level of one-third of the shrimp farmers below primary level, $27 \%$ of them passed primary level and $24 \%$ had SSC or HSC certificate. Only $12.6 \%$ had no education and $5.8 \%$ had education more than HSC. So, the educational level of the shrimp farmers better than the national average.

Either main (54.6\%) or secondary (35.6\%) occupation of most of the shrimp farmers (90\%) were fish culture, rest $10 \%$ did not take this as their occupation. Second highest occupation of the respondents was agriculture either in their own land or as share cropper. A good number (27\%) of shrimp farmers had no secondary occupation.

Out of 570 respondents $230(40 \%)$ received training on shrimp farming during last three years, among them $69 \%$ received the training once and $30 \%$ twice.

| Table 5.01: Household Characteristics |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Characteristics | Number | $\%$ |
| Household Size (No. of members) |  |  |
| $1-3$ | 139 | 24.4 |
| $4-6$ | 383 | 67.2 |
| 7 or more | 48 | 8.4 |
| All | 570 | 100.0 |
| Average | 4.5 |  |
| Sex of farmer | 530 | 93.0 |
| Male | 40 | 7.0 |
| Female | 570 | 100.0 |
| All |  |  |
| Age of farmer | 42 | 7.4 |
| Less than 25 | 136 | 23.9 |
| $25-34$ | 152 | 26.7 |
| $35-44$ | 137 | 24.0 |
| $45-54$ | 103 | 18.1 |
| 55 or above | 570 | 100.0 |
| Total | 41.3 |  |
| Average |  |  |
| Years of schooling of farmer | 72 | 12.6 |
| No education | 173 | 30.4 |
| Class I-V |  |  |


| Class VI-IX | 155 | 27.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SSC-HSC | 137 | 24.0 |
| More than HSC | 33 | 5.8 |
| Total | 570 | 100.0 |
| Primary Occupation of farmer |  |  |
| Shrimp Culture | 311 | 54.6 |
| Agriculture (Own/share cropper) | 84 | 14.7 |
| Day labor | 34 | 6.0 |
| Small business | 30 | 5.3 |
| Big/medium Business | 26 | 4.6 |
| House wife | 23 | 4.0 |
| Service | 16 | 2.8 |
| Rickshaw/Van driver | 14 | 2.5 |
| Student | 14 | 2.5 |
| Others | 13 | 2.3 |
| Professional ( Doctor, engineer, advocate) | 2 | 0.4 |
| Handicrafts, Carpenter, Mason and other self employed | 1 | 0.2 |
| Retired / Minor child | 1 | 0.2 |
| Old (Age >60 years) | 1 | 0.2 |
| Total | 570 | 100.0 |
| Secondary occupation farmer |  |  |
| Shrimp Culture | 203 | 35.6 |
| No Subsidiary Occupation | 156 | 27.4 |
| Agriculture (Own/share cropper) | 89 | 15.6 |
| Small business | 34 | 6.0 |
| Day labor | 27 | 4.7 |
| Big/medium Business | 23 | 4.0 |
| Others | 18 | 3.2 |
| House wife | 5 | 0.9 |
| Student | 5 | 0.9 |
| Rickshaw/Van driver | 4 | 0.7 |
| Service | 3 | 0.5 |
| Handicrafts, Carpenter, Mason and other self employed | 3 | 0.5 |
| Total | 570 | 100.0 |
| Number of training received on shrimp culture during last 3 years |  |  |
| One | 159 | 69.1 |
| Two | 68 | 29.6 |
| More than two | 3 | 1.3 |
| Total | 230 |  |

## Shrimp Ghers

The Average number of shrimp ghers cultivated by per household was 2.6 and one-third of the household's cultivated more than 2 ghers (Table 5.02). Average water area of the cultivated gher was 195 decimals. Around $60 \%$ leased-in and $50 \%$ leased-out their ghers. Average areas of leased-in and leased-out were 173 and 149 decimals respectively. It indicates that the same farmer leased-in and leased-out their ghers for shrimp cultivation.

| Table 5.02: Land Ownership - Gher |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Characteristics | No. | \% |
| No. of plot | 397 |  |
| $1-2$ | 137 | 69.65 |
| $3-4$ | 36 | 24.03 |
| 5 or more | 570 | 6.32 |
| Total | 2.6 | 100.0 |
| Average | 68 |  |
| Area of gher (decimals) | 152 |  |
| $0.00-50.00$ | 104 |  |
| $50.01-100.00$ | 87 |  |
| $100.01-150.00$ | 31 |  |
| $150.01-200.00$ | 123 | 100 |
| $200.01-250.00$ | $565(570)$ |  |
| 250.01 and above | $\mathbf{1 9 5 . 3}$ |  |
| Total |  | 60.52 |
| Average area | 345 |  |
| Leased in ghers | 173.1 |  |
| No. of Farmers |  | 48.60 |
| Average area (Decimal) | 277 |  |
| Leased out ghers | $\mathbf{1 4 9 . 2}$ |  |
| No. of Farmers |  |  |
| Average area (Decimal) |  |  |

## Cultivable Land

Average number of field plots cultivated by a household was 3.6 and over $60 \%$ of the households' cultivated more than 2 plots (Table 5.03). Average area cultivated by per household was 105 decimals. Nearly $60 \%$ households leased-in and $40 \%$ leased-out some cultivable land. Average areas leased-in and leased out were 88 and 109 decimal respectively.

| Table 5.03: Cultivated Land |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Characteristics No. | $\%$ |  |
| No. of cultivated plot | 213 |  |
| $1-2$ | 192 | 37.37 |
| $3-4$ | 165 | 28.68 |
| 5 or more | 570 | 100.0 |
| All | 3.6 |  |
| Average number of plots |  |  |


| Area of cultivated plot (decimals) | 35 | 6.14 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $00.01-50.00$ | 108 | 18.95 |
| $50.01-100.00$ | 97 | 17.02 |
| $100.01-150.00$ | 87 | 15.26 |
| $150.01-200.00$ | 62 | 10.88 |
| $200.01-250.00$ | 151 | 26.49 |
| $250.01-750.00$ | 30 | 5.26 |
| 7.51 and Above | 570 | 100.00 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 5 4 . 7}$ |  |
| Average area (Decimal) |  |  |
| Leased in ghers | 347 | 60.88 |
| Farmers | $\mathbf{8 8 . 2}$ |  |
| Average area |  |  |
| Leased out ghers | 227 | 39.82 |
| Farmers | 108.7 |  |
| Average area |  |  |

## Home Gardening

Around $98 \%$ of the households own an homestead (Table 5.04). Average homestead area was 14 decimals and it ranges from 1 to 208 decimal.
Over half the households did not cultivate a homestead vegetable garden. The Average number of homestead vegetable plots cultivated by a household was 1.1 and around $40 \%$ of the households' cultivated single plot. Average area cultivated by a household was 6.4 decimals while two-third of the farmers cultivated less than 10 decimals of land.

| Table 5.04: Home Gardening and Homestead Trees |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Characteristics | No. | $\%$ |  |  |
| Area in homestead (decimal) | 167 | 29.30 |  |  |
| 1.00 | 167 | 29.30 |  |  |
| $1.01-5.00$ | 119 | 20.88 |  |  |
| $10.01-200.00$ | 40 | 7.02 |  |  |
| $20.01-30.00$ | 64 | 11.22 |  |  |
| 30.01 and above | 13 | 2.28 |  |  |
| No homestead land | 570 | 100.00 |  |  |
| Total | 14.3 |  |  |  |
| Average area (decimal) | 217 | 38.07 |  |  |
| No. of homestead vegetable plots cultivated | 4.04 |  |  |  |
| One | 23 | 57.89 |  |  |
| more than one | 330 | 100.00 |  |  |
| None | 570 |  |  |  |
| Total | 1.1 |  |  |  |
| Average (dec) |  |  |  |  |
| Area cultivated (decimals) | 195 | 75.58 |  |  |
| Less than 10 | 43 | 16.67 |  |  |
| $10-19$ |  |  |  |  |


| more than 19 | 20 | 7.75 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total | 258 | 45.26 |
| Average area (decimal) | 6.5 |  |
| Area under homestead trees <br> (decimal) |  |  |
| Less than 5 | 98 | 17.19 |
| $5-9$ | 26 | 4.56 |
| Over 9 | 49 | 8.60 |
| None | 397 | 69.65 |
| All | 570 | 100.00 |
| Average | 5.87 |  |

## Homestead Trees

Around 70\% of the households had not own homestead tree area and only 17\% had less than 5 decimals (Table 5.04). The homestead tree area included areas under bamboo, timber and fruit trees. Average number of homestead trees who owned trees was around 6.

## Household Income

Earning income from more than one source was common among the households. On the average a household earned income from 4.2 sources and most of the households earned income from 3 to 6 sources (Table 5.05).

| Table 5.05: Number of Sources of Household Incomer |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No. of sources | No. | $\%$ |
| $1-2$ | 53 | 9.3 |
| $3-4$ | 314 | 55.1 |
| $5-6$ | 171 | 30.0 |
| 7 or more | 32 | 5.6 |
| All | 570 | 100.0 |
| Average | 4.2 |  |

Average monthly income of the households was Tk.1, 90,463. All the households either involve in aquaculture or other fisheries. Around 70\% of the households involved in crops and vegetables cultivation and more than $70 \%$ reared poultry and livestock as their income source. Around one-third of the households did home gardening. Highest income (55\%) of these households aquaculture and average income per family was Tk. 1, 09,255. These households are involved in shrimp culture and their major income came from this. Second highest source of income crops and vegetable cultivation and it was only $11.50 \%$ (Table 5.06). The above findings indicate that major income of the shrimp farmers come from shrimp culture and income from other sources were small in amount.

Table 5.06: Average Monthly Gross Household Income by Sources

| Sources | Household <br> s | $\%$ | Income (Tk) | $\%$ | Average |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Crops and <br> vegetables | 395 | 69.30 | 12482690 | 11.50 | 31602 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Livestock and <br> poultry | 413 | 72.46 | 4020650 | 3.70 | 9735 |
| Home gardening | 200 | 35.09 | 752300 | 0.69 | 3762 |
| Homestead trees <br> sold | 159 | 27.89 | 2215983 | 2.04 | 13937 |
| Aquaculture | 553 | 97.02 | 60418255 | 55.65 | 109255 |
| Other fisheries | 55 | 9.65 | 1559200 | 1.44 | 28349 |
| Water pump rental | 18 | 3.16 | 172000 | 0.16 | 9556 |
| Power tiller rental | 3 | 0.53 | 23900 | 0.02 | 7967 |
| Fishing net rental | 13 | 2.28 | 34800 | 0.03 | 2677 |
| Labor selling | 142 | 24.91 | 4389976 | 4.04 | 30915 |
| Services | 43 | 7.54 | 3851416 | 3.55 | 89568 |
| Large business | 69 | 12.11 | 5053408 | 4.65 | 73238 |
| Small trade | 97 | 17.02 | 4633000 | 4.27 | 47763 |
| Vehicle rental | 24 | 4.21 | 1076000 | 0.99 | 44833 |
| Remittance | 55 | 9.65 | 3899500 | 3.59 | 70900 |
| Leased out land | 95 | 16.67 | 2452822 | 2.26 | 25819 |
| Others | 45 | 7.89 | 1527800 | 1.41 | 33951 |
| All households | 570 | - | $108,563,700$ | 100 | 190463 |

## Characteristics of the Selected Gher

Most of the ghers ( $85 \%$ ) were singly owned by the households. Soil characteristics of the majority ( $65 \%$ ) of the ghers either sandy loam or clay loam. Others were loamy or clay. A few number of gher found other than these type of soil.

Average gher area was 105 decimals, the Average water area was 89 decimals and the Average dike area was 15.5 decimals. The Average water depth in the ghers was 3.2 ft in the culture season but water retained in the gher for fish 8.6 months. Average age of the ghers was 11.6 years.

| Table 5.07: Characteristics of the Project Selected Gher |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Characteristics | No. | $\%$ |
| Ownership status |  |  |
| Single owned | 486 | 85.3 |
| Jointly owned | 8 | 1.4 |
| Single leased | 75 | 13.2 |
| Jointly leased | 1 | 0.2 |
| All | 570 | 100 |
| In case jointly owned, Average no. of owners |  |  |
| Type of soil |  |  |
| Loamy | 79 | 13.9 |
| Clay | 67 | 11.8 |
| Sandy | 20 | 3.5 |
| Sandy loam | 197 | 34.6 |
|  |  |  |


| Clay loam | 171 | 30.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Silt | 3 | 0.5 |
| Silt loam | 15 | 2.6 |
| Others | 18 | 3.2 |
| All | 570 | 100.0 |
| Gher size |  |  |
| Average gher/gher area (dec) | 105.0 |  |
| Average water area (dec) | 89.1 |  |
| Average dike area (dec) | 15.5 |  |
| Water area shaded by trees (\%) | 72.1 |  |
| Average water depth in culture season (feet) | 3.2 |  |
| No. of months water retains for fish culture | 8.6 |  |
| Average age of the gher (yrs) | 11.6 |  |

## Input Use and Costs and Returns of Shrimp Farming

Total number of sample shrimp farmers was 570. Total areas of the gher including dike was 242.21 hectare and only water surface areas of the gher was 205.70 hecatre. So average water area of gher per household was 89.1 decimal and average dike per household was 15.82 decimal. Gher was used for shrimp culture and the dike was mainly used for vegetable cultivation (Table-5.8).

| Table 5.08: Commercial srimp farming | Deciamal | Hectare |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Items | 59826 | 242.21 |
| Total water area including dike | 50807 | 205.70 |
| Total water area | 89.1 | 0.36 |
| Average gher size | 15.82 |  |
| Average dike size |  |  |

Among the durable inputs, around $74 \%$ of the households used spade or sickles and bamboo/ wood/rope. More than 60\% used harvesting net, around $50 \%$ had Drum/box/fishing trap and $40 \%$ used blue net (Table 5.09).

Almost all the labors (91\%) used in the fish culture were unpaid household labor and around $20 \%$ were females. Around one thousand labours were required for one hectare of gher cultivation (Table 5.09). The average labor cost was 150 taka per labor. Since labor cost comprised most of the costs, the uniform rates will provide better measures of margins which will be directly comparable across the regions. Average hired labour per hectare used was Tk. 3328 per hectare.

| Table 5.09: Labor Use and Costs in Gher Fish Culture |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor use | \# of Labor per <br> decimal | Per hectare | \% of total | Cost per hectare in <br> Tk. |  |
| HH labor |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 3.01 | 744 | 73.2 | 35722 |  |
| Female | 0.72 | 178 | 17.5 | 794 |  |
| Total | 3.73 | 922 | 90.8 | 36517 |  |


| Hired labor |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 0.32 | 79 | 7.8 | 3255 |
| Female | 0.06 | 15 | 1.5 | 72 |
| Total | 0.38 | 94 | 9.2 | 3328 |
| All laborers | $\mathbf{4 . 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 7 2 2}$ |

Most of the farmers used lime and nearly half of them used inorganic and organic fertilizers for gher preparation. Around half of the farmer used organic and inorganic fertilizer. More than $80 \%$ used lime and one-fourth used other chemical. Almost all the farmers used supplementary feed and half used inorganic fertilizers and lime for post stocking management. However, only $20 \%$ used organic fertilizer.

More than 70\% of the farmers cultivated Bagda and Golda. Around 18\% cultivated Harina/Chali shrimp and $14 \%$ cultivated carp fish in the shrimp gher (Table 3.16).

Table 3.10: Type of Fish Cultivted

| Outputs | \# of <br> farms | \% of farms |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bagda | 414 | 72.63 |
| Golda | 428 | 75.09 |
| Harina/Chali shrimp | 100 | 17.54 |
| Carp | 80 | 14.04 |

Most of the cost of shrimp culture as fixed cost (31.82\%), labour cost (25.91) and stock management ( $21.82 \%$ ). The average cash cost of fish culture was Tk. 54,340 per hectare and average return was Tk. 99,460 per hectare. On the average a farmer got gross margin per hectare from the shrimp culture was Tk. 45120 and Benefit-Cost Ratio 1.83 (Table 5.11 \& 5.12).

| Table 5.11: Costs of Shrimp Culture |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Items | Costs per decimal (Tk) | Costs per hectare (Tk) | \% |
| Fixed costs | 70 | 17290 | 31.82 |
| Hired labour | 57 | 14079 | 25.91 |
| pond preparation | 18 | 4446 | 8.18 |
| Seeding | 8 | 1976 | 3.64 |
| Inputs for stock management | 48 | 11856 | 21.82 |
| Water management | 6 | 1482 | 2.73 |
| Harvesting | 5 | 1235 | 2.27 |
| Selling | 8 | 1976 | 3.64 |
| Cash Cost | 220 | 54340 | 100.00 |


| Table 3.12: Outputs of Gher Culture |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Outputs | Qty <br> $(\mathrm{Kg})$ | Value (Tk) |
| Output per dec | 0.93 | 4836 |
| Output per hectare | 230 | 99460 |
| Cost per hectare | - | 54340 |
| Gross margin per hectare | - | 45120 |
| Benefit-Cost Ratio |  | 1.83 |

Consumption of Bagda, Golda and Harina/Chali were 5.09\%, 9.70\% and 17.53\% respectively. Amount of sold was around $70 \%$. However, technical loss of Bagda and Golda was around $15 \%$ and it was only $1.90 \%$ for Harina/Chali. This might be due to export or use of Gold/Bagda by the costly deaprmental shop.

| Table 5.13: Percentage Disposal of Gher Fish | Golda | Harina/Chali |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Disposal | Bagda | 9.70 | 17.53 |
| Consumed | 5.09 | 71.65 | 72.85 |
| Sold | 69.28 | 3.56 | 7.74 |
| Gifted | 10.23 | 15.09 | 1.90 |
| Technical Loss | 15.40 |  |  |


| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Sources of Fish Seeds

Sources of Bagda were mainly hatchery, around $20 \%$ were collected from natural source and around $90 \%$ of Golda were collected from hatchery. However, Harina/Chali were collected from natural sources only.

## Adoption and Dissemination of Improved Fish Cultivation Technology

More than $70 \%$ of the farmers knew about the improved technologies of liming and weed control for better fish cultivation. Around half of them knew testing natural feed adequacy in water, species selection, supplementary feeding, growth monitoring, use of quality seeds and feed application procedures. Quater of the faremrs knew other technologies. Most of the farmers who knew the technologies practiced them.

In general 'not serious about it' was the major reason for the lack of practice followed by 'inputs not easily available'. 'Lack of enough knowledge' and 'lack of capital' were the other reasons for the lack of practice.

On the average from each farmer a technology was disseminated to 3-4 other farmers across the upazilas.

| Table 3.36: Adoption and Dissemination of Improved Gher Fish Culture |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Technology | No. | \% |
| Testing natural feed adequacy in water |  |  |
| Knew |  |  |
| Practiced among those who knew | 286 | 50.18 |
| Average no. of farmers disseminated to | 261 | 91.26 |
| Maintaining fish stock density |  |  |
| Knew |  |  |
| Practiced among those who knew | 159 | 27.89 |
| Average no. of farmers disseminated to | 142 | 89.31 |
| Species selection |  |  |
| Knew |  |  |
| Practiced among those who knew | 289 | 50.70 |
| Average no. of farmers disseminated to | 274 | 94.81 |
| Weed control |  |  |
| Knew |  |  |
| Practiced among those who knew | 502 | 88.07 |
| Average no. of farmers disseminated to | 495 | 98.61 |
| Lming |  |  |
| Knew |  |  |
| Practiced among those who knew | 420 | 73.68 |
| Average no. of farmers disseminated to | 397 | 94.52 |
| Supplementary feeding |  |  |
| Knew |  |  |
| Practiced among those who knew | 249 | 43.68 |
| Average no. of farmers disseminated to | 212 | 85.14 |
| Fish disease management |  |  |
| Knew |  |  |


| Practiced among those who knew | 136 | 23.86 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Average no. of farmers disseminated to | 112 | 82.35 |
| Health monitoring |  |  |
| Knew | 173 | 30.35 |
| Practiced among those who knew | 156 | 90.17 |
| Average no. of farmers disseminated to |  |  |
| Growth monitoring | 274 | 48.07 |
| Knew | 259 | 94.53 |
| Practiced among those who knew |  |  |
| Average no. of farmers disseminated to | 214 |  |
| Post-harvest handling | 200 | 37.54 |
| Knew |  | 93.46 |
| Practiced among those who knew | 315 |  |
| Average no. of farmers disseminated to | 292 | 55.26 |
| Use of quality seeds |  | 92.70 |
| Knew |  |  |
| Practiced among those who knew | 309 | 54.21 |
| Average no. of farmers disseminated to | 262 | 84.79 |
| Feed application procedures |  |  |
| Knew | 2 | 0.35 |
| Practiced among those who knew | 1 | 0.18 |
| Average no. of farmers disseminated to | 12 | 2.11 |
| Constrainss of adoption: | 5 | 0.88 |
| Inputs not easily available | 7 | 1.23 |
| Lack of capital |  |  |
| Not serious about it |  |  |
| Lack of enough knowledge |  |  |
| Others |  |  |

## CHAPTER 6: NURSERY

Nursery is a very essential for seedlings and fish culture. So in this survey an assessment was made about present nursery available at the survey areas. Mainly nurseries are concentrated to the Khulna hub of the survey areas. Total seventy seven nurseries were survey, among those only 3 were situated at Faridpur hub and rest 74 were at Khulna hub. Most of the nurseries were not well equipped with the facilities need for a nursery. Physical infrastructure like office room, net drying shed, store room, labor shed and guest room were found at $49 \%, 38 \%, 32 \%, 17 \%$ and $13 \%$ nurseries respectively. Water filtration unit was found in $17 \%$ and overhead tank was available in $7 \%$ nurseries. A few of them had hatchery jar, air blowing network, laboratory, etc ( Table-6.02).

Table : 6.1-Nursery Complex

| Items of nursery complex | Hub |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Khulna |  | Faridpur |  | Total |  |
|  | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% |
| Nursery complex | 74 | 96.1 | 3 | 3.9 | 77 | 100 |
| Overhead tank | 5 | 6.3 | 1 | 33.3 | 5 | 6.6 |
| Water filtration unit | 15 | 20.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 13 | 17.2 |
| Hatching jar | 5 | 6.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 5.3 |
| Air blowing network/system | 3 | 4.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 4.0 |
| Office room | 43 | 57.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 37 | 48.9 |
| Guest room | 12 | 15.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 10 | 13.2 |
| Store room | 25 | 34.4 | 2 | 66.7 | 24 | 31.7 |
| Net drying shed | 34 | 45.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 29 | 38.3 |
| Labor shed | 15 | 20.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 13 | 17.2 |
| Laboratory | 2 | 3.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 2.6 |
| Others | 2 | 3.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 2.6 |

A good nursery should well equipped with the modern equipment and machineries along with necessary items. However, most of the surveyed nurseries were found lack of the modern equipments oxygen cylinder, DO meter, PH meter, thermometer, barometer, etc. Some necessary thinks like net, fish weighting balance, hapa, water lifting pump ,etc were available at most of the nurseries. Water testing kits were found around half of them. Transportation facilities like van, boat were found at $20 \%$. It is encouraging solar power system at 27\% of the nurseries (Table-6.02).

Table : 6.2- Number of Nursery Owned the Equipment's and Machineries

|  | Hub |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment and Machineries | Khulna |  | Faridpur |  | Total |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Pipe for water supply to tank | 21 | 28.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 21 | 27.3 |
| Oxygen cylinder | 3 | 4.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 3.9 |
| Fish weighting balance | 54 | 72.0 | 1 | 50.0 | 55 | 71.4 |
| Net | 65 | 86.7 | 1 | 50.0 | 66 | 85.7 |
| Hapa | 56 | 74.7 | 2 | 100.0 | 58 | 75.3 |
| Carrying drum | 46 | 61.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 46 | 59.7 |
| DO meter | 5 | 6.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 6.5 |
| PH meter | 4 | 5.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 5.2 |
| Thermometer | 4 | 5.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 5.2 |


| Barometer | 1 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Shallow/deep tube well | 25 | 33.3 | 2 | 100.0 | 27 | 35.1 |
| Electric motor | 8 | 10.7 | 1 | 50.0 | 9 | 11.7 |
| Water lifting pump+pipe | 61 | 81.3 | 2 | 100.0 | 63 | 81.8 |
| Aerator | 6 | 8.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 7.8 |
| Boat | 13 | 17.3 | 1 | 50.0 | 14 | 18.2 |
| Transport van | 16 | 21.3 | 1 | 50.0 | 17 | 22.1 |
| Furniture | 41 | 54.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 41 | 53.2 |
| Water testing kit | 2 | 2.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 2.6 |
| Refrigerator | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Deep freezer | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Computer | 4 | 5.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 5.2 |
| Microscope | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| PCR machine | 1 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.3 |
| Water heater | 1 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.3 |
| Thermostat | 2 | 2.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 2.6 |
| Air cooler/conditioner | 4 | 5.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 5.2 |
| Electric fan | 18 | 24.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 18 | 23.4 |
| Electric generator | 3 | 4.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 3.9 |
| Solar Power system | 21 | 28.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 21 | 27.3 |
| IPS/UPS | 1 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.3 |
| Other | 7 | 9.3 | 1 | 50.0 | 8 | 10.4 |

An attempt was made to know type of nursing species of fish at the nurseries. Most of the common species of the fish were nursing. More than $60 \%$ were found nursing carp type fish like Rui, Catla and Mrigal. Silver carp and Grass carp were found in $52 \%$ and $40 \%$ nurseries. Thai sorputi was nursed by $41 \%$ nurseries. Bagda and Golda shrimp were found nursing by $25 \%$ and $11 \%$ nurseries respectively (Table-6.3).

Table-6.3: Type of Fish Seed/PL in 2011

|  | Hub |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of fish | Khulna |  | Faridpur |  | Total |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Rui | 53 | 75.7 | 2 | 66.7 | 55 | 75.3 |
| Catla | 45 | 64.3 | 3 | 100.0 | 48 | 65.8 |
| Mrigal | 42 | 60.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 45 | 61.6 |
| Thai Pangus | 15 | 21.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 15 | 20.5 |
| Grass carp | 27 | 38.6 | 2 | 66.7 | 29 | 39.7 |
| Silver carp | 36 | 51.4 | 2 | 66.7 | 38 | 52.1 |
| Monosex Tilapia | 14 | 20.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 14 | 19.2 |
| GIFT | 9 | 12.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 12.3 |
| Shrimp (Bagda) | 17 | 24.3 | 1 | 33.3 | 18 | 24.7 |
| Shrimp (Golda) | 7 | 10.0 | 1 | 33.3 | 8 | 11.0 |
| Native Shing | 1 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.4 |
| Native Magur | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Thai Koi | 1 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.4 |
| Thai Sorputi | 29 | 41.4 | 1 | 33.3 | 30 | 41.1 |
| Other | 19 | 27.1 | 3 | 100.0 | 22 | 30.1 |


| Total | 70 | 100.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 73 | 100.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

A number of inputs were used for pond operation of the nurseries. Mostly lime, urea, inorganic fertilizers and cow dung were used. Half had used ready feed purchased from market and around $40 \%$ prepared the feed at their own farm or home. Medicine like fish killing agent was used by around $60 \%$ and for disease $40 \%$. Seedling and packing cost were incurred by $21 \%$ of the nurseries. Around $70 \%$ spent money for transportation. Mostly these nurseries did not have their own transport (Table-6.4). Total input cost was taka 19,319,572 for all the 77 nurseries and average cost per nursery was taka 250,904 only (Table-6.05).

Table-6.4: Number of Nurseries Using Different Input Nursing Pond Operational Costs

| Operational cost items | Hub |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Khulna |  | Faridpur |  | Total |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Pond preparation (drying, plaughing, soil purchase, bamboo etc except labor cost) | 34 | 45.9 | 2 | 66.7 | 36 | 46.8 |
| Input Cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lime | 64 | 86.5 | 2 | 66.7 | 66 | 85.7 |
| Cow dung | 49 | 66.2 | 1 | 33.3 | 50 | 64.9 |
| Urea | 55 | 74.3 | 2 | 66.7 | 57 | 74.0 |
| TSP | 53 | 71.6 | 1 | 33.3 | 54 | 70.1 |
| MoP | 28 | 37.8 | 1 | 33.3 | 29 | 37.7 |
| Mustard oilcake | 54 | 73.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 57 | 74.0 |
| Farm/homemade feed | 30 | 40.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 30 | 39.0 |
| Industrial/commercial/ready feed | 39 | 52.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 39 | 50.6 |
| Vitamins and minerals | 27 | 36.5 | 1 | 33.3 | 28 | 36.4 |
| Reagents/chemicals for water quality test | 3 | 4.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 3.9 |
| Medicines for disease control | 31 | 41.9 | 1 | 33.3 | 32 | 41.6 |
| Fish killing agents (rotenone, tea seed cake etc) | 41 | 55.4 | 3 | 100.0 | 44 | 57.1 |
| Netting for growth check (in case of hire) | 28 | 37.8 | 2 | 66.7 | 30 | 39.0 |
| Fuel for water exchange | 31 | 41.9 | 2 | 66.7 | 33 | 42.9 |
| Fuel for aeration | 3 | 4.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 3.9 |
| Other | 14 | 18.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 14 | 18.2 |
| Seed/PL packing costs (marketing) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Packing cost (oxygen, jute sac, polybag,box) | 16 | 21.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 16 | 20.8 |
| Advertising costs (poster/leaflets etc) | 10 | 13.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 10 | 13.0 |
| Miscellaneous cost | 8 | 10.8 | 1 | 33.3 | 9 | 11.7 |
| Electricity cost for selected pond | 32 | 43.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 32 | 41.6 |
| Water treatment cost for selected pond |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transportation cost (if any) | 51 | 68.9 | 3 | 100.0 | 54 | 70.1 |
| Total | 74 | 100.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 77 | 100.0 |

Table-6.5: : Operational Costs of Nurseries

| Nursing Pond Operational Costs (variable costs) | Hub |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Khulna | Faridpur | Total |
| Total nursery | 74 | 3 | 77 |
| Total Cost | $19,063,512$ | 256,060 | $19,319,572$ |
| Cost per nursery | 257,615 | 85,353 | 250,904 |

Out of 77 nurseries 53 had permanent male labours. Average number of labours who had permanent labour was 2.3 and average labour days were 538 in one year. Only 3 female were found working at 2 nurseries. Average male daily labours were worked for 543 labour days were worked for a year and 18 labour days. Participation of family labour was very low and insignificant in number (Table-6.6)

Table-6.6: Labour use for the Nursery Operation

| Labour Type | Hub |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Khulna | Faridpur | Total |
| No. of permanent male | 50 | 3 | 53 |
|  | 120.0 | 3.0 | 123.0 |
|  | 2.4 | 1.0 | 2.3 |
| Permanent male - Total no. of days | 50 | 3 | 53 |
|  | 27,550.0 | 975.0 | 28,525.0 |
|  | 551.0 | 325.0 | 538.2 |
| No. of permanent female | 2 | 0 | 2 |
|  | 3.0 | . | 3.0 |
|  | 1.5 | . | 1.5 |
| Permanent female - Total no. of days | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 365.0 | . | 365.0 |
|  | 365.0 | . | 365.0 |
| No. of daily male | 47 | 3 | 50 |
|  | 535.0 | 8.0 | 543.0 |
|  | 11.4 | 2.7 | 10.9 |
| Daily male - Total no. of days | 46 | 3 | 49 |
|  | 2,170.0 | 316.0 | 2,486.0 |
|  | 47.2 | 105.3 | 50.7 |
| No. of daily female | 3 | 0 | 3 |
|  | 4.0 | . | 4.0 |
|  | 1.3 | . | 1.3 |
| Daily female - Total no. of days | 3 | 0 | 3 |
|  | 56.0 | . | 56.0 |
|  | 18.7 | . | 18.7 |
| No. of family male | 71 | 3 | 74 |
|  | 102.0 | 4.0 | 106.0 |
|  | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| Family male - Total no. of days | 71 | 3 | 74 |
|  | 24,285.0 | 799.0 | 25,084.0 |
|  | 342.0 | 266.3 | 339.0 |
| No. of family female | 15 | 1 | 16 |
|  | 16.0 | 1.0 | 17.0 |
|  | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| Family female - Total no. of days | 18 | 1 | 19 |


|  | $3,113.0$ | 120.0 | $3,233.0$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 172.9 | 120.0 | 170.2 |

Production of 73 nurseries was informed and all of them sold their product. Average price of production per nursery was taka 644,877.0 and average selling return was taka 596,428 . It was informed by 49 nurseries that they consumed their own product and on an average value of the consumption taka 26,995 . At the time of survey 30 nurseries reported that they had some unsold product and it was average taka 50,732 per nursery (Table-6.8).

Table-6.8: Production from Nurseries and Its Disposal in 2011

| Diposal | Hub |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Khulna | Faridpur | Total |
| Production |  |  |  |
| Number of nurseries | 70 | 3 | 73 |
| Production | $45,710,323$ | $1,365,695$ | $47,076,018$ |
| Average per nursery | $653,004.6$ | $455,231.7$ | $644,877.0$ |
| Sold |  |  |  |
| Number of nurseries | 70 | 3 | 73 |
| Production | $42,516,474$ | $1,022,775$ | $43,539,249$ |
| Average per nursery | $607,378.2$ | $340,925.0$ | $596,428.1$ |
| Consumption |  |  | 49 |
| Number of nurseries | 47 | 2 | $1,322,788$ |
| Production | $1,322,509$ | 279 | $26,995.7$ |
| Average per nursery | $28,138.5$ | 139.5 |  |
| Unsold |  |  | 30 |
| Number of nurseries | 27 | 3 | $1,521,957$ |
| Production | $1,416,321$ | 105,636 | $50,731.9$ |
| Average per nursery | $52,456.3$ | $35,212.0$ |  |

An attempt was made to assess the knowledge and real practice of the knowledge at the nursery. It was found that most of the nursery personnel knew High density nursing in earthen ponds and around 60\% knew about Nursing in Hapas, one and two stage nursing. Half of them knew Nursing in cemented concrete tanks and 26\% knew Nursing of Pangus fry. Practice of the knowledge was found near to the knowledge. It indicates most of the nurseries using their knowledge in practice (Table-6.9). Training is a very strong instrument to increase knowledge and skill for any technical subject. So , it was tried to receiving training by the nursery person. It found that 88 staff of 54 nurseries took training. These persons participated at 202 training course. So on an average 1.6 persons took training and they participated at 3.7 courses (Table-6.10)

Table -6.9: Knowledge and Practice of Improved Fish, Shrimp and Prawn Nursinging technology

|  | Hub |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Knowledge and practice of technology | Khulna |  | Faridpur |  | Total |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High density nursing in earthen ponds | 63 | 86.3 | 3 | 100.0 | 66 | 86.8 |
| Nursing in Hapas | 45 | 61.6 | 2 | 66.7 | 47 | 61.8 |
| Nursing in cemented concrete tanks | 36 | 49.3 | 1 | 33.3 | 37 | 48.7 |
| One stage system of nursing | 46 | 63.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 49 | 64.5 |
| Two stage system of nursing | 44 | 60.3 | 2 | 66.7 | 46 | 60.5 |
| Prawn larvae nursing in cemented tanks; | 6 | 8.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 7.9 |
| Shrimp larvae nursing in cemented tanks; | 9 | 12.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 11.8 |
| Nursing of Pangus fry | 20 | 27.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 20 | 26.3 |
| Nursing of Koi fry | 7 | 9.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 9.2 |
| Nursing of native catfish | 7 | 9.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 9.2 |
| Practice |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High density nursing in earthen ponds | 57 | 79.2 | 3 | 100.0 | 60 | 80.0 |
| Nursing in Hapas | 40 | 55.6 | 2 | 66.7 | 42 | 56.0 |
| Nursing in cemented concrete tanks | 31 | 43.1 | 1 | 33.3 | 32 | 42.7 |
| One stage system of nursing | 46 | 63.9 | 3 | 100.0 | 49 | 65.3 |
| Two stage system of nursing | 44 | 61.1 | 2 | 66.7 | 46 | 61.3 |
| Prawn larvae nursing in cemented tanks; | 3 | 4.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 4.0 |
| Shrimp larvae nursing in cemented tanks; | 6 | 8.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 8.0 |
| Nursing of Pangus fry | 16 | 22.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 16 | 21.3 |
| Nursing of Koi fry | 5 | 6.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 6.7 |
| Nursing of native catfish | 4 | 5.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 5.3 |

Table-6.10: Staff Received Training On Fish Nursery Management In Last Three Years

| Received Training | Hub |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Khulna | Faridpur | Total |
| No. of nuseries | 54 | 1 | 55 |
| No. of staff received training | 88 | 5 | 93 |
| Average staff received training per nursery | 1.6 | 5.0 | 1.7 |
| Total number of training received | 202 | 10 | 212 |
| Average number of training received per nursery | 3.7 | 10.0 | 3.9 |

Respondents of the asked what the reason for not practicing the improve nursing. Half of them said that they did not have enough capital and 44\% reported they had lack of enough skill. Other responses were input are not easily available and do not belief in
improve technologies (Table-6.11). They were also asked the constraints of operating nurseries. Main reasons mentioned by them were natural climates like heavy rain and draught. Other causes were cost and marketing of the product like high cost of feed, lack of capital and credit facilities (Table-6.12)

Table-6.11: Reasons for not Practicing Improved Fish, Shrimp and Prawn Nursing technology

|  | Hub |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Khulna |  |  | Total |  |
|  | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ |  |
| Inputs are not freely available | 2 | 12.5 | 2 | 12.5 |  |
| Lack of capital | 8 | 50.0 | 8 | 50.0 |  |
| Don't believe in it | 5 | 31.3 | 5 | 31.3 |  |
| Lack of enough skill | 7 | 43.8 | 7 | 43.8 |  |
| Others | 9 | 56.3 | 9 | 56.3 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table-6.12: Types of Problems/Constraints Encounter by the Nurseries

| Problems/constraints | Hub |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Khulna | Faridpur |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
|  | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ |  |  |
| Draught | 35 | 54.7 | 2 | 100.0 | 37 | 56.1 |  |  |
| Heavy rainfall | 40 | 62.5 | 2 | 100.0 | 42 | 63.6 |  |  |
| Insufficient power supply | 11 | 17.2 | 2 | 100.0 | 13 | 19.7 |  |  |
| High cost of nursery feed | 39 | 60.9 | 2 | 100.0 | 41 | 62.1 |  |  |
| Product marketing | 18 | 28.1 | 1 | 50.0 | 19 | 28.8 |  |  |
| Pausing | 16 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 16 | 24.2 |  |  |
| Less return | 16 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 16 | 24.2 |  |  |
| Credit problem | 32 | 50.0 | 1 | 50.0 | 33 | 50.0 |  |  |
| Other | 2 | 3.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 3.0 |  |  |

It was found the nursery is a very profitable business. Benefit-cost ratio was around two and half, it Averages the return margin 2.5 times of the investment. On an average profit per nursery was Tk. 380225 and Benfit-Cost Ratio 2.44. So if proper support is given in technical and financial matter this business can attract the investor and protein deficiency of the country can be solved. Even foreign currency can be earned by exporting those fishes which has demand in the world market.

Table-6.13: Cost and Return of Nursery operation

| Cost/Return | Khulna | Faridpur | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Cost | $16,193,599$ | 616,000 | $19,319,572$ |
| Cost per Nursery | 299881 | 616,000 | 351265 |
| Total Return | $45,710,323$ | $1,365,695$ | $47,076,018$ |
| Return per Nursery | 846487 | $1,365,695$ | 855928 |
| Gross margin per Nursery | 546606 | 749,695 | 380225 |
| Benefit-cost ratio | 2.82 | 2.217 | 2.44 |

## CHAPTER 7: CAGE FISH CULTURE

Cage fish culture has introduced in Bangladesh in the recent past. Till now it has not been widely used. However, this cultural practice may open the avenue for the poor population who have limited scope to cultivate the fish in pond or gher large water bodies individualy or by group forming. This method can be used open water like river, haor, bill, etc.

## Household Characteristics of Farmer of Cage Culture

Data on household characteristics of cage farmers shows that around $62 \%$ of them cage farmers were female and rest $38 \%$ were male that indicate a So a good participation of female in aquaculture activity was found. Age range of the cage farmers indicates that most of the farmers were between 25 to 44 years of age old and their average family size of these farmers was 4.5. Educational level of the cage farmers were found less compare to than the other fish farmers. Around $30 \%$ of them had no education at all and $32 \%$ are educated within I-Vupto primary level. As majority of the cage farmers were female, main primary occupation of all the female members were of most of them was identified as housewifery (56\%) and $20 \%$ male members were agricultural farmers who were mainly male. Secondary occupation of most of the farmers (68\%) was found fish culture and around $20 \%$ had no secondary occupation (Table 7.1).

Table 7.01: Household Characteristics

| Characteristics | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore |  | All regions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Household size (no. of members) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-3 | 10 | 27.0 | 10 | 18.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 20 | 20.6 |
| 4-6 | 25 | 67.6 | 37 | 67.3 | 4 | 80.0 | 66 | 68.0 |
| 7 or more | 2 | 5.4 | 8 | 14.5 | 1 | 20.0 | 11 | 11.3 |
| All | 37 | 100.0 | 55 | 100.0 | 5 | 100.0 | 97 | 100.0 |
| Average | 4.4 |  | 4.6 |  | 4.6 |  | 4.5 |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 3 | 8.1 | 33 | 60.0 | 1 | 20.0 | 37 | 38.1 |
| Female | 34 | 91.9 | 22 | 40.0 | 4 | 80.0 | 60 | 61.9 |
| All | 37 | 100.0 | 55 | 100.0 | 5 | 100.0 | 97 | 100.0 |
| Age of the farmer (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 25 | 7 | 18.9 | 4 | 7.3 | 1 | 20.0 | 12 | 12.4 |
| 25-34 | 12 | 32.4 | 22 | 40.0 | 1 | 20.0 | 35 | 36.1 |
| 35-44 | 9 | 24.3 | 15 | 27.3 | 3 | 60.0 | 27 | 27.8 |
| 45-54 | 6 | 16.2 | 8 | 14.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 14 | 14.4 |
| 55 or above | 3 | 8.1 | 6 | 10.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 9.3 |
| All | 37 | 100.0 | 55 | 100.0 | 5 | 100.0 | 97 | 100.0 |
| Average | 35.1 |  | 37.1 |  | 34.2 |  | 36.2 |  |
| Educational level of the farmer (grades completed) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 12 | 32.4 | 15 | 27.3 | 2 | 40.0 | 29 | 29.9 |
| 1-5 | 7 | 18.9 | 23 | 41.8 | 1 | 20.0 | 31 | 32.0 |
| 6-10 | 8 | 21.6 | 10 | 18.2 | 2 | 40.0 | 20 | 20.6 |
| 10-12 | 9 | 24.3 | 7 | 12.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 16 | 16.5 |
| 13 or more | 1 | 2.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.0 |
| All | 37 | 100.0 | 55 | 100.0 | 5 | 100.0 | 97 | 100.0 |
| Primary occupation of the farmer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| House wife | 28 | 75.7 | 23 | 41.8 | 4 | 80.0 | 55 | 56.7 |
| Service | 1 | 2.7 | 1 | 1.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 2.1 |


| Big/medium Business | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Small business | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 9.1 | 1 | 20.0 | 6 | 6.2 |
| Day labor | 2 | 5.4 | 1 | 1.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 3.1 |
| Agriculture (Own/share cropper) | 0 | 0.0 | 20 | 36.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 20 | 20.6 |
| Handicrafts, Carpenter, Mason and other self <br> employed | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.0 |
| Retired / Minor child | 1 | 2.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.0 |
| Fish Culture | 4 | 10.8 | 3 | 5.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 7.2 |
| Others | 1 | 2.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.0 |
| All | 37 | 100.0 | 55 | 100.0 | 5 | 100.0 | 97 | 100.0 |
| Secondary occupation of the farmer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| House wife | 1 | 2.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.0 |
| Day labor | 1 | 2.7 | 4 | 7.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 5.2 |
| Agriculture (Own/share cropper) | 2 | 5.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 2.1 |
| Fish Culture | 25 | 67.6 | 37 | 67.3 | 4 | 80.0 | 66 | 68.0 |
| Others | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 9.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 5.2 |
| No Subsidiary Occupation | 8 | 21.6 | 9 | 16.4 | 1 | 20.0 | 18 | 18.6 |
| All | 37 | 100.0 | 55 | 100.0 | 5 | 100.0 | 97 | 100.0 |

## Training Received

As cage culture is a new technology, so training on this subject is necessary to practice it. It was found that more than $90 \%$ of the cage farmers received training on the technology. Average number of training received during last three years was 2.8 . These farmers also received other along with cultivation fish in cage (Table7.2).

Fish culture in cages is a new technology for Bangladesh which is mostly suitable for large open water bodies and running water bodies where normal fish culture is not manageable. Therefore, farmers involve in this activity need to know about new ideas of technology like preparation of cage, materials to be used, site selection, selection of species to be cultures, feed and culture management, maintenance of cages and community based management approaches etc. The present study revealed that more than $90 \%$ of the cage farmers received training on various aspects of cage culture technology. Out of them 51\% received training for a period of 1-3 days while $33 \%$ received training for a period of 7 days or more. About $95 \%$ of these cage farmers also received other trainings on fish culture aspects (Table 7.2).

Table-7.2: Training received by HH members on cage farming during last years

|  | Hub |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Training Received | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore |  | Total |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Training on cage farming during the last three years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 5 | 13.51 | 2 | 3.636 | 2 | 40 | 9 | 9.28 |
| 1-3 | 30 | 81.08 | 19 | 34.545 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 50.52 |
| 4-6 | 0 | 0.00 | 6 | 10.909 | 1 | 20 | 7 | 7.22 |
| 7 or above | 2 | 5.41 | 28 | 50.909 | 2 | 40 | 32 | 32.99 |
| Total | 37 | 100.00 | 55 | 100.000 | 5 | 100 | 97 | 100.00 |
| Average training | 1.7 |  | 3.7 |  | 1.5 |  | 2.8 |  |
| Total training received during last three year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5.45 | 2 | 66.7 | 5 | 5.15 |


| $1-3$ | 34 | 91.89 | 43 | 78.18 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 79.38 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $4-6$ | 1 | 2.70 | 7 | 12.73 | 1 | 33.3 | 9 | 9.28 |
| 7 or above | 2 | 5.41 | 2 | 3.64 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 6.19 |
| Total | 37 | 100 | 55 | 100.00 | 5 | 100 | 97 | 100.00 |

## Cultivation Land

Cultivation land is one of the main indicators of the economic condition of the household in the village level. It was found that a large number of the cage farmers (40\%) had no cultivable land at all and around $20 \%$ had 20 decimal or less land. However, average cultivated land was 195 decimal and most of these ( 155 decimal) was leased-in (Table-7.3).

Table-7.3: Cultivable Land

|  | Faridp |  | Barisa |  | Chara |  | All reg |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| No. of field plots cul |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-2 | 18 | 48.65 | 26 | 47.27 | 2 | 40.00 | 46 | 47.42 |
| 3-4 | 2 | 5.41 | 6 | 10.91 | 1 | 20.00 | 9 | 9.28 |
| 5 or more | 0 | 0.00 | 3 | 5.45 |  | 0.00 | 3 | 3.09 |
| Not Cultivated | 17 | 45.95 | 20 | 36.36 | 2 | 40.00 | 39 | 40.21 |
| All | 37 | 100 | 55 | 100 | 5 | 100 | 97 | 100 |
| Average | 1.4 |  | 2.5 |  | 1.5 |  | 2.1 |  |
| Area of land cultiva | decima |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.00-50.00 | 10 | 27.02 | 9 | 16.36 | 2 | 40.00 | 21 | 21.65 |
| 50.01-100.00 | 3 | 8.10 | 13 | 23.64 | 1 | 20.00 | 17 | 17.53 |
| 100.01-150.00 | 5 | 13.51 | 1 | 1.82 |  | 0.00 | 6 | 6.19 |
| 150.01-200.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 5 | 9.09 |  | 0.00 | 5 | 5.15 |
| 200.01 and above | 2 | 5.41 | 7 | 12.73 |  | 0.00 | 9 | 9.28 |
| No cultivable land | 17 | 45.95 | 20 | 36.36 | 2 | 40.00 | 39 | 40.21 |
| All | 37 | 100 | 55 | 100 | 5 | 100 | 97 | 100 |
| Average area | 206.7 |  | 189.0 |  | 184.5 |  | 195.4 |  |
| Leased in farm land |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of farmers | 6 |  | 13 |  | 2 |  | 21 |  |
| Average area | 58.6 |  | 188.9 |  | 144.5 |  | 154.6 |  |
| Leased out farm land |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of farmers | 6 |  | 5 |  | 0 |  | 11 |  |
| Average area | 1,038 |  | 427 |  | 0 |  | 793 |  |

## Homestead Gardening

Around one-fourth of the cage farmer had no homestead land, so scope of gardening very limited. Around $60 \%$ did not have chicken garden and area of garden of $80 \%$ who cultivated vegetable was less than 10 decimal. More than $60 \%$ had no tree at the home stead and $27 \%$ had less than 5 trees in their garden (Table-7.4).

Table-7.4: Homestead Land and Gardening

| Characteristics | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore |  | All regions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Area in homestead (decimal) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 00.01-10.00 | 15 | 40.54 | 27 | 49.09 | 4 | 80 | 46 | 47.42 |
| 10.01-20.00 | 7 | 18.92 | 12 | 21.82 | 0 |  | 19 | 19.59 |
| 20.01 and above | 2 | 5.41 | 6 | 10.91 | 0 |  | 8 | 8.25 |
| Total having Homestead | 24 | 64.86 | 45 | 81.82 | 4 | 80 | 73 | 75.26 |
| No homestead land | 13 | 35.14 | 10 | 18.18 | 1 | 20 | 24 | 24.74 |
| All | 37 | 100 | 55 | 100 | 5 | 100 | 97 | 100 |
| Average area | 7.4 |  | 9.3 |  | 1.0 |  | 8.5 |  |
| No. of homestead vegetable plots cultivated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One | 9 | 24.32 | 29 | 52.73 | 1 | 20.00 | 39 | 40.21 |
| Over one | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| None | 28 | 75.68 | 26 | 47.27 | 4 | 80.00 | 58 | 59.79 |
| All | 37 | 100 | 55 | 100 | 5 | 100 | 97 | 100 |
| Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area cultivated (decimals) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10 | 7 | 77.78 | 23 | 79.31 | 1 | 100 | 31 | 79.49 |
| 10 and above | 2 | 22.22 | 6 | 20.69 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 20.51 |
| All | 9 | 100 | 29 | 100 | 1 | 100 | 39 | 100 |
| Average area | 6.8 |  | 7.6 |  | 7.8 |  | 7.4 |  |
| Area under homestead trees (decimals) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 5 | 7 | 18.92 | 18 | 32.73 | 1 | 20.00 | 26 | 26.80 |
| 5-9 | 1 | 2.70 | 5 | 9.09 | 0 | 0.00 | 6 | 6.19 |
| $9+$ | 2 | 5.41 | 4 | 7.27 |  | 0.00 | 6 | 6.19 |
| None | 27 | 72.97 | 28 | 50.91 | 4 | 80.00 | 59 | 60.82 |
| All | 37 | 100 | 55 | 100 | 5 | 100 | 97 | 100 |
| Average area | 5.3 |  | 7.8 |  | 6.8 |  | 7.1 |  |

## Household Income

One an average 3.4 members of the households of the cage farmers were earning (Table7.5 ) and average family size was 4.5 . It indicates that most of the family members of the cage farmers earned for their survival. Main sources of income of these families crop or vegetable cultivation, livestock or poultry rearing, aquaculture or other fisheries. However, their major income comes from aquaculture or other fisheries. The above situation shows the vulnerability of the cage farmers. On an average annual income of the households was Taka 117,393 and per capita income was taka 26088 (Table-7.6).

Table-7.5: Income of the Cage Farmers

| No. of income sources | Hub |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore |  | Total |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| 1-2 | 21 | 56.8 | 9 | 16.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 30 | 30.9 |
| 3-4 | 11 | 29.7 | 23 | 41.8 | 5 | 100.0 | 39 | 40.2 |
| 5-6 | 5 | 13.5 | 21 | 38.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 26 | 26.8 |


| 7 or more | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 3.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 2.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total | 37 | 100.0 | 55 | 100.0 | 5 | 100.0 | 97 | 100.0 |
| No. of earning member | 4.0 |  | 3.2 |  | 3 |  | 3.4 |  |

Table-7.6: Sources of Income

| Sources | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore |  | All regions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% of hh | \% of income (Tk) | \% of hh | \% of income (Tk) | \% of hh | \% of income (Tk) | \% of hh | \% of income (Tk) |
| Crops and vegetables vegetables | 35.1 | 6.1 | 67.3 | 30.7 | 0.0 | 0 | 51.5 | 17.1 |
| Livestock and poultry | 13.5 | 0.2 | 81.8 | 7.2 | 60.0 | 4.6 | 54.6 | 3.6 |
| Home gardening | 18.9 | 0.4 | 58.2 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.2 | 2.2 |
| Homestead trees | 8.1 | 0.1 | 10.9 | 0.2 | 40.0 | 0.3 | 11.3 | 0.2 |
| Aquaculture | 32.4 | 23.5 | 41.8 | 5.0 | 20.0 | 26.4 | 37.1 | 15.2 |
| Other fisheries | 62.2 | 26.1 | 45.5 | 12.7 | 80.0 | 39.2 | 53.6 | 20.6 |
| Pump rental | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Tiller rental | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.1 |
| Fishing net rental | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 0.4 | 2.1 | 0.0 |
| Labor | 27.0 | 5.3 | 30.9 | 9.6 | 20.0 | 19.0 | 28.9 | 8.0 |
| Services | 10.8 | 9.2 | 7.3 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.2 | 8.1 |
| Business | 8.1 | 6.4 | 5.5 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 4.4 |
| Small trade | 8.1 | 2.0 | 14.5 | 5.9 | 40.0 | 6.7 | 13.4 | 4.0 |
| Vehicle rental | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.2 | 2.5 |
| Remittance | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 2.6 | 20.0 | 3.5 | 6.2 | 1.4 |
| Leased out land | 8.1 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 1.3 |
| Others | 27.0 | 18.2 | 10.9 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.5 | 11.2 |
| All households | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Per HH average income | 151,375 |  | 94,867.3 |  | 113,720 |  | 117,393 |  |
| Per capita | 34404 |  | 20623 |  | 24722 |  | 26088 |  |

## Knowledge and Practice of Cage Culture

All most all had the farmers know the cage maintenance. Around $80 \%$ know the techniques of species selection $77 \%$ knew about the supplementary feed. However, maintenance of the density of the stack was known to less than half of the farmers (Table7.7).

Table-7.7: Knowledge and Practice of Cage Fish Culture

| Technology | Faridpur |  | Barisal |  | Jessore | All regions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Maintaining fish stock density |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Knew | 10 | 27.0 | 29 | 52.7 | 5 | 100.0 | 44 | 45.4 |
| Practiced among those who knew | 9 | 90.0 | 27 | 93.1 | 5 | 100.0 | 41 | 93.2 |
| Average no. of farmers disseminated to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Species selection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Knew | 20 | 54.1 | 54 | 98.2 | 5 | 100.0 | 79 | 81.4 |


| Practiced among those who knew | 14 | 70.0 | 54 | 100.0 | 5 | 100.0 | 73 | 92.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average no. of farmers disseminated to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cage maintenance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Knew | 37 | 100.0 | 54 | 98.2 | 5 | 100.0 | 96 | 99.0 |
| Practiced among those who knew | 30 | 81.1 | 54 | 100.0 | 5 | 100.0 | 89 | 92.7 |
| Average no. of farmers disseminated to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplementary feeding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Knew | 18 | 48.6 | 53 | 96.4 | 4 | 80.0 | 75 | 77.3 |
| Practiced among those who knew | 14 | 77.8 | 52 | 98.1 | 4 | 100.0 | 70 | 93.3 |
| Average no. of farmers disseminated to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fish disease management |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Knew | 14 | 37.8 | 31 | 56.4 | 4 | 80.0 | 49 | 50.5 |
| Practiced among those who knew | 10 | 71.4 | 31 | 100.0 | 4 | 100.0 | 45 | 91.8 |
| Average no. of farmers disseminated to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Health monitoring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Knew | 14 | 37.8 | 43 | 78.2 | 5 | 100.0 | 62 | 63.9 |
| Practiced among those who knew | 10 | 71.4 | 42 | 97.7 | 5 | 100.0 | 57 | 91.9 |
| Average no. of farmers disseminated to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Problem and Constraints

The problems of cage fish culture were so highlighted by the farmers. Around $40 \%$ only raise the problem of high mortality rate of fish and $30 \%$ identified credit for the capital as their problem. However, the farmers started the cage culture recently, so they might not across the problems (Table-7.8).

Table-7.8: Problems and Constraints

| Problems |  |  | Hub |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Faridpur | Barisal | Jessore | Total |
| High mortality of fish | Less | \% | 48.6 | 10.9 | 20.0 | 25.8 |
|  | Moderate | \% | 2.7 | 1.8 | 20.0 | 3.1 |
|  | High | \% | 32.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.4 |
|  | None | \% | 16.2 | 87.3 | 60.0 | 58.8 |
| High mortality of fish Measures taken to overcome problem | Keep safe from infection sources | \% | 54.8 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 47.5 |
|  | Better management of water quality | \% | 83.9 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 67.5 |
|  | Consultation with expert | \% | 45.2 | 71.4 | 0.0 | 47.5 |
|  | Use of medicine/antibiotics | \% | 6.5 | 42.9 | 0.0 | 12.5 |
|  | Others | \% | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5.0 |
| Social problem | Less | \% | 10.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.1 |
|  | Moderate | \% | 10.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.1 |


|  | High | \% | 54.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | None | \% | 24.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 71.1 |
| Social problem - <br> Measures taken overcome problem | Increased security guard | \% | 60.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.7 |
|  | Awareness campaign | \% | 89.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 89.3 |
| Credit problem | Less | \% | 32.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.4 |
|  | Moderate | \% | 2.7 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 4.1 |
|  | High | \% | 32.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.4 |
|  | None | \% | 32.4 | 94.5 | 100.0 | 71.1 |
| Credit problem - <br> Measures taken to <br> overcome problem   | Easy access to association/cooperatives | \% | 96.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 92.9 |
|  | Loan taken from Bank | \% | 24.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 28.6 |
| Natural calamities | Less | \% | 10.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.1 |
|  | High | \% | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
|  | None | \% | 86.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 94.8 |
| Financial problems | Less | \% | 16.2 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 7.2 |
|  | Moderate | \% | 0.0 | 1.8 | 20.0 | 2.1 |
|  | High | \% | 10.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.1 |
|  | None | \% | 73.0 | 98.2 | 60.0 | 86.6 |
| High input cost | Less | \% | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
|  | Moderate | \% | 5.4 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 4.1 |
|  | High | \% | 2.7 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 2.1 |
|  | None | \% | 89.2 | 94.5 | 100.0 | 92.8 |
| Water pollution | Less | \% | 8.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 |
|  | moderate | \% | 0.0 | 3.6 | 20.0 | 3.1 |
|  | None | \% | 91.9 | 96.4 | 80.0 | 93.8 |
| Total |  |  | 37 | 55 | 5 | 97 |

## Chapter 8: Hatchery

Hatchery is the main source of quality seedling of fish culture. Shrimp cultivation is mainly dependent on the hatchery. Mainly hatcheries are available at Barisal and Jessore hub of the survey area. However, hatchery of the shrimp is not available at the survey areas. So, Barisal and Jessore along with Coxes Bazar to cover shrimp hatchery.

Hatcheries are considered as the main source of germ plasm of fish and shrimp/prawn. Previously farmers were fully dependent on wild seed for fish culture. However after the development of fish breeding technologyin in early 60 's, later the technology gradually spreaded over the country and now providing more than $70 \%$ of the national fish seed requirement. But various malpractices at hatchery level over the period invites problems like genetic erosion and inbreeding etc those drastically reduces the production potential of the sub sector.

In case of shrimp seed, upto 90 's, wild source was the only way to get PLs for culture in the ghers of the country, where the harvest size was about 600 crore PL per year which was a great threat against biodiversity conservation for the respective aquatic environment. Because collectors used to destroy 116-140 other aquatic lives for the collection of single PL. However, establishment of shrimp hatcheries and their production activity opened the door of new avenues particularly to increase national earning through frozen shrimp export. At present 55 shrimp hatcheries of the country satisfying more than $65 \%$ seed requirements of the shrimp farmers.

## Type of Broods Stock

Most of the hatcheries of Barisal and Jessore found hatching Rui, Catla, Mrigal, Grass carp, Silver carp and Thai Sorputi. Monosex Tilapia was found hatching at only Jessore. Hatcheries of shrimp was found at Coxes Bazar only (Table-8.1).

Survey findings on fish and shrimp hatchery reflect that all most all fish hatcheries are operating in the Barisal and Jessor. Intensity and volume of breeding activity is much higher in Jesssor hatcheries than Barisal. This is worthwhile to mention that historically Jessor is well known for fish seed production in the region and occupies the leading position both in hatcghery quantinty, volume of production and seed trade in the country. However the major breeding species are common and these are Rui, Catla, Mrigal, Grass carp, Silver carp and Thai Sorputi. GIFT strain and Monosex Tilapia are bred in Jessor hatcheries only. The brood stock size of different species in the Jessor is found much higher than Barisal.(Table 8.1).

In case shrimp hatcheries, the only species bred is Bagda (P.monodon). Hatchery owners have to fully depend on wild brood of the species, bacuse this can not be raised upto a egg bearing mature brood in captive condition.Under the study, shrimp hatchery survey was conducted in seven operational hatcheries at Cox'sBazar area.

Table-8.1: Number of Hatcheries Type of Broods Stock for Hatchery

| Type of broods | Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Barisal |  | Coxs Bazar |  | Jessore |  | Total |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Rui | 4 | 80.00 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 80.00 | 24 | 64.86 |
| Catla | 3 | 60.00 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 76.00 | 22 | 59.46 |
| Mrigal | 3 | 60.00 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 80.00 | 23 | 62.16 |
| Thai Pangus | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 40.00 | 10 | 27.03 |
| Grass carp | 3 | 60.00 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 76.00 | 22 | 59.46 |
| Silver carp | 3 | 60.00 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 76.00 | 22 | 59.46 |
| Monosex Tilapia | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4.00 | 1 | 2.70 |
| GIFT | 1 | 20.00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4.00 | 2 | 5.41 |
| Shrimp (Bagda) | 0 | 0.00 | 7 | 100 | 0 | 0.00 | 7 | 18.92 |
| Prawn (Golda) | 1 | 20.00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4.00 | 2 | 5.41 |
| Native Shing | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8.00 | 2 | 5.41 |
| Native Magur | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8.00 | 2 | 5.41 |
| Thai Koi | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4.00 | 1 | 2.70 |
| Thai Sorputi | 3 | 60.00 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 80.00 | 23 | 62.16 |
| Other | 4 | 80.00 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 80.00 | 24 | 64.86 |
| Total | 5 | 100 | 7 | 100 | 25 | 100.00 | 37 | 100.00 |

## Sources of Brood Stocks

All the hatcheries collect brood stock from Private far and Own production. However, At Jessore all the hatcheries collect brood stock from Jamuna river and Barisal from government source and natural sources. However, hatcheries of Coxes Bazar produce seedling of shrimp, so they collect brood stocks from the natural sources (Table8.2).

Sources of brood fish/shrimp used in the carp and shrimp hatcheries are presented in Table 8.2. It is clear from the survey findings that hatcheries of different survey locations used broods from all most all the available souces of the country at a time. Because of the recent fish inbreed problem in the carp hatcheries of the country, producers became aware about the importance of quality brood use in the hatchery for better breeding and business successs. As a followup activity few are collecting selected species directly from the wild sources for their purity and good breeding performances, few are stocking and raising broods using quality germplasm and few became serious about maintain the breeding line at hatchery level. The present study revealed that Jessor hatcheries are highly dependent on wild natural sources. Both Barisal and Jessor also used government farms and other private farms as a good source of broods.

However, for mother shrimp, hatchery owners have to fully depended on deep sea originated wild sources, because Bagda brood can not be raised in captive condition Therefore, it appeared that $86 \%$ of shrimp hatcheries in Cpx,sBazar area used broods from natural source while rest $4 \%$ is Galda species those can be raised by farmers own or may be procured from other natural or culture sources.

Table-8.2: Sources of Brood Stock of Hatcheries in 2011

| Sources | Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Barisal |  | Coxs Bazar |  | Jessore |  | Total |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Halda | 4 | 80.00 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 32.00 | 12 | 32.43 |
| Jamuna | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 100.00 | 25 | 67.57 |
| Brahmaputra | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 12.00 | 3 | 8.11 |
| Government farm | 5 | 100.00 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 72.00 | 23 | 62.16 |
| Private farm | 5 | 100.00 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 100.00 | 30 | 81.08 |
| Own | 5 | 100.00 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 100.00 | 30 | 81.08 |
| Natural | 5 | 100.00 | 6 | 85.7 | 10 | 40.00 | 21 | 56.76 |
| Other wild sources | 5 | 100.00 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 12.00 | 8 | 21.62 |
| Others | 5 | 100.00 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 68.00 | 22 | 59.46 |
| Total | 5 | 100.00 | 7 | 100 | 25 | 100 | 37 | 100.00 |

## Cost of Hatchery

Cost of hatcheries included both the fixed cost and operational cost.

## Fixed Cost

Fixed cost mainly included the cost of hatchery complex and equipment cost. It was found 1,55,90,522 taka per hatchery (Table-3 ). Major fixed cost was cost of build-up the hatchery complex. Only around $15 \%$ for the equipment (Table-4). Average fixed cost at Barisal, Cox,s Bazar and Jessore were Taka $73,65,080,6,07,21,086$ and 45,99,053 respectively. It indicates that cost of shrimp hatchery is much more higher than the other hatcheries.

Table-8.3: Cost of Fixed Items of Hatchery Complex

| Items of cost |  | Zone |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Barisal | Coxs Bazar | Jessore | Total |
| Total Number of <br> Hatcheries |  | 5 | 7 | 25 | 37 |
| Cost of Hatchery <br> complex | Total | $28,386,500$ | $370,582,000$ | $93,434,568$ | $492,403,068$ |
|  | Average | 5677300 | 52940285.71 | 3737382.72 | 13308191 |
| Total fixed cost | Total | $8,438,900$ | $54,465,600$ | $21,541,750$ | $84,446,250$ |
|  | Average | $1,687,780$ | $7,780,800$ | 861,670 | $2,282,331$ |

Table-8.4: Percentage of Fixed Costs of Hatchery

| Items of cost | Zone |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Barisal | Coxs Bazar | Jessore | Total |
| Cost of Hatchery Complex | 77.08 | 87.19 | 81.26 | 85.36 |
| Equipment Cost | 22.92 | 12.81 | 18.74 | 14.64 |
| Total fixed cost | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

## Operational Cost

Brood stock collection and rearing management is one of the important operation of hatching. This cost in case of shrimp is much more higher than other fish. Because collection of mother shrimp is involved with hiring of deep sep trawl vessel and other cruise cost. It was found intotal Taka 12,425,571 for shrimp at Cox's Bazar. This were Tk 662,875 and Tk. 2,043,616 at Barisal and Jessore respectively (Table-5).

Other operational cost were brood pond operation, hatchery operation, spawn packing and marketing and other miscellaneous cost. On an average operational cost of shrimp was Tk. 20,161,775. Cost of other two locations Barisal and Jessore were Tk. 2,034,140 and Tk, 1,260,699 respectively (Table-6). Wide variation of operational costs between the hatcheries of two areas are particularly due to hatchery size, intensity of operation and efficiency of the hatchery. Generally Jessor hatcheries are considered as more organized productive hatcheries where involvement of costs are much higher with better return.

Table -8.5: Costs Brood Stock Retained

| Brood stock retained <br> cost | Zone |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Barisal | Coxs Bazar | Jessore | Total |
| Number | 5 | 7 | 25 | 37 |
| Total | $3,314,375$ | $86,979,000$ | $51,090,407$ | $141,383,782$ |
| Average | 662,875 | $12,425,571$ | $2,043,616$ | $3,821,183$ |

Table-8.6: Hatchery Operational Costs in Taka

| Items of Cost |  |  | Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Number of <br> Hatcheries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Barisal | Coxs Bazar | Jessore | Total |
| Brood pond operations <br> cost | Total | $8,459,800$ | $18,514,425$ | $22,931,895$ | $49,906,120$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Average | $1,691,960$ | $2,644,918$ | 917,276 | $1,348,814$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hatchery operation <br> costs | Total | $1,177,900$ | $44,244,001$ | $7,169,410$ | $52,591,311$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Average | 235,580 | $6,320,572$ | 286,776 | $1,421,387$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spawn packaging <br> costs (marketing | Total | 242,000 | $22,774,000$ | $1,547,760$ | $24,563,760$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Average | 48,400 | $3,253,429$ | 61,910 | 663,885 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous costs | Total | 166,000 | $55,600,000$ | $1,721,900$ | $57,487,900$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Average | 33,200 | $7,942,857$ | 68,876 | $1,553,727$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Operational <br> Costs | Total | $10,170,700$ | $141,132,426$ | $31,517,465$ | $182,820,591$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Average | $2,034,140$ | $20,161,775$ | $1,260,699$ | $4,941,097$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table-8.7: Percentage Operational Costs of Hatchery

| Items of Cost | Zone-wise \% of cost |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Items of Expenditures | Barisal | Coxs Bazar | Jessore | Total |
| Operational Costs of Hatchery (variable costs) | 50.31 | 50.00 | 48.57 | 49.76 |
| Brood pond operations cost | 41.85 | 6.56 | 35.34 | 13.58 |
| Hatchery operation costs | 5.83 | 15.67 | 11.05 | 14.32 |
| Spawn packaging costs (marketing | 1.20 | 8.07 | 2.39 | 6.69 |
| Miscellaneous costs | 0.82 | 19.70 | 2.65 | 15.65 |
| Total | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |

## Return

Return of hatcheries comes from two sources- value of the sale of brood fish and spwan. Average sale of broods of shrimp at Coxes Bazar was taka 1,413,393. It was at Barisal and Jessore were 142785 and 399629 respectively. Return of spwan of was taka $87,642,857$ per shrimp hatchery and taka 1346,450 and $19,629,218$ at the Barisal and Jessore. It was found that return of shrimp hatchery of Coxes Bazar was much higher than other two areas. However, hatchery of Barisal incurred loss. Sample size the Barisal was only 5, Sample of Jessore was 25, so finding of this hub is more rliable. Return of shrimp hatchery was taka $87,795,551$ and benefit cost ratio was 4.42 . Gross profit of the hatcheries of Jessore was 3,148,399 and Benefit-cost ratio was 3.5 (Table-8.8, 8.9 \& 8.10).

Table-8.8: Value of broods sold in 2011 in Taka

|  | Region |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Barisal | Coxs Bazar | Jessore | Total |
| Number of hatchery | 5 | 7 | 25 | 37 |
| Total value | 713,925 | $9,893,750$ | $4,178,594$ | $14,786,269$ |
| Average value per hatcery | 142,785 | $1,413,393$ | 167,144 | 399,629 |

Table-8.9: Production and Sales of Fish Spwan in 2011

| Value of fish spwan/PL | Zone | Jossore | Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Barisal | 7 | 25 | 37 |
| Number of hatchery | 5 | 7 | $613,500,000$ | $106,048,840$ |
| Total | $6,732,250$ | $726,281,090$ |  |  |
| Average | $1,346,450$. | $87,642,857$. | $4,241,953$. | $19,629,218$ |

Table-8.10: Benefit Cost of the Hatchery

| Benefit and cost | Barisal | Jessore | Fish <br> Hatchery | Shrimp (Coxes Bazar |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Hachery | 5 | 25 | 30 | 7 |
| Gross return | $1,489,235$ | $4,409,098$ | $5,898,333$ | $89,056,250$ |
| Gross return per hachery | 297,847 | 176,364 | 196,611 | $12,722,321$ |
| Total Cost | $2,034,140$ | $1,260,699$ | $3,294,839$ | $20,161,775$ |
| Cost per hachery | 406,828 | 50,428 | 109,828 | $2,880,254$ |
| Gross margin per hactery |  |  | 86783 | $9,842,068$ |
| Benefit-cost ratio |  |  | 1.79 | 4.42 |

## Labour Use

Labour use one of the important issues for employment generation of any business. On an average permanent employment of 11.2 male was generated by a hatchery. Permanent employment of female was insignificant in number. On an average 80 male daily labourer worked per hatchery total and on an average they worked for 104 days. Female daily labour was also insignificants in number. On an average 496 labour days were created for the family male members and 13 for the female members (Table-8.11)
Table-8.11: Use of Labour for Hatchery Operation

| Type of Labour Used |  | Zone |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Barisal | Coxs Bazar | Jessore | Total |
| Number of hatvheries |  | 5 | 7 | 25 | 37 |
| No. of permanent male | Total | 19 | 255 | 141 | 415 |
|  | Average | 3.8 | 36.4 | 5.6 | 11.2 |
| No. of days of Permanent male | Total | 4,808 | 3,330 | 79,072 | 87,210 |
|  | Average | 961.6 | 475.7 | 3,162.9 | 2,357.0 |
| No. of permanent female | Total | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
|  | Average | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| No. of days of Permanent female | Total | 360 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 360 |
|  | Average | 72. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.7 |
| No. of male daily labourer | Total | 14 | 2,080 | 880 | 2,974 |
|  | Average | 2.8 | 297.1 | 35.2 | 80.4 |
| Total no. of days of daily male labour | Total | 342 | 600 | 2,938 | 3,880 |
|  | Average | 68.4 | 85.7 | 117.5 | 104.9 |
| No. of daily female labour | Total | 0.0 | 2 | 5 | 7 |
|  | Average | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Total no. of days of daily female labour | Total | 0.0 | 20 | 120 | 140 |
|  | Average | 0.0 | 2.9 | 4.8 | 3.8 |
| No. of family male labour | Total | 8 | 7 | 38 | 53 |
|  | Average | 1.6 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| Total no. of days family male labour | Total | 2,905 | 1,966 | 13,470 | 18,341 |
|  | Average | 581.0 | 280.9 | 538.8 | 495.7 |
| No. of family female labour | Total | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
|  | Average | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total no. of days family female labour | Total | 0.0 | 0.0 | 488 | 488 |
|  | Average | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.5 | 13.2 |

## Knowledge and Practice

Overall knowledge on improve technology of the farmers was good. However, some of the technology like secchi disc reading, stage of maturation of brood fish and shrimp species, Water quality management of hatching and incubation tanks, stripping of ripen eggs, mixing of eggs and milts, Live feed production and algal culture and application, etc were not kwon to more than $50 \%$ of the hatchery operators. So this should take into consideration to improve their skill. A relationship between the knowledge is obvious and that has been also reflected in the practice (Table-8.13). However, it was reported by the respondents that personnel of all the hatchery had received training. On an average 5.4 training had been taken by the shrimp hatchery employees and it was 4.6 for Barisal and only 1.8 for Jessore (Table-8.14).

Table-8.13: Knowledge of Improved Fish, Shrimp and Prawn Hatching

| Knowledge on Nursing technology | Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Barisal |  | Coxs Bazar |  | Jessore |  | Total |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Brood stocking density | 4 | 80.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 19 | 79.2 | 23 | 76.7 |
| Water depth | 5 | 100 | 1 | 100 | 19 | 79.2 | 25 | 83.3 |
| Water exchange before hatching | 2 | 40.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 17 | 70.8 | 19 | 63.3 |
| Protein percentage in feed | 3 | 60.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 19 | 79.2 | 22 | 73.3 |
| Feed application rate (pre spawning) | 3 | 60.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 20 | 83.3 | 23 | 76.7 |
| Feed application rate (after spawning) | 2 | 40.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 15 | 62.5 | 17 | 56.7 |
| Secchi disc reading | 1 | 20.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 11 | 45.8 | 12 | 40.0 |
| Sampling and health monitoring | 3 | 60.0 | 1 | 100 | 19 | 79.2 | 23 | 76.7 |
| Ratio of M:F brood used during spawning | 4 | 80.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 20 | 83.3 | 24 | 80.0 |
| Presence of aeration device in brood pond | 3 | 60.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 15 | 62.5 | 18 | 60.0 |
| Average number of time each brood is spawned per season | 4 | 80.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 20 | 83.3 | 24 | 80.0 |
| Hybrid produced illegally | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 29.2 | 7 | 23.3 |
| Pond for conditioning spent brood fish | 2 | 40.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 17 | 70.8 | 19 | 63.3 |
| Stage of maturation of brood fish and shrimp species | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 11 | 45.8 | 11 | 36.7 |
| Quality brood of fish,shrimp and prawn selection | 3 | 60.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 14 | 58.3 | 18 | 60.0 |
| Water quality management of hatching and incubation tanks | 2 | 40.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 10 | 41.7 | 13 | 43.3 |
| Dose détermination and application of induction agents |  | 40.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 16 | 66.7 | 18 | 60.0 |
| Stripping of ripen eggs | 3 | 60.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 10 | 41.7 | 13 | 43.3 |
| Mixing of eggs and milts | 2 | 40.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 37.5 | 11 | 36.7 |
| Health care of induced and spent fish | 3 | 60.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 20 | 83.3 | 23 | 76.7 |
| Use of antibiotics/medicines | 4 | 80.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 18 | 75.0 | 22 | 73.3 |
| Growth and survivality Monitoring of spawn/larvae | 4 | 80.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 17 | 70.8 | 22 | 73.3 |
| Live feed production and algal culture and application | 1 | 20.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 25.0 | 7 | 23.3 |

Table-8.14: Practice of Improved Fish, Shrimp and Prawn Hatching

| Practice of Nursing technology | Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Barisal |  | Coxs Bazar |  | Jessore |  | Total |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Brood stocking density | 2 | 50.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 12 | 63.2 | 14 | 60.9 |
| Water depth | 2 | 50.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 14 | 73.7 | 16 | 69.6 |
| Water exchange before hatching | 2 | 50.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 12 | 63.2 | 14 | 60.9 |
| Protein percentage in feed | 2 | 50.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 13 | 68.4 | 15 | 65.2 |
| Feed application rate (pre spawning) | 2 | 50.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 13 | 68.4 | 15 | 65.2 |
| Feed application rate (after spawning) | 2 | 50.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 11 | 57.9 | 13 | 56.5 |
| Secchi disc reading | 1 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 11 | 57.9 | 12 | 52.2 |
| Sampling and health monitoring | 1 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 10 | 52.6 | 11 | 47.8 |
| Ratio of M:F brood used during spawning | 3 | 75.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 16 | 84.2 | 19 | 82.6 |
| Presence of aeration device in brood pond | 1 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 47.4 | 10 | 43.5 |
| Average number of time each brood is spawned per season | 2 | 50.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 10 | 52.6 | 12 | 52.2 |
| Hybrid produced illegally | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 36.8 | 7 | 30.4 |
| Pond for conditioning spent brood fish | 1 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 36.8 | 8 | 34.8 |
| Stage of maturation of brood fish and shrimp species | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 31.6 | 6 | 26.1 |
| Quality brood of fish,shrimp and prawn selection | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 21.1 | 4 | 17.4 |
| Water quality management of hatching and incubation tanks | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 15.8 | 3 | 13.0 |
| Dose détermination and application of induction agents | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 42.1 | 8 | 34.8 |
| Stripping of ripen eggs | 1 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 36.8 | 8 | 34.8 |
| Mixing of eggs and milts | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 31.6 | 6 | 26.1 |
| Health care of induced and spent fish | 1 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 42.1 | 9 | 39.1 |
| Use of antibiotics/medicines | 2 | 50.0 | 0 |  |  | 42.1 | 10 | 43.5 |
| Growth and survivality Monitoring of spawn/larvae | 2 | 50.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 31.6 | 8 | 34.8 |
| Live feed production and algal culture and application | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | $21.1$ | 4 | 17.4 |

Table-8.14: Hatchery staff received training on fish Hatchery management in last three years

| Received training | Zone |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Barisal | Coxs Bazar | Jessore | Total |
| Number of hatchery | 5 | 7 | 25 | 37 |
| Training received on hatchery | 23 | 38 | 46 | 107 |
| Average | 4.6 | 5.4 | 1.8 | 2.9 |
| Total training received | 76 | 24 | 307 | 407 |
| Average | 15.2 | 3.4 | 12.3 | 11.0 |

## Constraints

Around $60 \%$ of the responses comes as constraints of the hatchery operation and those are mentioned in table-8.15

Table -8.15: Problems/constraints Facing by Hatcheries

|  | Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Problems/constraints | Barisal |  | Coxs Bazar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Jessore |  | Total |  |
|  | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shortage of quality broods | 3 | 100.0 | 7 | 100.0 | 17 | 85.0 | 27 | 90.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Climate change and temperature fluctuation | 3 | 100.0 | 6 | 85.7 | 14 | 70.0 | 23 | 76.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iregular power supply | 1 | 33.3 | 7 | 100.0 | 18 | 90.0 | 26 | 86.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High cost of larval feed | 2 | 66.7 | 6 | 85.7 | 17 | 85.0 | 25 | 83.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Product marketing | 1 | 33.3 | 2 | 28.6 | 4 | 20.0 | 7 | 23.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High mortality of shrimp and prawn larvae | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 23.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Social problem (theft, poisoning, multiple <br> ownership) | 1 | 33.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 40.0 | 9 | 30.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Credit problem | 3 | 100.0 | 1 | 14.3 | 8 | 40.0 | 12 | 40.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## CHAPTER 9: QUALITATIVE STUDY

This section deals with the views which were gathered through Focus Group Discussion (FGD) from the Project and Non-project fish farmers, Hatchery Owners, Middlemen, Other Actors in the value chain at different field level of southern districts.

The first portion will be illustrated on supply and value chain among different actors and the second portion will be on point-wise problems/constraints and suggestions/recommendations.

## 1. Supply and Value Chain among Different Actors

This section illustrates supply and value chain among different actors. The following diagram (Figure-1) shows complete supply chain combining different sources including input and output suppliers in fish farming. Supply chain starts from collection of Brood fish and go through fish farmers' level and ends at consumer level. Besides, transformation and value addition of spawn produced from one Kg Brood Fish at various stages will be presented.

## Value Chain Actors

Value Chain actors can be categorized mainly into two types: one is at farming level and the another one one is at market level. Fish farmers and Fishermen are also found at both of the levels.

### 1.1 Actors at the Farming Levels:

The first actor at the farming level is the Hatchery Owner who collects brood fish from Open Water (River), BFRI, Fish Farms, and Fish Markets. He produces spawn and supplies to Patilwala, Spawn traders of different local and distant markets. In the study areas there are found two types Nursery Owners. The Nursery type-1 collects spawn through Patilwala or hatchery and rear spawn for 10-15 days and again Nursery type-2 collects fry from nursery type-1 directly or through Patiwala. Then Nursery-2 rears it for 30-45 days and makes as fingerling for the fish farmers. Then the fish farmers collect fingerlings from Nursery-2 directly or through Patiwala for culturing various types of fish. Afterwards, it goes to the markets through fish farmers, fishermen or paikars.

### 1.2 Actors in the Value Chain at the Fish Market levels:

There are three types of main actors who works as intermediaries like, aratdars/commission agents, Paikars/Wholesalers, Retailers.

Intermediaries play important role in the study areas. Here, we see that Aratdars have a prominent role in transferring fish from farmers' level to the wholesalers or retailers. Fish farmers and fishermen are the main actors in supplying fish in the marketing channels. FGD/Case Studies and Alam et. al (2012) suggest that there are two types of aratdars:

Aratdar-1 (in cases where distance between production and consumption point is very low) who collects fish from local wholesalers, fish farmers or directly from local fishermen and sell it to Paikers and Retailers. Aratdar- 2 generally operates in large cities or trading zones and receives large volume of fish from the paikers (wholesalers) coming from small towns/Upazilas.

Figure-1: Supply Chain among Different Actors


Aratdars call auction in front of the wholesalers/Paikars/Fish farmers and retailers and the highest bidder based on the call from various parties get the fish in consent with the sellers (farmers and so on). Usually Aratdars take 3\% commission of the total selling amount of money from the farmers/fishermen in Jessore, Barisal, and Khulna (with Mongla) with exception to Faridpur area where they take $5 \%$ commission from the farmers/fishermen. They don't take any commission from the Paikars from the distant markets. On the other hand, Araddars in Dhaka City take 3\% commission from the Paikars and also 1-2\% from the purchasers (Retailers, and so on). So, it's clear that amount of commission varies from place to place.

In some cases, farmers are bound to sale their fish without getting fair price for not having sufficient customers, occurring natural calamities, having internal syndicate among the Aratdars and Paikars. Apart from these, some farmers complains that there is no option except selling fish in the arat at the auction time due to creating confusion in mind, like, uncertainty of preservation facilities and the next days' price, urgent need of money, etc.
Aratdars also provide credit to the fish farmers/fishermen to run their business well, in this case also farmers who takes credit from them have no option except selling of fish to them. So, Aratdars and paikars are playing key role in the fish marketing channel.

Retailers collect fish through Paikars or Aratdars. Then at the end level there are consumers who collect fish from the Retailers or from the fish farmers directly.

Apart from the two levels, there are some important actors at supply level. These actors are suppliers of inputs like, medicine, hormone, fertilizers, feed, lime, etc. In case of feed, some own-made and commercial feeds are applied at the farming level.

### 1.3 Volume of Sale

It is found that $83 \%$ of Fish Farmers sell their carp fishes to the Paikars through Aratdars (Commission Agents) and the rest were found to sell locally by themselves (3\%). Apart from this, they sell to local beparies or through fishermen and retailers directly which is occupied by $9 \%$ and $5 \%$ of the total sale respectively (Figure-2). Actually they prefer Aratdars because of selling in the large volume at a time.


### 1.4 Value Chain of Spawn produced from One Kg Brood Fish up to marketing of Table Size Carp fish at different stages

This section shows how value add works in the value chain starting from producing spawn in the Hatchery from one kg Brood fish and go through consecutive rearing process at different stages and finally appeared as table size fish at farmer level. It is found that one Kg Brood fish produces 250 gm of spawn at a time.
Survival rate of spawn, fingerling and matured fish varies at every stage which is shown below (Figure-3):

Figure-3: Transformation of Spawn from One Kg Brood Fish at various stages


- One Kg Brood Fish

Produce and sale 0.25 Kg Spawn at a time to Nursery-01 (One Lac in Number)

```
-Purchase One Lac Spawn and rear for 10 days, and survive 80\% i.e., 0.8 Lac Fry.
-Sale to Nursery-02
```

- Purchase 0.8 Lac Fry, rear for one and half months.
- Srurvive $80 \%$ of 0.8 Lac i.e., 0.64 Lac Fingerling

Patilwala

- Buy 0.64 Lac i.e, 64,000 Fingerling
- Survive 80\% i.e., 51,200 Fingerling and Sale to Traditional/Commercial Fish Farmer
- Purchase 51,200 Fingerling and rear for one year or more

Fish Farmer

- Survive 90\% i.e., 45,900 Table size fish and then sale to Fish Markets

The detailed value adds of the product is calculated starting from one Kg Brood fish and ending at the produce of the fish farmers which are given below:

Figure-4: Value Adds in the Value Chain from Hatchery to Fish Farmer


Generally, Patilwala purchases fingerlings from Nursery-02 and then supplies to Fish Farmers. But there is also observed that some farmers also purchase fingerling directly from Nursery-02. So their net return is higher compared to those farmers who take fingerlings from Patilwala. In this case, survival rate of fingerlings is also found higher.
It is found that net value adds were Tk. 250.00 from hatchery for producing 250 gm of Spawn for a lot/one time, Tk. 675.00 from Nursery type-1 for producing 0.8 lac fry, Tk. $36,000.00$ from Nursery type-2 for producing 0.64 lac fry, and Tk. 18,43,200.00 for producing 57,600 Fish or $46,080 \mathrm{Kg}$ fish.

On the other hand, it can be pointed out that on an average a total of $46,080 \mathrm{Kg}$ fishes is produced from only 250 gm of Spawn which is produced from one Kg body weight of fish at the hatchery. These calculations were made considering all the mortality rates at every stage. So, production can be more if we can reduce the mortality rate and supply the quality seeds and other inputs at the farming level.

### 1.5 Yearly Value Adds at Farming Levels

If Value adds are calculated on yearly basis, the following results were found:
Yearly Value adds per decimal of pond at different stages of the value chain are calculated starting from the Nursery Owners to the Grow-out pond fish farmers (Table-1).
Table 1: Value Adds Per Decimal of Land in the Value Chain

| Types of Fish Farming | Sale (Tk.)/Decimal | Total Cost (Tk.)/ Decimal | Net Value Add <br> (Tk.)/Decimal/Year |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nursery-01 (10-15 <br> days) | $616.00 /$ /ot X 6 Lots= Tk. 3696.00 | $325.00 /$ /ot X 6 Lots= Tk. 1950.00 | 1746.00 |
| Nursery-02 <br> (1.5-2 months) | $985.00 /$ Iot X 3 Lots= Tk. 2955.00 | $473.48 /$ lot X 3 Lots= Tk. 1420.44 | 1535.00 |
| Grow-out Pond <br> (1-1.5 Years) <br> (Fish Farmer level) | 8640.00 | 6720.00 | 1920.00 |

Figure-5 indicates that yearly value adds at farming levels for per decimal of land is found higher (Tk. 1920.00) in case of fish farmers followed by the Owners of Nursery type-1 (Tk. 1746.00) and Nursery type-2 (Tk. 1535.00).

Figure-5: Net Value Adds (Tk.)/Decimal

1.6 Value Chain at the Market Level

In the value chain, fish farmers sell carp fish, especially Rui/Catla @Tk. 180.00/Kg and it reaches to @Tk. 270.00/Kg for the consumers at the market . Value adds are calculated based on fish marketing from Jessore/Khulna/Bagerhat to Dhaka city. It is found that value adds per Kg of carp fish at every relevant actor varies from Tk. 40.00 to Tk. $43.00 / \mathrm{Kg}$. There is also regional variation in this regard. Actually, farmers receive Tk. 162.00 instead of Tk. 180.00 for selling one Kg fish. Because, Paikars in the local market

> Value Adds in the Marketing Value Chain


- Paikars
- Retailers
take 100 gm dholon (extra amount of fish) for one Kg fish and pay for only 900 gm of fish. Paikars directly come to Jessore/Khulna/Bagherhat and then take fish directly to Dhaka or other distant markets. Paikars/Wholesalers get the highest value add of Tk. $43.00 / \mathrm{Kg}$ (35\%), which is followed by the same amount of value add Tk. $40.00 / \mathrm{Kg}$ occupied by the same percentages (32.5\%) of Fish Farmers and Retailers (Figure-6 \& 7). Aratdars in the local market take $3-5 \%$ commission from the framers on the total price of the fish sold and Aratdars in Dhaka city take 3\% commission from the Paikars and 1-2\% commission from retailers and other customers. Calculation is shown in the Table-2. Value adds of the retailer is more in the large cities compared to that of local towns/Upazila Bazar.

Figure-6: Shares of Value Add among different marketing actors.


Table-2: Value Adds of Paikars in Selling to Different Markets

| SI <br> No. | Market Destination | Cost (Tk.)/ <br> Drum* | Transports <br> and Other <br> cost/Kg (A+B) | Purchase <br> Price <br> $(900 \mathrm{gm})$ | Total Cost <br> (Tk/Kg) | Sale <br> (Tk./Kg) | Value Add <br> (Tk./Kg) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Khulna/Jessore/ Bagerhat <br> to Dhaka | $1700.00-1800.00$ | 15.00 | 162 | 177.00 | 220.00 | 43.00 |
| 2 | Khulna/Jessore/ Bagerhat <br> to Sylhet | $2100.00-2200.00$ | 16.00 | 162 | 178.00 | 225.00 | 47.00 |
| 3 | Khulna/Jessore/ Bagerhat <br> to Chittagong | $2100.00-2200.00$ | 17.00 | 162 | 179.00 | 230.00 | 51.00 |

*Each Drum contains $200-250 \mathrm{Kg}$. Transportation cost for 1000 Kg fish to Dhaka reaches to Tk. 15000.00 including costs of truck rent Tk. 12,500.00, labour, personal drum and other costs. So, Transportation cost/Kg to Dhaka = Tk. 15.00. Each aratdar transacts 1.5 to 3.00 mtons of fish everyday with the Paikars and farmers. Paikars get extra price for 100 gm fish which is taken as Dholon from the Farmers.

## Problems/Constraints and Suggestions/Recommendations on Various Issues

This section deals with the views which were gathered through Focus Group Discussions (FGD) and Case Studies from the Project and Non-project fish farmers, hatchery owners, middlemen, other actors in the value chain at different field level of southern districts (Appendix-A). The issues on inputs supplies and distribution, quality of inputs, marketing, cost/profit, problems/challenges and possible suggestions/ recommendations made by different actors for improvement of the fish sub-sector are presented below:

### 2.1 Hatchery <br> Problems/Constraints:

- Shortage of quality brood in nature,
- Shortage of quality brood from fish farms,
- Inbreeding is a serious problem in the hatcheries resulting production of low quality seeds,
- Interruption of power supply causes a great loss in hatchery,
- High price of larval feed,
- Lack of sanitary knowledge among workers,
- Lack of proper training for workers,
- Collecting spawn from immature brood fish, and
- Other quality control problem.


## Suggestions/recommendation:

- High quality broods should be conserved in natural sources
- Awareness should be raised against the negative impacts of inbreeding.
- Uninterrupted power supply should be ensured in the hatchery during their operation.
- Sanitary and hygiene condition in Hatchery should be maintained.
- Selective breeding good quality seeds should be produced.
- Low quality seed breeders should be taken under training and other supportive programmes, and
- Law enforcement is needed for those who are not be involved in quality seed production.


### 2.2 Fish Farming

## Problems/Constraints:

- 'Fish culture might be a business' is not realized by most of the farmers. They just believe that fish is for household consumption.
- Social attitude towards fish farming is not good in our country. So entrepreneurs become discouraged.
- Lack of proper extension program and dissemination of new technologies regarding fish culture from GOs and NGOs.
- Underdeveloped fish culture techniques and lack of practical knowledge in fish farming
- Farmers cannot recognize/identify healthy spawn/fingerling,
- Lack of feeding knowledge
- Farmers are not aware of the benefits of netting, pond preparation, feeding properly, nursing, etc.
- Lack of credit facilities for marginal farmers, and
- Under-developed marketing.


## Suggestions/recommendation:

- Training should be arranged for fish farmers to enrich practical knowledge and make awareness towards fish farming,
- NGOs should come forward to make the farmers aware and enrich practical knowledge in association with government programs,
- Lots of programmes should be undertaken to change social attitude towards fish farming,
- Provision of credit for fish farmers should be introduced more without or at low interest with agreeable grace period,
- Environment friendly new technology should be developed for sustainable aquaculture, and
- Quality seed supply should be ensured through attempts undertaken by GOs and NGOs.


### 2.3 Seed and Feed Supply

## Problems/Constraints:

- Poor quality seeds cause loss in business which discourages farmers in further investment in their pond,
- Feed price is very high and thus marginal farmers can't afford to buy feed.
- Lack of demand of quality feed among existing farmers because of having less awareness,
- Feed laws are not actually implemented in our country, so low quality seeds have now occupied the market which is deleterious for fisheries business,
- Farmers don't get quality feed easily, and
- Some farmers do not want to use formulated feed in their pond due to high cost.


## Suggestions/recommendation:

- Fish culture with high quality inputs should be demonstrated for creating public awareness. High production from such culture system will provoke the farmers to initiate their activities,
- Provision of good quality seed should be ensured first to have a better production,
- Price of all inputs should be kept reasonable,
- Provision of credit for farming should be introduced more without or at low interest, and
- Feed laws should be implemented forcefully for the feed entrepreneurs to maintain the quality.


### 2.4 Marketing of fishes

## Problems/Constraints:

- Poor communication system between fish farms and distant markets,
- Transportation system of fish is traditional,
- Infrastructure of fish market is very poor. Water logging and unhygienic environment in the market,
- Government and local authority does not take initiatives to develop marketing infrastructure,
- There is hidden syndication system in controlling market price. Fish markets are occupied by the middlemen. They regulate the whole marketing system,
- If one farmer takes fish directly to the aradars, it is very difficult for him going without selling according to his own choice, and
- Farmers have no preservation facilities.


## Suggestions/recommendation:

- Good communication system through road, railway and waterway should be availed.
- Infrastructure development and favourable environment should be created in the markets. Government/local authority should visit the market regularly,
- Transportation system should be developed by giving technical support,
- Entrepreneurs' support is needed for the farmers. Fish Farmers' cooperatives can be formed and strengthened,
- Number of intermediaries can be the reduced in the marketing channels, and
- Storage system should be provided to the farmers and traders as well.


### 2.5 Overall

Problems/Constraints:

- Fish and shrimp virus is a major threat in fish farming especially in the southern districts in Bangladesh,
- Intrusion of saline water in coastal ponds/ghers in southern areas made fish farming impossible,
- In coastal belt huge amount of other fish seeds are being wasted to collect shrimp PL. It is a threat for fish biodiversity, and
- Natural disasters hamper fish farms ultimately resulting lower production.


## Suggestions/recommendation:

- Intrusion of saline water in household areas must be prevented to save the domestic environment,
- Adequate hygiene and sanitary measures should be undertaken to prevent outbreak of viral diseases,
- Water pollution should be minimized by enforcing the water laws, and
- New development policy should be assimilated to improve the overall Fisheries sector.


## A1: Case Study of a Fish Hatchery Owner

Alhaz Feroz Khan and Alhaz Anisur Rahman Mukul are the owners of Maa Fatima Matshya Hatchery. The hatchery is situated in Vaturia village under Chachra Union of Jessore Sadar Upazila. The interview was conducted with Mr. Alhaz Feroz Khan. He is the President of District Hatchery Owners Association. He initiated Fishery business in 1979 with Mr. Saifuzzaman Maju. Mr. Feroz Khan alone invested Tk. 940 only. Later, he continued his business with new share-holder Mr. Alhaz Anisur Rahman Mukul. However, they started the Hatchery business in 1990 and worked hard to establish the business. After passing a long way, they are now established businessmen and owner of a renowned Hatchery. Artificial breeding of Pangasius suchi was first done in their hatchery.

## Hatchery complex description:

The Hatchery complex is equipped with necessary instruments and materials.

- Total Land area of the hatchery: 17 acre (8 ponds)
- Incubation tank.
- Hatching jar.
- Store room: 1 room adjacent to hatchery complex
- 1 Labor shed, 1 office room and one net drying shed
- Overhead Tank (1): Use to reserve water. World Fish Centre has built it to purify and to de-carbonize the water.
- Oxygen cylinder: These are served to seed customers
- ${ }^{H}$ meter, DO meter, thermometer, electric motor, water lifting pump + pipe, aerator, boat etc are available.


## Name of fish species commonly breed:

Rui, Catla, Mrigal, Silver Carp, Minor Carp, Grass Carp, Bighead Carp, Pangus etc.
Source of Brood Fish: They collect brood-fish from Bangladesh Fisheries Research Institute (BFRI), different fish farms and sometimes from natural water bodies. They use same brood fish in breeding purpose for 3 years and then sell it to market.

## No. of spawn produced from each fish:

| Fish Species | Fecundity /kg body <br> weight |
| :--- | :--- |
| Rui | 1 lac |
| Catla | 1 lac |
| Mrigal | 1 lac |
| Puti | 3 lac |
| Silver Carp | 1.25 lac |
| Bighead Carp | 1.25 lac |

Feeding system:
$\checkmark$ Homemade feed: Rice bran, wheat bran, egg yolk, oil cake, etc.
$\checkmark$ Balanced diet: Mega feed, ACI, Quality feed, etc.

## Technical Support:

World Fish Centre is giving technical support to this hatchery. A new hormone Avoli suggested by World Fish Centre (WFC) expert results in high breeding performance and less price compared to PG. Previously Avolin was imported from India.
$\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ problem was a great barrier in hatching of eggs which is mitigated by using over head tank advised by WFC.

## Cost, Selling and Value Add for producing one Kg of Spawn:

A total of Tk. 1400-1500 is required to produce 1 kg spawn. Price of spawn varies from season to season. Average selling price of one kg spawn is Tk. 2000.00 where it rises to Tk. $5000.00 / \mathrm{Kg}$ spawn during peak season. So, values add $/ \mathrm{Kg}$ spawn varies from on an average Tk. 500 to Tk 1000.

## Employment Opportunity and Skills of Manpower:

In total, 11 Permanent persons have been working for netting, harvesting and breeding activities. Apart from this, additional labors are recruited in this hatchery. A total of 5000 working man-days is created in this hatchery. So, it has been contributing a lot to create employment opportunities for the people in this area.
Out of 11 permanent workers 3 are trained and skilled. They received training from various NGOs including world fish centre. Their skill is continuously upgrading through working in the hatchery.

## Buyers:

The main customers of this hatchery are from following districts Barisal, Bhola, Patuakhali, Faridpur, Bagerhat, Mymensingh, Nilphamari, Madaripur, Sariatpur, Sylhet. Majority of the customers are nursery owners. Some are Patilwala also from the local areas.

## Profit- Loss:

> Profit gained by 90-100\% in the years 2007-2010 compared to previous years.
$>$ Incurred loss by $60 \%$ in the year 2011.
$>$ Gained profit by 100-120\% in the year 2012.

## Problems:

- Lack of sanitary knowledge among workers
- Underdeveloped fish culture technique in Bangladesh
- Feed laws are not actually implemented in our country
- Farmers don't search quality seed
- High price of larval feed
- Shortage of quality brood
- Interruption in power supply
- Lack of proper training for workers.


## Suggestions:

- Raise awareness among hatchery owners and workers in maintenance of hygiene and demerits of inbreeding,
- Invent new breeding technique,
- Arrange in-country/outside exposure visits for the entrepreneurs,
- Implement Hatchery and Feed laws forcefully, and monitoring the activities of feed companies in every district by the concerned authority and representative from the hatchery owners and Fish farmers,


## A2: Case study of a Nursery owner

Md. Shafiqul Islam is a good entrepreneur and successful fish nursery businessmen under Bablatola village of Jessor Sadar Upazila. He has 15 years experience in rearing spawn and fingerling. He owns a total of 6 ponds, out of them 3 ponds are of his own and another 3 are leased in.
Among 6 ponds he uses 5 ponds as nursery and another one as grow-out pond. He usually rears spawn up to fry stage. The duration of rearing is 10-12 days. This phase can be called as nursery-1. He uses to rear various species like Rui, Catla, Tilapia, Mrigal, Puti, Silver carp, Grass Carp etc.

| Ownership Pattern and Sizes of Pond |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pond size | Ownership | No. of pond |
| 130 | Lease in | 1 |
| 100 | Own | 1 |
| 120 | Lease in | 1 |
| 50 | Own | 1 |
| 36 | Lease in | 1 |
| 40 | own | 1 |

Source of Spawn: Mr. Shafiq collects spawn from local hatcheries of Jessor. The shares of collection according to hatcheries are given bellow. The dominant share i.e., $60 \%$ is occupied by Madhumati Hatchey in Jessore.


## Distribution Channel from Nursery type-1:



## Value Add Analysis of Nursery Type-01:

Cost is calculated for rearing spawn in 50 decimal of pond. Item-wise expenditure is given in the Table at the right side:
Total cost includes for purchasing of spawn and rearing it in the pond. In total, it becomes Tk. 16250.00 (Tk. 6250.00 for rearing + Tk. 10000.00 for 4 Kg spawn).
While purchasing, 1 Kg spawn contains 4 lac spawn. After rearing of spawn for 10-15 days it is called fry. The survival rate of fry is $80 \%$.
Selling price of 1 kg fry is on an average Tk. 2000.00.
So, Gross Return from 4 kg spawn (14 lac) $=14$ lac X Tk. 2200.00 = Tk. 30800.00.

| Item-wise expenditure |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sl.No. | Types of <br> Expenditur <br> e | Cost <br> (Tk.) |
| 1. | Diesel | 800.00 |
| 2. | Lime | 600.00 |
| 3. | Geolite | 400.00 |
| 4. | Water | 400.00 |
| 5. | Oil Cake | 450.00 |
| 6. | Sumithion | 300.00 |
| 7. | Aeration | 200.00 |
| 8. | Labor | 2500.00 |
| 9. | Netting | 600.00 |
|  | Total | 6250.00 |

Value Add = Tk. 30800.00 - Tk. $16250.00=$ Tk. 14550.00
Net benefit from per Kg spawn/lot = Tk. $14550.00 / 4 \mathrm{Kg}=$ Tk. 3637.50
This is the output from one lot. During the period from Boishakh to Ashwin Mr. Shafiq can produce spawn for 6 lots. So, his Value Add/year $=$ Tk. 14550.00 X 6 lots $=$ Tk. 87,300.00.

## Value Add Analysis of Nursery Type-02:

Apart from the Nursery-01 he produces fingerling in Nursery-02. He rears fry in 132 decimal (4 Bigha) pond where he stocks 1.5 lac fry and produces a total of 1000 kg fingerling. The details of cost and benefit are given below:

| Total cost in Nursery-2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI. No. | Item | Amount/ Number | Cost (Tk.) |
| 1. | TSP | 4 Bag | 4600.00 |
| 2. | Urea | 2 Bag | 2000.00 |
| 3. | Cow-dung | 10 Barrel | 2500.00 |
| 4. | Oil Cake | 3 Bag | 5100.00 |
| 5. | MP | 1 Bag | 750.00 |
| 6. | Lime | 4 Bag | 1600.00 |
| 7. | Fry | 1.5 Lac | 5000.00 |
| 8. | Feed |  | 15000.00 |
| 9. | Labor |  | 10000.00 |
| 10. | Pond lease in rent | 132 Decimal | 10000.00 |
| 11. | Carrying |  | 1000.00 |
| 12. | Miscellaneous |  | 4950.00 |
| Total cost |  |  | 62500.00 |

On $80 \%$ survival from 1.5 lac fry i.e., No. of fingerlings $=1.2$ lac ie.,120,000
After 1.5 month rearing 1 kg fingerling $=120$ fingerlings. So, 120,000 Fingerling $=1000 \mathrm{Kg}$
Cost for 120,000 Fingerling= Tk. 62,500.00, Sale Price: Avg Tk. $130.00 \times 1000 \mathrm{Kg}=$ Tk. 130,000.00

Net Value Add/1.2 lac fingerling = Tk. 130,000.00 - Tk. 62,500.00= Tk. $\mathbf{6 7 5 0 0 . 0 0}$ (from 132 decimal land)

Cost/one lac fingerling=Tk. 52,083.33, Cost/Kg Fingerlings= Tk. 62.50,
Net Value Add/Kg fingerling = Tk. 67.50

## A3: Case study of a Patilwala

Tipu Boiragi is a member of Patiwala Group. He is from Chor Icha, Sayestabad, Barisal Sadar Upazila. Mr. Boiragi has been working for last 10 years as a pona/fingerling supplier to the farmer level. He uses to buy fingerlings from nursery type-02 and sales these to fish farmers.

## He commonly sales the following fish species:

Rui, Catla, Mrigal, Silver carp, Bighead carp, Mirror Carp, Tilapia, Puti, etc.

## Sources of Pona:

- Kagasura nursery-60\%
- Lakutia nursery- 20\%
- Chormonai - 10\%
- Mamun Talukdar nursery (Sayestaganj)-8\%
- Jorjhoratola -2\%

All these nursery purchase spawn from Jessore area, rear them for 2 weeks and sell to Patilwala and farmers.

## Size of Pona:

> Larger sizes are between 120-150 piece per Kg
> Medium and small are between 200-300 piece per Kg

## Average daily sell:

Actually he takes order of pona from fish farmers in local areas and buy required amount of fingerling from nursery and then supply these to fish farmers. In the peak season (AprilOctober), he sells higher amount of fingerlings than that of the rest seasons of the year.

## Income:

> Cost: He buys 1 kg fingerling at Tk. 150.00-Tk. 180.00. He rents a van for which he has to pay Tk. 300.00 daily. He brings $15-20 \mathrm{Kg}$ fingerling a day. So, transportation and other costs amounts to Tk. $15-20 / \mathrm{Kg}$.
> Sell: Selling price of per kg fingerling is 200-220 taka.
$>$ Value Add: Avg. Tk. 210- (Tk. 150 + Tk. 15)= Tk. 45.00/Kg.

## Problems:

> Financial problem to run the business,
> Low demand of seed in this area,
$>$ farmers don't place demand in time.

## A4: Case Study of a Tilapia Commercial Fish Farmer

Md. Tariqul Islam (Roni) is a successful commercial Tilapia fish farmer of Bhaturia village under Chachra Union of Jessor sadar Upazila. He has 198 decimal pond area sharing with his brothers. He has 19 decimal own pond which is used in commercial fish farming. Average depth of pond is 4 feet. He is a selected commercial fish farmer of FtF Aquaculture Project. Out of 19 decimal pond, the area of the pond for culture is 15 decimal without dike area. He has 5 years experience of fish culture. Mainly he cultures Tilapia along with a small amount of Silver carp and Mirror carp.
He prepared the pond with technical help of WFC. The following procedure was followed by Mr . Roni in preparation of the pond:
$>$ Pond renovation: Old pond was renovated before stocking seed.
$>$ Dewatering and watering were done.
> Liming: A total of 15 kg lime was applied in the pond.
> Fertilizer: About 3 kg Urea and TSP, and 240 kg cow-dung were applied.
$>$ Fencing by Net: The pond was encircled with nylon net to protect entering of crab, frog, snake and others.

## Stocking and Post Stocking Management

The fishes mentioned at the right side were stocked in 15 decimal pond.
Floating feeds were applied like, C. P. and Mega feed. Lime was applied in the pond in maintaining the quality of water. Timsen was applied to keep out insects from pond water.

## Cost and Value Add of Production:

Cost for producing fish=Tk. 32,668.00, Selling Price= Tk. 44,250.00, So, Value Add from 409 kg fish cultivation=
Tk. 44,250.00-Tk. 32,668.00=Tk. Tk. 11,582.00.
Net Return/Kg = Tk. 28.32

| Stocking Density |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fish species | Number <br> (piece) | Weight <br> $\mathbf{( k g ) ~}$ |
| Mono sex <br> Tilapia | 3000 | 13 |
| Silver Carp | 80 | 6 |
| Mirror Carp | 40 | 4 |

## Problems:

- Lack of financial support in adopting modern culture system
- Lack of technical support


## Comments:

| Items of Expenditure | Cost (Tk.) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pond Preparation | 2405.00 |
| Seed | 6780.00 |
| Feed, Medicine, Water, etc | 22667.00 |
| Marketing | 816.00 |
| Total | 32668.00 |

Last year Mr. Roni earned an amount of Tk. 20000.00, but this year the opportunity has been created with the help of World Fish Centre to earn at least Tk. 34746.00 (Tk. 11,582.00 X 3 times). Through this initiative by WFC other fish farmers surrounding the area are coming forward to know about the culture procedure and other matters.

## A5: FGD with Commercial/Traditional Fish Farming

FGD was conducted with Commercial and traditional fish farmers in Char Icha Village under Sayestabad Union of Barisal Sadar Upazila. A total of 12 persons attended the FGD. Out of them four were commercial fish farmers and the rest were Traditional fish farmers. According to them, previously 7-8\% household were involved in fish culture, but now it has been increased to 60-70\%.

## Information on fish culture:

Maximum ponds are self-owned. It is found that $50.8 \%$ ponds are small. The rest are medium and a few are large ponds. No leasing system was found among the interviewed farmers. Farmers usually culture Rui, Catla, Mrigal, Silver Carp, Grass Carp, Tilapia, Pangus, Bighead Carp

| Sizes and Numbers of Ponds |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Types of Pond | Size (decimal) | Number | $\%$ |
| Large | 50 | 8 | 6.7 |
| Medium | $20-30$ | 50 | 42.5 |
| Small | $10-15$ | 60 | 50.8 |
| Total |  | 118 | 100 | etc.

## Pond Preparation:

Half of the commercial farmers used to prepare their pond before stocking fish seed. Some farmers were found to prepare their land partially (e.g., liming and manuring). Per decimal cost was Tk. 200-300. Traditional farmers don't prepare their pond. They have also lacking of knowledge on pond preparation.

## Problems:

$>$ Water depth of ponds is high
$>$ No drainage facility
> Have no interest in fish culture
$>$ Fears in getting loss in fish culture
> Lack of awareness
$>$ Water pollution
$>$ Form gas in the water

## Fingarling (Pona):

> Source: 80\% from Patilwala, 20\% from Nursery (Aziz, Kashipur nursery, Kagasura Nurseries are major sources of fingerling in this area).
> Quality: Moderate, Mortality rate of fingerling is low.
$>$ Mixed Fingerling are sold by the Patilwala, so it's difficult to distinguish different species,
$>$ Stocking Density: 80-100 fingerling per decimal or about $0.8 \mathrm{~kg} /$ decimal
> Price/kg fingerling: Rui, Mrigal=Tk.200, Silver carp=Tk. 150-170.

## Feeding:

$>$ Ready-made: $33 \%$ ( $4-5$ persons) use ready-made feed. They use the feed of different companies like Aftab feed, Mega feed and Quality feed.
> Most of them use home-made feed like, Rice bran, rice polish, wheat bran, oil cake, kitchen wastes, etc.
> Cost of feed: Tk. 65/kg fish.

## Fertilizer:

Urea, TSP, MP and cow-dung are applied in fish pond. These are available in local markets.
Fish Sale and Net Return/Kg:

| Name of <br> fish | Rearing <br> period | Production and <br> Other Cost <br> (Tk/Kg) | Sale <br> (Tk./Kg) | Profit (Tk./Kg) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rui | $1-1.5$ years | 150 | 200 | 50 |
| Catla | $1-1.5$ years | 150 | 200 | 50 |
| Silver Carp | 1 year | 70 | 100 | 30 |
| Mirror Carp | 1 year | 65 | 100 | 45 |
| Grass Carp | 1 year <br> Tilapia | 70 <br> months | 70 | 110 |
| Pangus | 6 months | 70 | 100 | 30 |

## Marketing system of fish:

Fish are graded according size and species. These are carried to local (Sayestabad and Taltola) and Upazila market/District Market by Rickshaw van. Farmers sell the fish through the intervention of Aratdars. Aratdars take $3 \%$ commission of the sale amount from farmers. Some of the farmers sell his fish daily in the local market directly.

## Over-all Problems:

> Lack of knowledge about fish culture
> Lack of financial supports
> Lack of technical support
> Lack of quality seed and feed
$>$ Lack of awareness in using seed, feed and medicine.

## Suggestions by the farmers:

$>$ Training program should be arranged on fish culture for growing knowledge.
> Government and NGOs should come forward to provide financial support.
$>$ World Fish Centre provides advantages to a few farmers. Enrolment in the programme of WFC should be increased.
> WFC can supply good quality seed,
> Provision of good quality feed should be ensured.

The list of The FGD participants from Char Icha Village, Sayestabad Union, Barisal Sadar Upazila:

| Mr. Md. Kamal Hossain | Md. Babul Khan | Md. Hanif |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Md. Fazlul Haque | Md. Khalilur Rahman | Md. Ripon Sharif |
| Md. Tareque | Md. Jahid Hasan | Md. Selim Reza |
| Md. Robiul Haque | Md. Sumpn Miah | Md. Sohel |

## A6: Case Study with a Fish Aratdar

Md. Mahbub Alam is a fish trader. He has a fish Arat at Mongla Bazar in Bagerhat. He is newer in this business. He has started this business early of the current year. The name of his shop is M/S Akota Fish. He is doing well in his business. He starts his daily transaction at 6 AM and it continues up-to 6 in the evening.
He deals in the following major species: Rui, Catla, Mrigal, Silver carp, Tilapia, Coral, Parsey, Puti, Gulsha Tengra, etc.
About 1.5-2 tones of fish are transacted everyday on an average.
Source of Fish:

- Farmers carry their fish to depot area. They usually harvest their fish from gher on the basis of market demand as they are previously informed by depot owners.
- Farmers from all unions bring their fish in depot. Maximum fish comes from Chila Union.


## Buyers:

Khulna, Bagerhat are rich in fish. Buyers from different districts come here. Mostly buyers of Dhaka, Barisal, Sylhet, Chittagong regions are found. They inform their demand of fish to depot owners and depot owners manage the required amount of fish from farmers.
Transaction system:

- Here depot holders act as intermediaries. The fish are auctioned in the presence of fish farmers and buyers. Who call the highest price at which farmers willing to sell, get the fish.
- Depot holders charge 3\% commission from fish farmers and do not take any charge from buyers. Buyers pay price of 900 gm fish for 1 kg .


## Transportation System:

- Fish are transported in steel box, plastic box and plastic drums. Tracks are mainly used to carry fish. Enough ice is used with fish in case of long distance. About 200250 kg fish can be transported in a single drum.


## Transportation cost:

It varies depending on the distance and system used. To transport a drum of fish it cuts following costs-
> Mongla to Dhaka= 1700-1800 Taka/drum
> Mongla to Sylhet= 2100-2200 Taka/drum
> Mongla to Chittagpng=2100-2200 Taka/drum

## Satisfaction:

Farmers, depot owners and buyers are satisfied with the marketing system that is being practiced here. Each of them carries his business without having any chaotic condition.
Fish storage system: Depot holders preserve additional fish in ice in their store house and sell these fish in the next day. This opportunity is availed by the farmers.

## Problems:

$>$ Small traders lack financial support
> Sometimes buyers do not pay depot owners which they lent
$>$ Poor communication system with distant markets.

## A7: Case study with a Retailer

Mr. Abdul Halim is a retailer from Mongla Fish Market, Mongla, Bagerhat.
Daily sale of fish and profit:
Daily Sell of fish depends on price of fish. When supply is abundant and price is low then sell is usually high and vice versa. Average daily sell is about 40-50 kg per day. Mr. Halim uses to sale different types of fishes. The local people are his customers. The species-wise price list is given below:

| Types of Fish | Purchasing rate/Kg | Selling Price/Kg | Gross Profit/Kg |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rui | 190 | 210 | 20 |
| Calta | 180 | 200 | 20 |
| Mrigal | 140 | 160 | 20 |
| Tilapia | 90 | 110 | 20 |
| Nilotica | 110 | 120 | 10 |
| Golda | 180 | 200 | 20 |

Deducting all the daily costs including food, market toll, ice etc Mr. Halim earns Tk. 300400 daily. He earns about Tk. $10-20 / \mathrm{Kg}$ depending on bargaining with customers.
Source of fish purchased:
> Local Mongla Bazar Arat
> Collect fish from farmers ponds
Fishermen
Level of satisfaction: He is satisfied with his daily income and the price of fish.
Facility of fish preservation: Ice box and ice are available in the study area. As the source of fishes is nearly located fish ponds, icing is not required. But if remain unsold, these are preserved in ice and sold in the next days.
Association:
In the market fish sellers have an association of 163 members. They work altogether for their development. They deposit money every month in an enterprise and share the benefit among themselves.
Problems:
> Infrastructure of fish market is very poor. Water logging is the main problem in the market.
> Lack of proper drainage facility
> Lack of shed over the market
$>$ Government authority does not visit the market
> Poor communication system

## Suggestions:

$\checkmark$ Renovation and reconstruction of the market infrastructure
$\checkmark$ Availing proper drainage system
$\checkmark$ Government authority should visit the market condition regularly
$\checkmark$ Transportation system should be developed

## A8: Case Study with a Input Supplier

Mr. Md. Selim Miah is a Input Supplier. His shop's name is M/S Janata Enterprise situated at Mongla Bazar, Bagerhat. He started his business in 1997. In 2007 he got the dealership of Sunny Feed in Mongla, Bagerhat. He attended several types of training courses on using different inputs for crops cultivation and fish culture. He has been running business with his own investment.

## Mr. Salim's business Products:

$>$ Agricultural inputs, e.g; seed, fertilizers, insecticides, pesticides.
> Aquaculture products, e.g; feed, fertilizer, medicine, vitamins, geolytte, gas tablet, oxygen tablet, etc.

## Input supply channel:

Distributors of Different Company supply input products to his shop. Farmers from surrounding villages under six Unions of Mongla Upazila purchase aquacultural inputs from this shop. Apart from selling, Mr. Salim gives them technical supports to solve the problems concerning fish culture.


## Flow chart: Distribution channel of inputs

## Selling figure:

> About 50 farmers come every day on an average
$>$ Every day he sells 500 kg feed of sunny feed company, which occupies $50 \%$ of total sale of feed.
> Mega feed, ACl feed are also sold in small amount.

## Business status:

The business is now in downward condition after the occurrence of Sidr and Aila. Average profit decreased by $20 \%$ due to damage occurred by these calamities in the ponds and Ghers.

## Awareness status:

As a result of different interventions taken by NGOs, now-a-days the knowledge on fish farming is increasing. Farmers are now becoming interested to apply different inputs in fish culture. Especially Mr. Salim needs improved training for advising the farmers on using inputs.

## Comments:

Framers don't get full support from GOs and NGOs. So as an Input supplier Mr. Selim is helping fish farmers to enrich their practical knowledge and advising the farmers in using different inputs. So these types of suppliers should be taken under training program.
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## Part A: Definitions and Measurements

## Early initiation of breastfeeding

Definition: Proportion of children born in the last 24 months who were put to the breast within one hour of birth.
Measurement:
Children born in the last 24 months who were put to the breast within one hour of birth
Children born in the last 24 months

## Exclusive breastfeeding under 6 months

Definition: Proportion of infants 0-5 months of age who are fed exclusively with breast milk.
Measurement:
Infants 0-5 months of age who received only breast milk during the previous day
Infants 0-5 months of age

## Continued breastfeeding at 1 year

Definition: Proportion of children 12-15 months of age who are fed breast milk.
Measurement:
Children 12-15 months of age who received breast milk during the previous day
Children 12-15 months of age

## Introduction of solid, semi-solid or soft foods

Definition: Proportion of infants 6-8 months of age who receive solid, semi-solid or soft foods.
Measurement:
Infants 6-8 months of age who received solid, semi-solid or soft foods during the previous day
Infants 6-8 months of age

## Minimum dietary diversity

Definition: Proportion of children 6-23 months of age who receive foods from 4 or more food groups.
Measurement:
Children 6-23 months of age who received foods from $\geq 4$ food groups during the previous day
Children 6-23 months of

## Minimum meal frequency

Definition: Proportion of breastfed and non-breastfed children 6-23 months of age who receive solid, semisolid, or soft foods (but also including milk feeds for non-breastfed children) the minimum number of times or more.
Measurement:
Breastfed children 6-23 months of age who received solid, semi-solid or soft foods the minimum number of times or more during the previous day

Breastfed children 6-23 months of age
and

Non-breastfed children 6-23 months of age who received solid, semi-solid or soft foods or milk feeds the
minimum number of times or more during the previous day

Non-breastfed children 6-23 months of age
Minimum is defined as: 2 times for breastfed infants 6-8 months; 3 times for breastfed children 9-23 months and 4 times for non-breastfed children 6-23 months

## Minimum acceptable diet

Definition: Proportion of children 6-23 months of age who receive a minimum acceptable diet (apart from breast milk).
Breastfed children 6-23 months of age who had at least the minimum dietary diversity and the minimum meal frequency during the previous day

Breastfed children 6-23 months of age
and

Non-breastfed children 6-23 months of age who received at least 2 milk feedings and had at least the minimum dietary diversity not including milk feeds and the minimum meal frequency during the previous day

Non-breastfed children 6-23 months of age

## Consumption of iron-rich or iron-fortified foods

Definition: Proportion of children 6-23 months of age who receive an iron-rich food or iron-fortified food that is specially designed for infants and young children, or that is fortified in the home.
Measurement:
Children 6-23 months of age who received an iron-rich food or a food that was specially designed for infants and young children and was fortified with iron, or a food that was fortified in the home with a product that included iron during the previous day

Children 6-23 months of age

## Children ever breastfed

Definition: Proportion of children born in the last 24 months who were ever breastfed.
Measurement:
Children born in the last 24 months who were ever breastfed
Children born in the last 24 months

## Continued breastfeeding at 2 years

Definition: Proportion of children 20-23 months of age who are fed breast milk.
Measurement:
Children 20-23 months of age who received breast milk during the previous day
Children 20-23 months of age

## Age-appropriate breastfeeding

Definition: Proportion of children 0-23 months of age who are appropriately breastfed.
Measurement:
Infants 0-5 months of age who received only breast milk during the previous day
Infants 0-5 months of age
and

Children 6-23 months of age who received breast milk, as well as solid, semi-solid or soft foods, during the previous day

Children 6-23 months of age

## Predominant breastfeeding under 6 months

Definition: Proportion of infants $0-5$ months of age who are predominantly breastfed.
Measurement:
Infants 0-5 months of age who received breast milk as the predominant source of nourishment during the previous day

Infants 0-5 months of age

## Median Duration of breastfeeding

Definition: Median duration of breastfeeding among children 0-35 months of age.
Measurement: The age in months when $50 \%$ of children $0-35$ months did not receive breast milk during the previous day.

## Bottle feeding

Definition: Proportion of children 0-23 months of age who are fed with a bottle.
Measurement:
Children 0-23 months of age who were fed with a bottle during the previous day
Children 0-23 months of age

## Milk feeding frequency for non-breastfed children

Definition: Proportion of non-breastfed children 6-23 months of age who receive at least 2 milk feedings. Measurement:
Non-breastfed children 6-23 months of age who received at least 2 milk feedings during the previous day
Non-breastfed children 6-23 months of age

## Gross margin

Definition: Gross margin is the difference between the total value of production and the cash cost of production. Attention was focused on accounting for cash costs that represented at least $5 \%$ of total cash costs. Capital investments and depreciation was not included in cash costs. Unpaid, family labor was not valued and included in costs.
Calculation:
Average price = value of sales divided by quantity of sales
Gross revenue $=$ average price $x$ total production
Net revenue = gross revenue - purchased input cost
Gross margin (per ha, per animal, per pond area, per crate) $=$ net revenue divided by area planted/in production (for crops, ponds), by animals (for milk, eggs); by crates (marine aquaculture)

## Appendix-2

(Instruments for Data Collection)

গোপনীয়
শুধুমাত্র গবেষণার কাজে ব্যবহার করা হবে

ওয়ার্ল্ডফিস সেন্টার বাংলাদেশ এফটিএফ এ্যাকুয়াকালচার প্রজেষ্ট

## বেইজলাইন জরিপ ২০১২

## খানায় মeস্য চাব প্রন্নপত্র

জরিপ পরিচালনায়
NA ডাটা ম্যানেজমেন্ট এইড
ইউএসএআইডি’র অর্থায়নে বাংলাদেশ সরকারের সহায়তায় ওয়ার্ল্ডফিস সেন্টার পরিচালিত এফটিএফ এ্যাকুয়াকালচার প্রজেট্টের সহযোগীতায় পরিচালিত

## জরিপে অংশগ্রহনের সম্মতিপত্র

ফিড দ্যা ফিউচার ওয়াল্ড ফিস সেন্টার পরিচালিত ইউএসএআইডি’র অর্থায়নে ও বাংলাদেশ সরকারের সহযোগিতায় একটি প্রকল্প। এটি ৫(গাচ) বছ্রের জন্য হস্তান্তুর যোগ্য প্রকল্প যা কিনা বাংলাদেশের ঢাকা, থুলনা ও বরিশাল বিভাগের দক্ষিনাঞ্চলের ২০টি জেলায় বাস্তবায়ন হচ্ছে। প্রকল্পটি টেকসই কুধা ও দারিদ্রতা নিরসনে ফিড দ্যা ফিউচার এর উদ্দেশ্য বাম্তবায়নে কাজ করছে।

যে সকল এলাকায় প্রকল্লের কাজ পরিচালিত হবে সে সকল এলাকার উপর ডাটা ম্যানেজমেন্ট এইড এর মাধ্যমে একটি বেইজলাইন জরিপ পরিচালনা করছে।
এই জরিপের মাধ্যমে এফটিএফ মাছ্ চামের প্রচলিত কৌশল ভালভাবে অবগত হয়ে বর্তমান ও ভবিষ্যতের কর্ম কৌশল নির্ধারণ করতে সাহায্য করবে।

আপনার নাম ও দেয়া সকল তথ্য সম্দ্রুন গোপনীয় রাথা হবে এবং শুধুমাত্র গবেষনার কাজে ব্যবহৃত হবে।

আপনার অংশ গ্রহন সম্পূর্ণ ইচ্ছাকৃত। উত্তর দেয়া না দেয়া সম্পুর্ন আপনার ইচ্ছা। তবুও আমরা আশা করি আপনি এই জরিপে অংশ গ্রহন করবেন কারণ আপনার মন্তব্য এই জরিপের জন্য থুবই গुরুত্বभূণ্ণ।

এথন আপনি জরিপ সম্মন্ধে যে কোন গ্রশ্ন করতে পারেন।
আমি কি এখন আপনাকে প্রশ্ন করা শুরু করতে পারি ?
इएाँ $=1$
ना $=2$
সাফাতকার গ্রহণকারী

Module A: Identification of the Sample

| SI NO | Farmer's ID থামারির[সরিচিতি | Name 7 d |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A1 | Name of farmer থামারির নাম |  |  |
| A2 | Father's/husband 's name भিতা/স্বামীর নাম |  |  |
| A3 | Name of household head থানা ্্রধানের নাম |  |  |
| A4 | District $\square_{\text {- }}$ |  |  |
| A5 | Upazila |  |  |
| A6 | Union Cm |  |  |
| A7 | Ward उয়ার্ড |  |  |
| A8 | Village $\mathrm{\square}$ [ |  |  |
| A9 | Household number থানা নাম্বার |  |  |
| A10 | Are you a selected farmer of the FtF Aquaculture Project? आभनि কি FtF একুয়াকালচার প্রকল্লের একজন সদস্য? <br>  |  |  |
| A11 | Date of interview তথ্য সংগ্রহের \]mul |  |  |
| A12 | Interviewer সাক্ষাতকার গ্রহণকারীর নাম |  |  |
| A13 | Name of Supervisor সুপারভাইজারের নাম |  |  |

Module B: Household Member Profile ( থানার সদস্যদের তথ্যঃ)


| B1 | Did you receive any training on fish culture during the last three years? $\text { ( } 1=\text { yes ; } 2=\text { no })$ <br> আপনি গত ৩ বছ্রে মাছ্ চামের উপর কোন প্রশিফণ পেয়েছ্নেন কি? (1=হ্যাঁ, 2=নा) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B2 | If yes, what is the total number of training you received in last three years? | $\begin{aligned} & \text {...... No } \\ & \ldots . . . \text { টि } \end{aligned}$ |

Module C: Land Ownership (জমির মালিকানা)


Module D: Annual Household Income (থানার বাৎসরিক আয়)

| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { SI } \\ \text { NO } \end{array}$ | Source of income আয়ের উৎস | Gross income (Tk/year) মোট আয় (টাকা/বৎসর) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D1 | Field Crops and vegetables মাঠে উৎপাদিত শষ্য ও সক্জী |  |
| D2 | Livestock and poultry (meat, milk, egg) পশু ও ছাঁস মুরগী (মొসস, দুধ,ডিম) |  |
| D3 | Homestead gardening (vegetables) বাড়ীর আঙ্গিনায় সক্জি |  |
| D4 | Homestead forest, trees, flowering বাড়ির আঙ্গিনায় গাছ্ ও <ুলফললাদি |  |
| D5 | Aquaculture (shrimp and fish produced) মৎস্য চাষ (চিংড়ি ও মাছ উৎগাদন) |  |
| D6 | Other fisheries(Fish business, harvesting from river and canal) অন্যান্য মাছ (মাছ ব্যবসা, নদী ও থাল থেকে আহরন) |  |
| D7 | Water pump rented out পানির মেশিন ভাড়া বাবদ |  |
| D8 | Power tiller and/or plough renting পাওয়ার টিলার এবং লাঙ্ ভাড়া বাবদ |  |
| D9 | Fishing net renting মাছের জাল ভাড়া বাবদ |  |
| D10 | Labor selling (farmer himself \& household members) শ্रম विক্রয় (কৃষক নিজে বা পরিবারের সদস্যরা) |  |
| D11 | Services (Govt. and private job of farmer himself \& household members) চাকুরী ( সরকারী/বেসরকারি চাকুরী, কৃষক নিজে বা পরিবারের সদস্যরা) |  |
| D12 | Business (medium and large scale) ব্যাবসা ( মধ্যম এবং বড়) |  |
| D13 | Small trading / small grocery shop ছোট ব্যাবসা / ছোট মুদির দোকান |  |
| D14 | Tempo/van/rickshaw/motorcycle renting টেন্পু, ভ্যান/রিক্সা/মোটর সাইকেল ভাড়া বাবদ |  |
| D15 | Remittance (in country and abroad) রেমিটেঞ্ (দেশের ভিতর/বিদেশ থথকে) |  |
| D16 | Land leased and/or mortgage out জমি ইজারা এবং বন্ধক থেকে |  |
| D17 | Others (Please specify) অন্যান্য ( উল্লেথ করুন) |  |

Module E: Description of Selected/Specific Pond and Cultural Practices (নির্বাচিত/নির্ধারিত भুকুরের এবং চাশাবাদের বিবরন)

| Q \# | Questions प्रx | Response উত্তর |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E1 | Total project/specific pond area (water+dike) (dec) (HH pond size 5 to 20 dec ) প্রজেকেের বा निদিষ্ট পুকুরের আয়তন ( পানি+भাড়) ( শতাংশ) |  |
| E2 | Water surface area of project/specific pond (dec) প্রজেক্টের বা নিদিষ্ট পুকুরের পানির আয়তন (শতাংশ) |  |
| E3 | Dike area of project/specific pond(decimal) প্রজেকেের বা নিদিষ্ট পুকুরের পাড়ের আয়তন (শতাংশ) |  |
| E4 | Water surface area of the pond shaded by trees (\%) পুকুরের গানির কত অংশ গাছের ছায়া দ্বারা আবৃত (\%) |  |
| E5 | Ownership status of the pond <br> ( $1=$ single ; 2 =joint ; $3=$ singly leased; 4=jointly leased) <br> পুকুরের মালিকানা: 1=নিজে ; 2=বৌথ ; 3=9কক ইজারা; 4=বৌথ ইজারা) |  |
| E6 | If multiple ownership, please mention the number of owners यৌথ মালিকানা হলে কতजন? |  |
| E7 | Average water depth of the pond in culture season(feet) চাষ মৌমুমে গড়ে পুকুরের পানির গভীরতা কত থাকে ( ফিট) |  |
| E8 | No. of months water retains for fish culture in the pond? মাছ চামের জন্য পুকুরে কতমাস পানি থাকে? |  |
| E9 | How many years have you been involved in fish farming? কত বছর যাবৎ মাছ্চাষ করছ্নে? |  |
| E10 | How many years ago was the pond dag,/prepared? পুকুরটি কত বৎসর আগে খনন করা হয়েছিল? |  |
| E11 | Soil type of the pond <br> (1=Loamy, $2=$ Clay, $3=$ Sandy, $4=$ Sandy loam, $5=$ Clay loam, $6=$ Silty, $7=$ Silty loam, $8=0$ others (specify) <br> भুকুরের মাটিন ধরন <br> (1=দোআশ, 2=কাঁদা, 3=বালি, $4=$ বেলে দোআঁশ, $5=\Omega ট ে ল ~ দ ে া আ ঁ শ, ~ 6=প ল ি, ~ 7=~ প ল ি ~ দ ে া আ ঁ শ, ~, ~$ <br> 8=অন্যান্য (উল্লেথ করুন) |  |

Model F: Investment in Fish Culture in 2011
F1. Fixed Cost in the Selected/Specific Pond
২০১১ সালে নির্বাচিত/নির্ধারিত পুকুরে মূলধন বিনিয়োগ থরচ

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SI } \\ & \text { NO } \end{aligned}$ | Items ধরন | No. नः | Total value/cost (Tk) মোট মুল্য/থরচ ( টাকা) | Economic life (year) कार्यकाल (বছু) | \% used for the pond/gher <br> পুকুরের বা মেরের काजে কত অংশ ব্যবহার एয় (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D |
| F1.1 | Pond lease value পুকুরের ইজারা মুল্য |  |  |  |  |
| F1.2 | Bamboo/wood/rope বাঁশ/কাঠ/দড়ি |  |  |  |  |
| F1.3 | Shallow tubewell/pump শ্যালো টিউবওয়েল/পাম্প |  |  |  |  |
| F1.4 | Spade/sickle etc, কোদাল/কাঁচি/দা ইত্যাদি |  |  |  |  |
| F1.5 | Drum/box/fishing trap ড्राম/বক্স/মাছ ধরান ফাঁদ |  |  |  |  |
| F1.6 | Boat/tube ননৗকা/টিউব |  |  |  |  |
| F1.7 | Net (harvesting) <br> জাল (মাছ ধরার জন্য) |  |  |  |  |
| F1.8 | Blue net (Hapa and fence) ক্লুনেট (হাপা এবং বেড়া) |  |  |  |  |
| F1.9 | Others অন্যান্য ( উল্লেথ করুন) |  |  |  |  |

## F2: Pre-Stocking/Pre-Seedling Pond Preparation and Input Costs in 2011

2011 সালে পোনা মজুদপূর্ব পুকুর প্রস্তুতকন ও উপকরণ থরচ

| SI NO | Input <br> ব্যবহার সামগ্রী | For fish or prawn মাছ অথবা গলদা চিংডি |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Quantity भরিমান | Total cost (Tk) মোট মুল্য( টাকা) |
|  |  | A | B |
|  | Organic Fertilizer \ৈৈব সার |  |  |
| F2.1 | Cow dung (kg) গোবর (কেজি) |  |  |
| F2.2 | Goat dung (kg) ছাগলের বিষ্ঠা (কেজি) |  |  |
| F2.3 | Compost (kg) কমপোস্ট (কেজি) |  |  |
| F2.4 | Other (specify) (kg) অন্যান্য(কেজি) |  |  |
| F2.5 | Total Organic Fertilizer মোট |  |  |
|  | Inorganic Fertilizer অজৈব সার |  |  |
| F2.6 | Urea (kg) ইউর্য়া (কেজি) |  |  |
| F2.7 | TSP (kg) টি,এস,পি (কেজি) |  |  |
| F2.8 | $\mathrm{MoP}(\mathrm{kg})$ এমপি (কেজি) |  |  |
| F2.9 | Total মোট |  |  |
|  | Lime (kg) চूন (কেজি) |  |  |
| F2.10 | Quick lime (kg) কুইক লাইম (কেজি) |  |  |
| F2.11 | Slaked lime (kg) স্লাকড লাইম (কেজি) |  |  |
| F2.12 | Lime stone (kg) পাথর ঢूন (কেজি) |  |  |
| F2.13 | Gypsum (kg) जিখসাম (কেজি) |  |  |
| F2.14 | Dolomite (kg) ডলোমাইট (কেজি) |  |  |
| F2.15 | Total মোট |  |  |
|  | Others Chemicals Use অन्যান্য রাসায়নিক দ্দব্য ব্যবহার |  |  |
| F2.16 | Rotenone (g) রোটেনন ( গ্রাম) |  |  |
| F2.17 | Phostoxin (g) एসটক্সিন ( গ্রাম) |  |  |
| F2.18 | Sumithion (ml) সুমিথিয়ন(গ্রাম) |  |  |
| F2.19 | Thiodin (ml) থায়োডিন ( গ্রাম) |  |  |
| F2.20 | Bleaching (kg) ब্নিচিং পাউডার (কেজি) |  |  |
| F2.21 | Dipterax (g) ডিপটারেক্স (গ্রাম) |  |  |
| F2.22 | Others (g/ml) অন্যান্য ( গ্রাম/ মিলিলিটার) |  |  |
| F2.23 | Total মোট |  |  |
|  | Other Inputs অন্যান্য সামগ্রী |  |  |
| F2.24 | Rent cost for plaughing/power tiller পাওয়ার টিলার/লাঈল ভাড়া |  |  |
| F2.25 | Total মোট |  |  |

F3: Stocking/seedling Costs in 2011 (২০১১ সালে পোনা মজুদ থরচ)

| SINO | Species প্রজাতী | Nos সং্থ্যা | Kg কেজি |  | Source* <br> উৎস |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F3.1 | Rui রুই |  |  |  |  |
| F3.2 | Catla কাতলা |  |  |  |  |
| F3.3 | Mrigel মৃগেল |  |  |  |  |
| F3.4 | Silver carp সিলভার কার্প |  |  |  |  |
| F3.5 | Grass carp গ্রাস কার্প |  |  |  |  |
| F3.6 | Common carp কমন कार्भ |  |  |  |  |
| F3.7 | Mirror carp মিরর কার্প |  |  |  |  |
| F3.8 | Thai Shorputi রাজপুটি |  |  |  |  |
| F3.9 | Thai Pangas থাই পাঙ্র |  |  |  |  |
| F3.10 | GIFT গিফট |  |  |  |  |
| F3.11 | Tilapia/Nilotica তেলাপিয়া |  |  |  |  |
| F3.12 | Mola/Dhela/Tengra মলা/ডেলা/টেংরা |  |  |  |  |
| F3.13 | Other white fish seed অন্ন্যান্য সাদা মাছের পোনা |  |  |  |  |
| F3.14 | Golda PL গলদার পোনা |  |  |  |  |
| F3.15 | Vegetables/spices seed in dyke भুকুর পাড়ে সক্কি/মসल्লা বীজ |  |  |  |  |

Source*(1=Private nursery, 2=Govt nursery, 3=patilwala/faria, 4=other famer, 5=hatchery, 6=own raised, $7=$ depot, $8=$ Wild, $9=$ Others)

উৎসঃ 1=ব্যক্তিমালিকানাধীন নার্সারি, 2=সরকারি নার্সারি, 3=গাতিলওয়ালা/ফরিয়া, 4=অন্যथামারি, 5=হাচারি, 6=নিজের, 7=ডিপো, 8=মুক্ত জলাশয় 9= অন্যান্য (উল্লেথ করুন)

F4: Dike Cultivation and Post Stocking Management Costs in 2011 (2011 সালে পুকুরপাড়ে চাষ ও মজুদ পরবর্তী ব্যবস্থাপনা ব্যয়)

| SINO | Input উপকরণ সমুহ | For fish or prawn মাছ্ অথবা গলদা চিংড়ি চামের জন্য |  | For dike vegetables <br> পুকুর পাড়ে সক্জি চামের জন্য |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Quantity পরিমাণ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost (Tk) } \\ & \text { মূल्य (টাকা) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost (Tk) } \\ & \text { মূल्य (টাকা) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Organic Fertiliser: জৈব সার |  |  |  |
| F4.1 | Cow dung (kg) গোবর |  |  |  |
| F4.2 | Poultry Droppings (kg) |  |  |  |
| F4.3 | Goat dung (kg) ছাগলের বিষ্ঠা |  |  |  |
| F4.3 | Compost (kg) কমপোস্ট |  |  |  |
| F4.4 | Others অন্যান্য উল্লেথ করুণ |  |  |  |
| F4.5 | Total মোট |  |  |  |
|  | Inorganic Fertiliser: \ৈৈ সার |  |  |  |
| F4.6 | Urea (kg) ইউরিয়া (কেজি) |  |  |  |
| F4.7 | TSP (kg) টি,এম,পি (কেজি) |  |  |  |
| F4.8 | MoP (kg) এম পি (কেজি) |  |  |  |
| F4.9 | DAP (kg) ডি এ পি (কেতি) |  |  |  |
| F4.10 | Zink (Kg) জিক্ক (কেজি) |  |  |  |
| F4.11 | Others অन্যান্য উল্লেথ করুণ |  |  |  |
| F4.12 | Total মোট |  |  |  |
|  | Supplementary feed:সম্পুরক থাবার |  |  |  |
| F4.13 | Rice-bran (kg) চালের ভুষি |  |  |  |
| F4.14 | Wheat-bran (kg) গমের ভুষি (কেজি) |  |  |  |
| F4.15 | Oil-cake (kg) \थन (কেজি) |  |  |  |
| F4.16 | Duckweed (kg) ডাক উইড (কেজি) |  |  |  |
| F4.16 | Green vegetable (kg) সবুজ শাক সক্জি |  |  |  |
| F4.17 | Fish meal (kg) মৎস্য চূর্ণ |  |  |  |
| F4.18 | Animal blood (kg) পশুর রক্ত |  |  |  |
| F4.19 | Snail meat (kg) শ শামুকের মাংস |  |  |  |
| F4.20 | Commercial feed (kg) বাণিজ্যিক থাবার |  |  |  |
| F4.21 | Total মোট |  |  |  |
|  | Lime (kg) চুন |  |  |  |
| F4.22 | Quick lime (kg) কুইক লাইম |  |  |  |
| F4.23 | Lime stone (kg) গাথর চুন |  |  |  |
| F4.24 | Slaked lime (kg) স্লাক লাইম |  |  |  |
| F4.25 | Gypsum (kg) जিখসাম |  |  |  |
| F4.26 | Dolomite (kg) ডলমাইট (কেজি) |  |  |  |
| F4.27 | Total মোট |  |  |  |
| F4.28 | Water exchange and management cost (Tk) भানি পরিবর্তন ও ব্যাবস্থাপনা থরচ(টাকা) |  |  |  |
| F4.29 | Harvesting cost (hired net, contract out or dewatering cost) (Tk) |  |  |  |


| SI NO | Input উপকরণ সমুহ | For fish or prawn মাছ অথবা গলদা চিংড়ি চাষের জন্য |  | For dike vegetables <br> পুকুর পাড়ে সক্জি চামের জন্য |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Quantity পরিমাণ | Cost (Tk) মূল্য (টাকা) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cost (Tk) } \\ & \text { মূल्य (টাকা) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | মাছ আহরনের থরচ (জাল ভাড়া, চুক্তি, পানি সেচ বাবদ, শ্রমিক থরচ) |  |  |  |
| F4.30 | Selling cost <br> (Transport, labor, toll, tax etc) <br> বিক্তয় ব্য়য় (যানবাছান,মজুদ,টোল,ট্যাম) |  |  |  |

## F5 :Labor Cost for Fish Culture and Dyke Vegetables Production (2011)

মৎস্য চাষ ও পুকুর পাড়ে কৃষি কাজে ব্যবহৃত শ্রমিক বিবরণ ও ব্যয়

| Purpose of use ব্যবशারের উদ্দেশ্য | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Labor type मজুন } \\ & \text { ধরন } \end{aligned}$ | No. <br> of <br> labor <br> মजুদের <br> সংथ্যা | Total no. of days worked <br> মোট কতদিন <br> কাজ করেছ্ | Average No. of hours worked per day গড়ে প্রতিদিন কত घন্টা काजकরেমू | Wage (Tk/day/person) মजুরী (টাকা/দিন/জন) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Cash | নগদ | Food/kind |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Daily } \\ \text { দিন } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Monthly dাস | थाদ্য |
| Fish/prawm culture মাছ/চিংড়ি চা | Permanent male স্शায়ী পুরুষ কর্মী |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Permanent female স্থায়ী মহিলা কর্মী |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Daily male পুরুষ দিন মজুর |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Daily female মহিলা দিন মজুর |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Family male } \\ \text { भाরিবারিক भুনুষ } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Family female গারিবারিক মহিলা |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vegetables in dike পাড়ে সক্জি চায | Permanent male স্থाয়ী পুরুষ কর্মী |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Permanent female স্থায়ী মহিলা কর্মী |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Daily male भूরুম দিন মজুর |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Daily female মহিলা দিন মজুন |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Family male भারিবারিক পুরুষ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Family female भারিবারিক মহিলা |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Module G: Production from the HH Pond and Its Disposal in 2011
2011 সালে পুকুর থেকে প্রাণ্ণ উৎপাদন ও ব্যবহার

| SI NO | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Output } \\ & \text { উৎপাদন } \end{aligned}$ | Production (Kg) উৎ পাদন (কেজি) |  |  |  |  |  | Total value of product (Tk) মোট মূল্য (টাকা) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { মোট } \end{aligned}$ | Consumed থাবার | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Sold } \\ \text { Sिक्刀 } \end{array}$ | Gifted উপহার | Dried শুকানো | Technical lost <br> টেকনিক্যাল লস |  |
| G1 | Golda গলদা |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G2 | Rui রুই |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G3 | Catla কাতলা |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G4 | Mrigal মৃগেল |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G5 | Silver carp সিলভার কার্প |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G6 | Grass carp গ্রাস কার্থ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G7 | Common carp কমন কার্থ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G8 | Mirror carp মিরর কার্প |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G9 | Thai Shorputi থাই সরপুঁটি |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G10 | Thai Pangus থাই পাঙ্রাশ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G11 | GIFT গিফট |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G12 | Tilapia/Nilotica তেলাপিয়া/নাইলোটিকা |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G13 | Mola/Dhela/Tengra মলা/ডেলা/টেংরা |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G14 | Dike vegetables भूকুর পারের শাক সক্জি |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G15 | Dike fruits পাড়ের ফল |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G16 | Dike spices পাড়ের মশল্লা |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G17 | Other white fish species অন্যান্য সাদা মাছের গ্রজাতি |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G18 | Dike trees and others অন্যান্য (গাডেের গাছ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Module H: Cost and Returns of Homestead Vegetables Production in 2011
2011 সালে বাড়ীর আঙ্গিনায় সক্জি উৎগাদন ব্যয় ও আয়

| SI NO | Cost items ব্যয়ের থাত | Quantity <br> পরিমাণ | Total Value (Tk) মোট মূल्य(টাকা) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H1 | Area of land under vegetables (dec) সক্টী চামকৃত জমির পরিমান (শতক) |  |  |
| H2 | Plaughing লাঙ্য দিয়ে চাষ |  |  |
| H3 | Vegetable seed/sapling সক্কিবীজ / চারা |  |  |
| H4 | Total no. of days worked by family male (day/year) भারিবারের পুরুষরা মোট কতদিন কাজ করেছ্ (দিন/বছর) |  |  |
| H5 | Average hours worked by family male (hours/day) গড়ে গারিবারের পুরুষরা কত ঘন্টা কাজ করেছ্েে (ঘন্টা/দিন) |  |  |
| H6 | Total no. of days worked by family female (day/year) भারিবারের মহিলারা মোট কতদিন কাজ করেছ্হে (দিন/বছন) |  |  |
| H7 | Average hours worked by family female (hours/day) গড়ে পারিবারের মহিলারা কতঘন্টা কাজ করেছে (ঘন্টা/দিন) |  |  |
| H8 | Total days worked by hired male (day/year) গড়ে পুরুষ মডুর মোট কত দিন কাজ করেছ্ (দিন/বছ্র) |  |  |
| H9 | Total days worked by hired female (day/year) গড়ে মহিলা দিন মजুর মোট কত দিন কাজ করেছ্ (দিন/বছ্র) |  |  |
| H10 | Urea ইউরিয়া (সাদা সার) (কেতি) |  |  |
| H11 | TSP টি এস পি (ফসফেট সার) (কেতি) |  |  |
| H12 | DAP (kg) ডি এ পি (কেতি) |  |  |
| H13 | Ash (Kg) ছাই (কেজি) |  |  |
| H14 | Cow dung (kg) গোবর (কেজি) |  |  |
| H15 | Pesticide বালাইনাশক |  |  |
| H16 | Other cost (if any) অন্যান্য ( यদি থাকে) |  |  |
|  | Output উৎ গাদন |  |  |
| H17 | Total production (Kg) মোট উৎ পাদন (কেতি) |  |  |
| H18 | Total consumed (Kg) মোট থাওয়া (কেজি) |  |  |
| H19 | Total sold (Kg) মোট বিক্রি (কেজি) |  |  |
| H20 | Total gifted and others (Kg) উপহার হিসাবে দেয়া ও মোট অন্যান্য (কেজি) |  |  |

Module I: Involvement of HH Members in Decision Taking for Individual Activities in Fish Culture (Tick the appropriate answer/s)
2011 সালে বসতবাড়ীর আগ্গিনায় পুকুরের মাছ চাষ পরিচালনায় সিদ্ধান্ত গ্রহনে পরিবারের ভুমিকা

| SI NO | Activities | Who decides কে সিদ্ধান্ত নেন |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Respondent farmer থামারি নিজে | Other female members অন্যান্য মহিলা সদস্য | other male <br> members <br> অন্যান্য <br> পুরুষ সদস্য | Jointly <br> বৌথ ভাবে |
| I1 | Planning for fish culture মাছ চামের পরিকল্পনা |  |  |  |  |
| I2 | Selection of species প্রজাতি নির্বাচন |  |  |  |  |
| I3 | Fish or shrimp seed purchase মাছ বা চিংডির পোনা ক্রয় |  |  |  |  |
| I4 | Feed application into pond or ghers <br> পুকুরে মাছের থাবার প্রদান |  |  |  |  |
| I5 | Fertilizer application into pond or ghers <br> পুকুরে সার প্রয়োগ |  |  |  |  |
| I6 | Decision in fish or shrimp stocking density মাছের মজুদ ঘনত্বের সিদ্ধান্ত |  |  |  |  |
| I7 | Fish or shrimp feed preparation মাছের থাদ্য প্রদান |  |  |  |  |
| I8 | Decision in when fish/shrimp is harvested মাছ আহরনে সিদ্ধান্ত |  |  |  |  |
| I9 | Dyke cultivation planning পাড়ে সবজি চাষের পরিকল্পনা |  |  |  |  |
| I10 | Vegetables selling and consumption সবজি বিক্রয় ও थাওয়া |  |  |  |  |
| I11 | Re-investment planning of earned money মাছ চামে পুনঃবিনিয়োগ |  |  |  |  |
| I12 | Distribution of management responsibility <br> কাজের বন্টন |  |  |  |  |

Module J: Household Hunger Scale (থানার হাঙ্গার স্কেল)

| Q \# | Question for last 4 weeks or 30 days গত 8 সগ্তাহের বা ৩০ দিনের মধ্যে |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| J1 | How often there was no food to eat of any kind in your house বাড়িতে থাবার ছিল না এমন কত घন घন হয়েছে? |  |
| J2 | How often did any member of your household go to bed hungry পরিবারের কোন সদম্য না থেয়ে ঘুমাতে গেছে এমন কত ঘন घন হয়েছ্রে? |  |
| J3 | How often did any member of your household spend a full day and night without eating <br> পরিবারের কোন সদস্য দিনে ও রাত্রে না থেয়ে থেকেছ্ে এমন কত ঘন घন হয়েছ্ে? |  |

## Module K: Nutritional status of 6-23 months old children

| K1.1 | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{c} \text { Do yo } \\ (1=y, \end{array} \\ & \text { আপনা } \\ & 2=\text { ना } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |

If no please skip this section.
यদি না হয় তাহলে L মডিউলে যান

(Pleases ask these questions to the mother of the child) (দয়াকরে শিশুর মাকে প্রশ্ন করুন)

| Q \# | Questions প্রশ | Response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K1 | Did you feed colostrum to the child? বাष্চাকে শাল দুষ্ধ থাইয়েছিলেন কি? | $\begin{aligned} & 1=\text { yes इ্যাঁ } \\ & 2=\text { no ना } \\ & 3=\text { don't remember } \\ & \text { মনে নাই } \end{aligned}$ |
| K2 | When did you first initiate breast feeding the child? বাष্চাকে কথন প্রথম মায়ের দুধ দিয়েছ্ছিলেন? | 1=immediately after birth জন্মের পরপরই <br> $2=$ after __hours of birth <br> জন্মের...... ঘন্টা পর <br> 3=never কথনना <br> 4=don't remember <br> মনে নাই |
| K3 | How long did you exclusively breastfed the child? (ORS, vitamins, minerals and medicine as prescribed by doctors are allowed in EBF) <br> কত মাস পর্যন্ত এই শিশুটিকে শুধু মাত বুকের দুধ থাইয়েছেন? <br> ( ডাক্তারের পরামর্শে ওআরএস, ভিটামিন ও ঔষধ থাওয়ানো শুধু মাত্র বুকের দুধ থাওয়ানো হিসাবে বিবেচিত হবে) | 1.Up to__months ১= ......... মাস বয়স গर्यন্ত 2.Still exclusively breast feeding ২= এখনও শুধু মাত্র বুকের দুধ খায় |
| K4 | When did you first introduce complementary feeding to the child? মায়ের দুধের পাশাপাশি কথন বাড়তি থাবার দেয়া শুরু করেছ্রেন? | $1=\mathrm{at}$ $\qquad$ months $১=$ $\qquad$ .মাস বয়স <br> থেকে <br> 2=still not introduced <br> এখনও <br> দেওয়া <br> শুরু <br> করিনাই |
| K5 | When did you first introduce solid, semi-solid or soft food to the child? কত মাস বয়সে বাচ্চাকে প্রথম শক্ত ও নরম খাবার দেয়া শুরু করেছেন? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1=at ___months } \\ & \vdots=\quad \ldots . . . \text { dाস }^{2} \text { বয়স } \\ & \text { থেকে } \\ & \text { 2=still not introduced } \\ & \text { এথনও দেওয়া শুরও } \\ & \text { করিনাই } \end{aligned}$ |
| K6 | How long did you continue breast feeding along with complimentary feeding to the child? <br> আলগা থাবারের পাশাগাশি কতদিন বাচ্চাকে মায়ের দুধ থাইয়েছেন ? | 1=up to $\qquad$ months $১=\ldots \ldots$ মাস পর্যন্ত <br> $2=$ still continuing breast feeding ২= এথনও বুকের দুধ থাচ্ছে |
| K7 | How many times did you feed supplementary foods to the child in last 24 hours? <br> গত ২৪ ঘন্টায় বাচ্চাকে কতবার সম্পুরক খাবার থাইয়েছ্রেন? (সংখ্যা লিথুন) | .........বার |
| K8 | How many times did you breastfeed the child in last 24 hours? গত ২৪ घন্টায় বাচাকে কতবার মায়ের দুধ থাইয়েছ্রেন? (সংথ্যা লিথুন) | .........বার |


|  | Group | Foods Eaten by the Child in the Last 24 Hours: <br> শিশুটি গত ২৪ ঘন্টায় কি থাবার থেয়েছে | Response |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



| K19 | 7 | Other vegetables (e.g. cucumber, radish, pepper, string beans, cabbage, cauliflower, radish, onion) (1=yes ; 2=no) <br> অन্যাन्य সজী যেমন, শশা, মূলা, মিষ্টি মরিচ, বাঁধা কপি, স্ট্রীং বিন, ফুল কপি, পিয়াজ? (১=হাঁ, ২=না) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K20 | 6 | Vitamin A rich fruits <br> (e.g. ripe papaya, mango or other fruits that are yellow or orange inside) $\text { ( } 1=\text { yes } ; 2=\text { no })$ <br> পাকা পেঁেপে, আম অথবা এ ধরনের ফল যার ভেতরটা হলুদ অথবা কমলা? (১=হাঁ, ২=না) |  |
| K21 | 7 | Other fruits (e.g. banana, sithphal, grapefruit, apple, orange, jackfruit, jambura fruit, plums, melon, tomato, date, lemon) $\text { ( } 1=\text { yes ; } 2=n o \text { ) }$ <br> অन्যान्य ফन বেমন, কলা, পপঁధপে, পিচফল, आभूর, আপেল, কমলা, কাঁঠাল, তাল, ত্রমুজ, টমেটো, খেজুর, লেবু ইত্যাদি ? (১=হাঁ, ২=না) |  |
| K22 |  | Any foods prepared using fat, e.g. oil, butter, dalda, ghee (1=yes ; 2=no) <br> চর্ব্বিদ্বারা তৈরী খাবার, যেমন-তেল, মাখন, ডালডা অথবা ঘি? $\text { ( }(=\text { হाँ, } ২=\text { ना) }$ |  |
| K23 |  | Any sugar or honey (1=yes; 2=no) চिनि বा মधू $s=$ शाँ $২=$ ना |  |

Module L: Women's Dietary Diversity মহিলাদের থাদ্য বৈচিত্রতা
(For women aged 15-49 years)

| Q \# |  | Question | Response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L1 |  | Is there a woman aged 15-49 years in the household? ( $1=$ yes ; 2=no >> skip to Module L) <br> এই থানায় 15-49 বছর বয়সি কোন মহিলা আছে কি? (1= হ্যাঁ, 2=না) यদি না ছয় তবে M মডিউলে यান |  |
| L2 |  | If yes, what is her name? <br> (if there are more than one such woman, select one randomly and enter her name) <br> यদি হাঁ হয়, তার নাম कि? |  |
| L3 |  | Foods Eaten by the Woman in the Last 24 Hours: <br> (make sure that this question is answered by the women herself, not by anyone on her behalf) মহিলা গত ২৪ ঘন্টায় কি থাবার থেয়েছে <br> (মহিলা নিজে প্রশ্নের উত্তর দিবেন তার পক্ষে অন্যকেও নয়) |  |
| L4 | 1 | Cereals(e.g. rice, bread, wheat, wheat bread, rice flakes, puffed rice, barley, wheat grain, popcorn) $(1=y e s ; 2=n o)$ <br> দানা জাতীয় শস্য বেমন চাউল, রুুটি, গম, আটার রুটি ভাতের জাউ, র্বালি, গমের গুড়া, খই? (১=হাঁ, ২=না) |  |
| L5 | 1 | Roots and tubers (e.g. white potatoes, white yams or other foods made from roots and tubers) <br> ( $1=$ yes; ; $2=n o$ ) <br> য কোন ধরনের সাদা আলু, গাছ আলু অথবা মাটির নীচের সজি দিত্যে তৈরী খাদ্য ? (১=হাঁ, ২=না) |  |
| L6 | 2 | Legumes and nuts <br> (e.g. bengal gram, black gram, dal, lentil, khesari, mung bean) <br> ( $1=$ yes ; 2=no) <br> যেকোন ধরনের ডাল যেমন -মাসকলাই, মাটিকলাই, মঞর, খেসারী, মুগ? |  |


|  |  | (১=হ্যাঁ, ২=না) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L7 | 4 | Meat <br> (e.g. beef, mutton, poultry, lamb, pork, liver and other organ meat) ( $1=$ yes ; 2=no) <br> মাংস জাতীয় খাবার যেমন, কলিজা, গরুর মাংস, হাঁস-মুরগী, ভেড়া, ৩কর ইত্যাদি? ( $\langle=$ হाँ, $২=$ ना) |  |
| L8 | 4 | Fish (e.g. fresh or dried fish or shellfish) <br> (1=yes; 2=no) <br> কোন তাজামাছ বা ひ̛ঁটকী মাছ অথবা খোলসযুক্ত জনজপ্রাণী যেমন-শামুক, ঝিনুক, চিংড়ি ইত্যাদি। $\text { ( }\langle=\text { হাঁ, }$ |  |
| L9 | 4 | Small indigenous fish (mola, dela, kaski, etc) (1=yes; 2=no) দেশীয় ছোট মাছ (মলা,ড়লা,কাসকি) $\langle=$ হাঁ ২= না |  |
| L10 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Eggs(1=yes ; 2=no) } \\ & \text { ডिম? } \\ & \text { (১=হ্যাঁ, ২=না) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| L11 | 3 | Milk or milk products <br> (e.g. cow milk, buffalo milk, goat milk, yogurt, curd, cheese) <br> ( $1=$ yes; $2=n o$ ) <br> দুধ অথবা দুধ দিত়ে তৈরী খাবার যেমন, গরুর দুধ, মহিষের দুধ, ছাগলের দুধ, দই, ছানা, পনির? $\text { ( }\langle=\text { হহाँ, } ২=\text { ना ) }$ |  |
| L12 | 6 | Yellow and orange vegetables (e.g. pumpkins, carrots, squash, orange flesh sweet potato or vegetables that are yellow or orange inside) ( $1=\mathrm{yes} ; 2=\mathrm{no}$ ) <br>  <br>  |  |
| L13 | 6 | Dark green leafy vegetables <br> (e.g. ipomoea, amaranth, spinach, parwar sag, drumstick leaves) $\text { ( } 1=\text { yes ; } 2=\text { no })$ <br> যেরেোন ধরন্নে গাঢ় সবুজ পাতা জাতীয় সজী যেমন - কলমীশাক, ডাটাশাক, পালং শাক, পারওয়ার শাক, সজনেডাটা শাক? $\text { (১=হাঁ, } ২=\text { ना ) }$ |  |
| L14 | 7 | Other vegetables (e.g. cucumber, radish, pepper, string beans, cabbage, cauliflower, radish, onion) (1=yes ; 2=no) <br> অন্যান্য সক্জী যেমন, শশা, মূলা, মিষ্টি মরিচ, বাঁধা কপি, স্ট্রীং বিন, ফুল কপি, পিয়াজ? (১= হ্যাঁ, ২=না ) |  |
| L15 | 6 | Vitamin A rich fruits <br> (e.g. ripe papaya, mango or other fruits that are yellow or orange inside) ( $1=$ yes ; $2=$ no ) <br> পাকা প্้ঁপে, আম অথবা এ ধরন্নে ফল যার ভেতরটা হলুদ অথবা কমলা? (১=হাঁ, ২=না) |  |
| L16 | 7 | Other fruits <br> (e.g. banana, sithphal, grapefruit, apple, orange, jackfruit, jambura fruit, plums, melon, tomato, date, lemon) <br> (1=yes; 2=no) <br>  টমেটো, খেজুর, লেবু ইত্যাদি ? $(১=\text { হाँ, } ২=\text { ना })$ |  |
| L17 |  | Any foods prepared using fat, e.g. oil, butter, dalda, ghee (1=yes ; 2=no) চর্বিদ্দারা তৈরী খাবার, যেমন-তেল, মাখন, ডালডা অথবা ঘি? $\text { ( }\langle=\text { হহाँ, } ২=\text { ना ) }$ |  |
| L18 |  | Any sugar or honey (1=yes; 2=no) চिनि বा মধু ১= হাঁ ২= না |  |

Module M: Information on Consumption and Sources of fish use in the household
M1:. List the Fish Species You Consumed in the Last 3 Days and Amount of Each Fish Consumed
গত ৩ দিনে আপনার পরিবারের কি কি মাছ কত পরিমানে থেয়েছ্রে?

| Species consumed <br> প্রजाতির নাম |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Quantity consumed <br> (Kg) थाउয়ার भরিমান <br> (কেজি) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

M2: List the Source of the Fish Your HH Consumed in the Last 3 Days and Quantity From Each Source
গত ৩ দিনে পরিবারের সদম্যরা যে সকল মাছু থেয়েছেন এর উৎস ভিত্তিক পরিমান সম্পর্কে তথ্যাদি?

| Fish source মাছের উৎস | Own <br> Ponds <br> নিজের <br> পুকুর | Purchased from market <br> বাজার থেকে ক্রক়তত | Self caught (from own rice field) নিজের ধান ক্ষেত থেকে সংগৃইীত | Self-caught (from open water body) মুক্ত জলাশয় থেকে নিজের দ্বারা আহরিত | Gift from friend or relative বন্ধু বা আমীয় <br> স্বজনের কাছ থেকে গাওয়া | Other (specify) অন্যান্য (উল্লেথ করুন) | Total <br> (kg) <br> মোট <br> ( কেজি ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quantity consumed (Kg) थাওয়ার পরিমান (কেজি) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Module N: Knowledge Attitude and Practice of Improved Fish Cultivation Technology

| Q \# | Improved fish cultivation management technology উন্নত প্রযুক্তিতে মাছ্ চাষে ব্যবস্থাপনা | Standard practice | Knowledge <br> (1=know; <br> 2=don't know) <br> জ্ঞান <br> ( $১=$ =জানি, <br> ২=জানিনা ) | Practice <br> (1=practiced <br> 2=didn't <br> practice) <br> ব্যবহারের মাত্রা | If knows, reasons for non-practice ${ }^{1}$ (multiple reasons apply) <br> यদি জানে <br> তবে অনুশীলন <br> না করার <br> কারন | No. of other farmers used this technologies learnt from you <br> আभনার কাছ্ <br> থেকে শিথে <br> আর কত জন <br> এই প্রयুক্তি <br> ব্যবহার করেন |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N1 | Testing natural food adequacy in water <br> গানির রং গরীফা করে খাবারের পর্যাপ্ততা নির্ণয় | Required |  |  |  |  |
| N2 | Maintaining stock density মজুদের ঘনত্ব বজায় রাখা | 40-70 fingerling per decimal |  |  |  |  |
| N3 | Species selection <br> সঠিক প্রজাতি নির্বাচন করা | required |  |  |  |  |
| N4 | Weed control আগাছা নিয়ন্তণ | Required |  |  |  |  |
| N5 | Liming চুন দেওয়া | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5 \text { to } 1.5 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & \text { per dec } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| N6 | ```Providing supplementary feed সম্পুরক খাবার দেওয়া``` | Required based on sampling |  |  |  |  |
| N7 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Employing fish disease } \\ \text { management } \\ \text { মাচ্ছের রোগ ব্যবস্থাপনা } \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Required |  |  |  |  |
| N8 | Health monitoring <br>  | Required |  |  |  |  |
| N9 | Growth monitoring মাছের বৃদ্ধি পর্যবেকণ | Required |  |  |  |  |
| N10 | Post harvest handling আহরনোত্তর পরিচর্মা | Required |  |  |  |  |
| N11 | Use quality seeds উন্নত পোনা ব্যবহার | required |  |  |  |  |
| N12 | Followed feeding <br> application procedures <br> (feeding time, frequency <br> feeding etc)  <br> थाদ্য প্রয়োগ পদ্ধতি  <br> অনুসরন  | Required |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ Code : $1=$ inputs not easily available; $2=$ lack of capital; $3=$ not serious about it; $4=$ lack of enough technical knowledge; $5=$ lack of consensus among multiple owners; $6=0$ thers (specify) <br> ১=উপকরণ সহজ লভ্য নয়, ২= भুজির অভাব, ৩= এই বিষয়ে আন্তরিক নয়, ৪= পর্যাণ্ত কারিগরি জ্ঞানের অভাব ৫= মালিকদের মধ্যে মত অনৈক্য ৬= অন্যান্য( উল্লেথ করুন) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Module O: Problems and Constraints সমস্যা ও প্রতিবন্ধকতা

| SI NO | Problems সমস্যা | Intensity <br> (1=Less, $\quad 2=$ moderate,, | Measures taken to <br> overcome problem |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  |  |  | সমস্যা উত্তরনে कি ব্যবস্থা নেওয়া হয়েছ্রে |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O1 | Short of quality seed মান সম্মত মাছের পোনার অপর্যাপ্ততা |  |  |
| O2 | Social problem (theft, poisoning, multiple ownership) <br> সামাজিক সমস্যা ( চুরি, বিষ প্রয়োগ, যৌথ মালিকানা) |  | ```1= Increased security guard পাহানাদের সংথ্যা বৃদ্ধি 2= Awareness campaign সচেতনতা বৃদ্ধি করণ 3= 4=``` |
| O3 | Credit problem ঋণ সম্পর্কিত সমস্যা |  | 1= Easy access to association/cooperatives সমবায় / সংগঠনের প্রাগ্ত সুবিধা ভোগ $2=$ $3=$ |
| O4 | Natural calamities $\quad$ প্রাকৃতিক দুर्यোগ |  |  |
| O5 | Financial problems অর্থনৈতিক সমস্যা |  |  |
| O6 | High input cost উभকরনেন উष্চ মूल्य |  |  |
| O7 | Water pollution (gas, bloom, bottom slug) <br> গানির দূষণ (গ্যাস, ব্লুম, তলার কাদা) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
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## গোপনীয়

শুধুমাত্র গবেষণার কাজে ব্যবহার করা হবে

ওয়ার্ল্ডফিস সেন্টার বাংলাদেশ এফটিএফ এ্যাকুয়াকালচার প্রজেক্ট

বেইজলাইন জরিপ ২০১২

## 

জরিপ পরিচালনায়
बা৷ ডাটা ম্যানেজমেন্ট এইড

ইউএসএআাইডি’র অর্থায়ন্নে বাংলাদেশ সরকারের সহায়তায় ওয়ার্ন্ডফিস সেন্টার পরিচালিত এফটিএফ এ্যাকুয়াকালচার প্রজেট্টের সহযোগীতায় পরিচালিত

জরিপে অংশগ্রহনের সম্মতিপত্র
ফিড দ্যা ফিউচার ওয়াল্ড ফিস সেন্টার পরিচালিত ইউএসএআইডি’র অর্থায়ে 3 বাংলাদেশ সরকারের সহযোগিতায় একটি প্রকল্প। এটি ৫(পাচ) বছ্রের জন্য ছস্তুনন্তর যোগ্য প্রকল্প যা কিনা বাংলাদেশের ঢাকা, থুলনা ও বরিশাল বিভাগের দফিনাঞ্চলের ২০টি জেলায় বাম্তবায়ন হচ্ছে। প্রকল্পটি টেকসই ফুধা ও দারিদ্রতা নিরসনে ফিড দ্যা ফিউচার এর উদ্দেশ্য বাম্তবায়নে কাজ করছে।

যে সকল এলাকায় প্রকল্পের কাজ পরিচালিত হবে সে সকল এলাকার উপর ডাটা ম্যানেজমেন্ট এইড এর মাধ্যমে একটি বেইজলাইন জরিপ পরিচালনা করছে।
এই অরিপের মাধ্যমে এফটিএফ মাছ চামের প্রচলিত কৌশল ভালভাবে অবগত হয়ে বর্তমান ও ভবিষ্যতের কর্ম কৌশল নির্ধারণ করতে সাহায্য করবে।

আপনার নাম ও দেয়া সকল তথ্য সম্প্পুন গোপনীয় রাथা হবে এবং শুধুমাত্র গবেষনার কাজে ব্যবহৃত হবে।

আপনার অংশ গ্রহন সম্পূর্ণ ইচ্ছাকৃত। উত্তর দেয়া না দেয়া সম্পুর্ন আপনার ইচ্ছা। তবুও আমরা আশা করি আপনি এই জরিপে অংশ গ্রহন করবেন কারণ আপনার মন্তব্য এই জরিপের জন্য থুবই গুরুত্বभূর্ণ।

এথন আপনি জরিপ সম্মন্ধে যে কোন গ্রশ্ন করতে পারেন।
আমি কি এথন আপনাকে প্রশ্ন করা শুরু করতে পারি ?
হ्राँ = 1
ना $=2$
সাকাতকার গ্রহণকারী

## Module A: Identification of the Sample

| SI NO | Farmer's ID থামারির[পরিচিতি | Name Cl (1) | Code 1 [1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A1 | Name of farmer থামারির নাম |  |  |
| A2 | Father's/husband 's name भিতা/ন্বামীর নাম |  |  |
| A3 | Name of household head थানা প্রধানের নাম |  |  |
| A4 | District $\square_{\text {- }}$ |  |  |
| A5 | Upazila |  |  |
| A6 | Union Cm |  |  |
| A7 | Ward उয়ार्ড |  |  |
| A8 | Village Cm |  |  |
| A9 | Household number থানা নাম্বার |  |  |
| A10 | Are you a selected farmer of the FtF Aquaculture Project? আभনি কি FtF একুয়াকালচার প্রকল্রের একজন সদস্য? <br>  |  |  |
| A11 |  |  |  |
| A12 | Interviewer সাক্ষাতকার গ্রহণকারীর নাম |  |  |
| A13 | Name of Supervisor সুপারভাইজারের নাম |  |  |

Module B: Household Member Profile

| Sl no. <br> ক্রমিক নং | Relationship with farmer থামারির সাথে সম্পর্ক | Sex <br> (M/F/T) <br> লিঙ্গ <br> भুঃ/ <br> মঃ/হিঃ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Age } \\ \text { बয়ন } \end{array}$ |  |  | Main occupation প্রধান পেশা | Subsidiary occupation অন্য পেশা |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Year } \\ & \text { বচ্র } \end{aligned}$ | Month মাস |  |  |  |
| 1.Farmer থামারি |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Codes: <br> Relationship | Codes: Occupation |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Husband | 1. House wife গৃহৃিী |
| 2. Wife | 2. Service চাক্রুী |
| 3. Son | 3. Big/medium Business মাঝারী/ বড় ব্যবসা |
| 4. Daughter <br> 5. Father | 4. Small business ছোট ব্যবসা |
| 6. Mother | 5. Day labor দিন মडুর |
| 7. Brother | 6. Rickshaw/Van driver तির্যা/ ভ্যান চালক |
| 8. Sister |  |
| 10. Father-in law | 8. Handicrafts, Carpenter, Mason and other self employed काরুশিब্̄ी, काঠমিত্जি, রাजমিত্তি এবং |
| 11. Son-in-law | जन্যান্য স্বকর্ম <br> 9. Professional (Doctor, engineer, advocate) (পশাজীবী ( ডাক্তান, ইঞ্গিনিয়ার, আইনজীবী) |
| 13. Grand son | 10. Student ছাa |
| 14. Grand daughter 15. Others | 11. Unemployed বেকার |
|  | 12. Retired/Minor child অবসর প্রাপ্ত/ ছোট শিশু |
|  | 13. Old (Age >60 years) বৃদ্ধ ( ৬০ বছ্রের উপরে) |
|  | 14. Fish culture মাহ চাষ |
|  | 15. Others (specify) অন্যান্য( উল্লেথ করুন) |


| B1 | How many people of the gher received training on shrimp culture management in last 3 years. $\qquad$ no. <br> গত ৩ বছরে আপনার পরিবারের কতজন সদস্য ঘের ব্যবস্থাপনার উপর প্রশিফ্ষ গ্রহন করেছ্রে? $\qquad$ | .........No ......जすन |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B2 | What is the total no. of training they received তারা মোট কতটি প্রশিফ্ষন গ্রহন করেছেন? টि | $\ldots . . . \text { No }$ |

B3: Land ownership অমির মালিকানা

| SI NO | Land type अমির ধরন | Cultivated last year (2011) <br> গত বছরের চাষ কৃত ভুমি |  | Leased/mortgage out (decimal) ইজারা দেওয়া জমি ( শতাংশ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. of <br> plots Total <br> cultivated <br> कख़টি (decimal) | Leased/mortgage in (dec) <br> ইজারা নেওয়া ওমি |  |


|  |  | প্লট | $\begin{aligned} & \left\|\begin{array}{l} \text { মাট চাষকৃত( } \\ \text { শতাংশ) } \end{array}\right\| \end{aligned}$ | ( ${ }^{\text {(丁)^শ) }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B3.1 | All ghers/ponds মের/পুকুর |  |  |  |  |
| B3.2 | Cultivable land (crop/vegetable) চামকৃত অমি ( শস্য, তরকারি) |  |  |  |  |
| B3.3 | Homestead area (without pond) বসত ভিটার অমির পরিমান (পুকুর ব্যতীত) |  |  |  |  |
| B3.4 | Homestead vegetables/fruits বাডির আগ্গিনায় সক্জি/ফল বাগান |  |  |  |  |
| B3.5 | Bamboo/wood garden বাঁশঝাড়/ গাছু |  |  |  |  |
| B3.6 | Others (specify) অन्यान्य (উल्লেথ করুন) |  |  |  |  |

Module C: Annual Household Income থানার বাৎসরিক আয়

| Sl.No | Source of income আয়ের উৎস | Gross income (Tk/year) মোট আয় (টাকা/বৎসর) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C. 1 | Field Crops and vegetables মাঠে উৎ পাদিত শষ্য ও সক্টী |  |
| C. 2 | Livestock and poultry (meat, milk, egg) পশু ও হাঁস মুরগী (মাংস, দूধ,ডিম) |  |
| C. 3 | Homestead gardening (vegetables) বাড়ীর আপ্ৰিনায় সক্জি |  |
| C. 4 | Homestead forest, trees, flowering বাড়ির আঙ্গিনায় গাছ ও ফুলফলাদি |  |
| C. 5 | Aquaculture (shrimp and fish produced) মৎম্য চাষ (চিংড়ি ও মাছ উৎগাদন) |  |
| C. 6 | Other fisheries (Fish business, harvesting from river and canal) অন্যান্য মাছ (মাছ ব্যবসা, নদী ও थাল থেকে আহরন) |  |
| C. 7 | Water pump rented out পানির মেশিন ভাড়া বাবদ |  |
| C. 8 | Power tiller and/or plough renting পাওয়ার টিলার এবং লাঙ্ ভাড়া বাবদ |  |
| C. 9 | Fishing net renting মাছের জাল ভাড়া বাবদ |  |
| C. 10 | Labor selling (farmer himself \& household members) শ্रম विক্তয় (কৃষক নিজে বা পরিবারের সদস্যরা) |  |
| C. 11 | Services (Govt. and private job of farmer himself \& household members) চাকুরী ( সরকারী/বেসরকারি চাকুুী, কৃষক নিজে বা পরিবারের সদস্যরা) |  |
| C. 12 | Business (medium and large scale) ব্যাবসা ( মধ্যম এবং বড়) |  |
| C. 13 | Small trading / small grocery shop ছোট ব্যাবসা / ছোট মুদির দোকান |  |
| C. 14 | Tempo/van/rickshaw/motorcycle renting টেম্পু, ভান/রিজ্সা/মোটর সাইকেল ভাড়া বাবদ |  |
| C. 15 | Remittance (in country and abroad) রেমিটেঞ্ক (দেশের ভিতর/বিদেশ থেকে) |  |
| C. 16 | Land leased and/or mortgage out ऊমি ইজারা এবং বন্ধক থেকে |  |
| C. 17 | Others (Please specify) অन্যান্য ( উল্লেথ করুন) |  |
|  |  |  |

Module D: Description of Gher and Cultural Practices घের ও ঘেরে চিংড়ি চাষের ও পদ্ধতির বিবরণ

| Q \# | Questions | Response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D1 | Total area (water+dike) of the gher (dec) (Commercial shrimp gher 30 to 200 dec ) নির্বাচিত পুকুরের পাড় ও পানি সহ মোট জমির পরিমান (শতাংশে) (বাণিজ্যিক পুকুরের মাপ ৩০ (থকে ২০০ শতাংশ) |  |
| D2 | Total water surface area of the gher (dec) ঘেরের কত জল আয়তন এলাকায় মাছ চাষ করেছেন? (শতাংশে) |  |
| D3 | Total dike area of the gher (dec) ঘেরের পাড়ের মোট আয়তন (শতাংশ) |  |
| D4 | Area of rice plot in gher (dec) মেরে ধান চামের অমির আয়তন ( $\times$ তাংশ) |  |
| D5 | Ownership status of the gher <br> (1=single ; $2=$ joint ; $3=$ singly leased; $4=$ jointly leased) <br> পুকুর/ মেরের মালিকানা সহ্ব <br> ১=একক, ২= যৌথ, ৩= একক ইজারা, ৪= যৌথ ইজারা, |  |
| D6 | If multiple ownership, please mention the number of owners यদি একাধিক মালিকানা হয় তবে অনুগ্র করে মোট মালিকেকে সংথ্যা বলুন |  |
| D7 | Yearly average water depth of the gher in culture season (feet) <br> চিংড়ি চামকৃত মেরে বছ্রে গড়ে পানির গভীরতা কতটুকু থাকে (ফিট)? |  |
| D8 | No. of months water retains for shrimp culture in the gher মেরে চিংড়ি চাশের জন্য কত মাস পানি সংরক্ষণ করা एয় |  |
| D9 | How many years have you been involve in shrimp farming? আभনি কত বছুর যাবত চিংড়ি থামারের সাথে অড়িত আছেন? |  |
| D10 | How many years ago was the gher prepared? আপনি কত বছ্র আগে এই মের ঢৈতি করেছ্নে? |  |
| D11 | Soil type of the pond (1=Loamy, 2=Clay, 3=Sandy, 4= Sandy loam, 5=Clay loam, 6=Silty, 7=Silty loam, 8=others (specify) <br> পুকুরের মাটির ধরন <br> (1=দোআশ, 2=কাঁদা, 3=বালি, 4=বেলে দোআঁশ, 5=এটেল দোআঁশ, 6=পলি, 7= পলি দোআঁশ, <br> 8=অন্যান্য (উল্লেথ করুন) |  |

## Module E:

## E 1: Investment Costs in Gher in 2011

2011 সালে নির্ধারিত ঘের তৈরিতে বিনিয়োগের পরিমাণ

| SI NO | Items সামগ্রী | No. নাম্বার | Total value/cost (Tk) মোট मूल्य | Economic life(year) आয়ুम्बाल <br> (বছর) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E1.1 | Gher lease value घের লীজ |  |  |  |
| E1.2 | Bamboo/wood/rope বাঁশ/ কাঠ/দড় |  |  |  |
| E1.3 | Shallow tubewell/pump শ্যালটিউবওয়েল/পাম্প |  |  |  |
| E1.4 | Spade/Sickle etc, কোদাল/দা,কাম্ঠে |  |  |  |
| E1.5 | Drum/box/fishing trap ড্রাম/ বাঙ্স/ মাছ ধরার ফাঁত |  |  |  |
| E1.6 | Boat/tube নৌকা/টিউব |  |  |  |


| E1.7 | Net (harvesting) <br> মাছ ধরা木 জাল |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| E1.8 | Blue net (Hapa and fence) নীল জাল( হাপা ও <br> (েড়ার জন্য) |  |  |  |
| E1.9 | Gher house घেরের घর |  |  |  |
| E1.10 | Aerator এরিএটর |  |  |  |
| E1.11 | Others অন্যান্য (উল্লেথ করুন) |  |  |  |

## E 2：Pre－Stocking／Pre－Seedling Activity and Input Costs for Gher Preparation in 2011

2011 সালে পোনা মজুদপূর্ব পুকুর প্রস্তুতি থরচ

| SI NO | Input উপকরন | For shrimp চি．¢़̣ |  | For rice plot in gher মেরে ধান চাষ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amount পরিমাণ | Total cost <br> （Tk） <br> মোট থরচ （টাকা） | Amount পরিমাণ | Total cost <br> （Tk） <br> মোট থরচ （ টাকা） |
|  | Organic Fertilizer |  |  |  |  |
| E2．1 | Cow dung（kg）গোবর |  |  |  |  |
| E2．2 | Poultry droppings（kg）হাঁস মুরগির বিসটা |  |  |  |  |
| E2．3 | Goat dung（kg）ছাগলের বিসটট |  |  |  |  |
| E2．4 | Compost（kg）কমপাস্ট সার |  |  |  |  |
| E2．5 | Other（specify）（kg）অन্যান্য（ উल্লেথ করুন） |  |  |  |  |
| E2．6 | Total মোট |  |  |  |  |
|  | Inorganic Fertilizer আডৈব সার |  |  |  |  |
| E2．7 | Urea（kg）ইউর্য়া সার |  |  |  |  |
| E2．8 | TSP（kg）টি এস পি মার |  |  |  |  |
| E2．9 | $\mathrm{MoP}(\mathrm{kg})$ এম भি সার |  |  |  |  |
| E2．10 | Total মোট |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lime（kg）हूন |  |  |  |  |
| E2．11 | Quick lime（kg）¢ुই⿳亠丷厂犬 |  |  |  |  |
| E2．12 | Slaked lime（kg）ম্नाক নাইম |  |  |  |  |
| E2．13 | Lime stone（kg）हूना भाथর |  |  |  |  |
| E2．14 | Gypsum（kg）जিপসাম |  |  |  |  |
| E2．15 | Dolomite（kg）ডলমাইট |  |  |  |  |
| E2．16 | Total মোট |  |  |  |  |
|  | Others Chemicals Use অन্যান্য রাসায়নিক দ্যব্য ব্যবহার |  |  |  |  |
| E2．17 | Rotenone（g）রোটেনন（ গ্রাম） |  |  |  |  |
| E2．18 | Phostoxin（g）\llসটট্সিন（গ্রাম） |  |  |  |  |
| E2．19 | Sumithion（ml）সুমিথিয়ন（গ্রাম） |  |  |  |  |
| E2．20 | Thiodin（ml）থায়োডিন（গ্রাম） |  |  |  |  |
| E2．21 | Bleaching（kg）ब্নিচিং পাউডার（কেজি） |  |  |  |  |
| E2．22 | Dipterax（g）ডিপটারেক্স（গ্রাম） |  |  |  |  |
| E2．23 | Others（g／ml）অন্যান্য（ গ্রাম／মিলিলিটার） |  |  |  |  |
| E2．24 | Total মোট |  |  |  |  |
|  | Other Inputs অন্যান্য |  |  |  |  |
| E2．25 | Rent cost for ploughing／power tiller পাওয়ার টিলার／লাঙ্গে ভাড়া বাবদ থরচ |  |  |  |  |
| E2．26 | Other Inputs অन्যान्য |  |  |  |  |
| E2．27 | Total মোট |  |  |  |  |

E 3：Stocking／Seedling Costs in 2011 （২০১১ সালে পোনা মজুদ্রে থরচ）

| SI <br> NO | Species প্রजाতির নাম | Nos <br> 耳ःथ্যा | Kg কেজি | Total <br> （Tk） | cost |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | Source＊ |
| :--- |
| উৎम |


|  |  |  |  | মোট মূল্য (টাকা) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E3.1 | Golda PL গলদা |  |  |  |  |
| E3.2 | Bagda PL বাগদা |  |  |  |  |
| E3.3 | Harina/Chali seed হারিনা/চালির পোনা |  |  |  |  |
| E3.4 | Other white fish seed অন্যান্য সাদা মাছের পোনা |  |  |  |  |
| E3.5 | Rice seedling in Gher (market value) ধানের চারা |  |  |  |  |
| E3.6 | Vegetables/spices seed in dike घেরের পাড়ে চামের জন্য সক্জি/ মশল্লার বীজ |  |  |  |  |

Source*(1=Private nursery, 2=Govt nursery, 3=patilwala/faria, 4=other famer, 5=hatchery, 6=own raised, 7=shrimp depot, 8=Wild, 9=Others) উৎস ঃ ১=ব্যক্তিগত নারসারি,২=সরকারি নার্সারি, ৩=भাতিলওয়ালা/ফরিয়া, $8=$ অন্য থামারি,৫=হাচারি,৬=নিজের,৭=চিংড়ি ডিপো, ъ=মুক্ত জলাশয় ৯= অন্যান্য (উল্লেথ করুন)

E 4: Post Stocking/Seedling Activities and Costs in 2011 (২০১১ সালে মজুদ পরবর্তী কর্মকাণ্ড ও থরচ)

| SI NO | Input উপকরন | For shrimp চি॰ড়ির ऊन्य |  | Rice plot in gher ঘেরে ধানের চাষ |  | For dike vegetables পুকুর পাড়ের সক্কির জন্য |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Quantity পরিমাণ | Cost <br> (Tk) <br> মুल্য <br> (ढাকা) | Quantity পরিমাণ | Cost <br> (Tk) <br> মूल্য <br> (টাকা) | Cost (Tk) <br> মুল্য (টাকা) |
|  | Organic Fertilizer \ৈד মার |  |  |  |  |  |
| E4.1 | Cow dung (kg) গোবর (কেজি) |  |  |  |  |  |
| E4.2 | Goat dung (kg) ছাগলের বিসটা (কেজি) |  |  |  |  |  |
| E4.3 | Compost (kg) কমপোস্ট (কেজি) |  |  |  |  |  |
| E4.4 | Other Inputs অन্যান্য উল্লেথ করুণ |  |  |  |  |  |
| E4.5 | Total মোট |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Inorganic Fertilizer অডৈব সার |  |  |  |  |  |
| E4.6 | Urea (kg) ইউরিয়া সার (কেজি) |  |  |  |  |  |
| E4.7 | TSP (kg) টি এস পি সার (কেজি) |  |  |  |  |  |
| E4.8 | $\mathrm{MoP}(\mathrm{kg})$ এম পি সার (কেজি) |  |  |  |  |  |
| E4.9 | $\mathrm{DAP}(\mathrm{kg})$ ডি এ পি (কেজি) |  |  |  |  |  |
| E4.10 | Zink (Kg) জিংক (কেজি) |  |  |  |  |  |
| E4.11 | Other Inputs অন্যান্য উল্লেথ করুণ |  |  |  |  |  |
| E4.12 | Total মোট |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Supplementary feed সম্পুরক খাবার |  |  |  |  |  |
| E4.13 | Rice-bran ( kg ) <br> চালের ভুমি (কেজি) |  |  |  |  |  |
| E4.14 | Wheat-bran (kg) গমের ভুষি (কেজি) |  |  |  |  |  |
| E4.15 | Oil-cake (kg) সরিষার খইল (কেজি) |  |  |  |  |  |


| SINO | Input উপকরন | For shrimp চিংড়ির অन্য |  | Rice plot in gher ঘেরে ধানের চাষ |  | For dike vegetables <br> পুকুর পাড়ের <br> সক্রির অন্য |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Quantity পরিমাণ | Cost <br> (Tk) <br> মুल্য <br> (ढাকা) | Quantity পরিমাণ | Cost <br> (Tk) <br> মুল্য <br> (টাকা) | Cost (Tk) <br> মুল্য (টাকা) |
| E4.16 | Duckweed (kg) ডাক উইদ (কেজি) |  |  |  |  |  |
| E4.17 | Green vegetable ( kg ) সবুজ শাক সক্জি (কেজি) |  |  |  |  |  |
| E4.18 | Fish meal (kg) মৎস্য চूণ্ণ (কেजি) |  |  |  |  |  |
| E4.19 | Animal blood (kg) পশুর রক্ত (কেজি) |  |  |  |  |  |
| E4.20 | Snail meat (kg) <br> শামুকের মাংস (কেজি) |  |  |  |  |  |
| E4.21 | Commercial feed (kg) বানিজ্যিক থাদ্য (কেজি) |  |  |  |  |  |
| E4.22 | Other Inputs অন্যান্য |  |  |  |  |  |
| E4.23 | Total মোট |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lime (kg) চूন (কেজি) |  |  |  |  |  |
| E4.24 | Quick lime (kg) <br> কুইক লাইম (কেজি) |  |  |  |  |  |
| E4.25 | Lime stone ( kg ) দूনা भাথর (কেজি) |  |  |  |  |  |
| E4.26 | Slaked lime ( kg ) <br> স্লাক লাইম (কেজি) |  |  |  |  |  |
| E4.27 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Gypsum (kg) } \\ & \text { जিभ সাম (কেজি) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| E4.28 | Dolomite (kg) <br> ডলোমাইট (কেজি) |  |  |  |  |  |
| E4.29 | Total মোট |  |  |  |  |  |
| E4.30 | Water exchange and management cost (Tk) <br> भানি পরিবর্তন এবং ব্যবস্থাপনা থরচ |  |  |  |  |  |
| E4.31 | Harvesting cost (hired net, contract out or dewatering cost) (Tk) <br> আহরণ থরচ( জাল ভাড়া, চুক্তি, भানি निষ্কাশন) |  |  |  |  |  |
| E4.32 | Selling cost <br> Transport, labor, toll, tax etc বিক্রয়মূল্য ( यানবাহন,দিনমজুর,টটাল,थাজনা) |  |  |  |  |  |

E 5 :Labor Cost for Shrimp Culture and Dike Vegetables Production (2011)
2011 সালে घেরে চিংড়ি চাষ এবং 3 भাড়ে উৎभাদিত শাক সক্কির জন্য মডুর থরচ

| Purpose of use ব্যবহারের | Labor type কर्মীর ধরণ | No. of labor | Total no. of days worked | Average No. of hours worked per | Wage (Tk/day/person) মুজুরি (টাকা/ দিন/ जন) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Cash নগদ | Food/kind |


| উদ্mেশ্য |  | কर्মীর <br> সংथ্যা | মোট कতদিন <br> काज कরেছ্রে <br> ( সব कर्মীন <br> জन्य )  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { day প্রতদিন } \\ & \text { कত घন্টা काज } \\ & \text { করেছ্ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Daily } \\ & \text { দিন } \end{aligned}$ | Monthly মাস | থাবার |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shrimp and prawn culture চিংড়ি চাম | Permanent male স্থाয়ী পুরুষ কর্মী |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Permanent female ग्रा:़ी মহিলা কर्মী |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Daily male দিন মजুর পুরুষ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Daily female দিন মজুর মহিলা |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{lc} \text { Family } & \text { male } \\ \text { भाরিবারিক } & \text { भूরু } \\ \text { कर्মী } & \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rice in <br> gher घেরে <br> धान চাষ | Permanent male স্शाয়ী भুরুষ কर्মী |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Permanent female স্থায়ী মহিলা কर्মী |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Daily male দিন মজুর পুরুষ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Daily female দিন মजুর মহিলা |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{lc} \hline \text { Family } & \text { male } \\ \text { भाরিবারিক } & \text { পুরুু } \\ \text { কর্মী } & \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{lr} \hline \text { Family } & \text { female } \\ \text { भाরিবারিক } & \text { মহিলা } \\ \text { কর্মী } & \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vegetablesin dikeभूকু পাড়েসক্ডি চাষ | Permanent male স্থाয়ী পুরুষ কর্মী |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Permanent female স্ছায়ী মহিলা কর্মী |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Daily male দিন মজুর পুরুষ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Daily female দিন মজুর মহিলা |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Family male |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Purpose of use ব্যবহারের উদ্দেশ্য | Labor type কर्মীর ধরণ | No of labor কर्মীর সংथ্যা | Total no. of days worked <br> মোট কতদিন <br> কাজ করেছ্থে <br> (সব কर्মীর <br> जन्य ) | Average No. of hours worked per day প্রতিদিন কত घन্টা काज করেছে | Wage (Tk/day/person) মুজুরি (টাকা/ দিন/ जন) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Cash নগদ |  | Food/kind খাবার |
|  |  |  |  |  | Daily <br> দিন | Monthly মাস |  |
|  | গারিবারিক পুরুষ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Family female <br> भाরিবারিক <br> মহিলা <br> কर्মী  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Module F: Production of the gher and Its Uses in 2011
2011 সালে ঘেরে থেকে উৎগাদন এবং তার ব্যবহার

| SI NO | Output | Production (Kg) উৎ \াদন (কেজি) |  |  |  |  |  | Total value of product (Tk) মোট <br> উৎপাদিত <br> মূल্য ( টাকা) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total মাট | Consumed থাবার | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Sold } \\ \text { বिक्ञि } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Gifted } \\ \text { উপহার } \end{array}$ | Dried শুকানো | Technical loss কারিগরি ক্তত |  |
| F1 | Bagda বাগদা |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F2 | Golda গলদা |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F3 | Harina /chali shrimp হারিনা/ চালি চিংড়ি |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F4 | Crab (Kakra) কাঁকড়া |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F5 | Rice চाल |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F6 | Dike vegetables <br> পুকুর পাড়ে সক্জি |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F7 | Dyke fruits भুকুর পাড়ে ফল |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F8 | Dyke spices <br> পুকুর পাড়ে মসল্লা |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F9 | Other white fish species অন্যান্য সাদা মাছ্ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F10 | Others (dike trees)  <br> অन्यान्य   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Module G:Cost and Returns of gher dyke vegetables production in 2011
2011 সালে घেরের পারে শাক সক্ডি উৎপাদনে থরচ এবং তা থেকে আয়

| SI NO | Expenditure Items | Quantity পরিমাণ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Value (Tk) } \\ \text { মूल्य ( টাকা) } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G1 | Area of land under vegetables (dec) শাক সক্জি উৎপাদনে ऊমির পরিমাণ (শতাংশ) |  |  |
| G2 | Plaughing চাশাবাদে |  |  |
| G3 | Vegetable seed/sapling শাক সক্রির চারা/ বীজ |  |  |
| G4 | Total no. of days worked by family male (day/year) গারিবারের পুরুষরা মোট কতদিন কাজ করেছে (দিন/বছর) |  |  |
| G5 | Average hours worked by family male (hours/day) গড়ে পারিবারের পুরুষরা কত ঘন্টা কাজ করেছ্ে (ঘন্টা/দিন) |  |  |
| G6 | Total no. of days worked by family female (day/year) भারিবারের মহিলারা মোট কতদিন কাজ করেছে (দিন/বছ্র) |  |  |
| G7 | Average hours worked by family female (hours/day) গড়ে পারিবারের মহিলারা কতঘন্টা কাজ করেছে (ঘন্টা/দিন) |  |  |
| G8 | Total days worked by hired male (day/year) গড়ে পুสুষ মজুন মোট কত দিন কাজ করেছ্ (দিন/বছ্র) |  |  |
| G9 | Total days worked by hired female (day/year) গড়ে মহিলা দিন মডুর মোট কত দিন কাজ করেছ্ (দিন/বছু) |  |  |
| G10 | Urea (kg) ইউরিয়া সার (কেজি) |  |  |
| G11 | TSP (kg) টি এস পি (কেজি) |  |  |
| G12 | DAP (kg) ড্যাপ (কেজি) |  |  |
| G12 | Ash (Kg) ছাই (কেজি) |  |  |
| G13 | Cowdung (kg) গোবর (কেজি) |  |  |
| G14 | Pesticide কীটনাশক |  |  |
| G15 | Other cost (if any) অন্যান্য থরজ ( यদি থাকে) |  |  |
|  | Income items: |  |  |
| G16 | Total production (Kg) মোট উৎ rাদন (কেজি) |  |  |
| G17 | Total consumed (Kg) মোট থাবার (কেতি) |  |  |
| G18 | Total sold (Kg) মোট বিক্রি (কেতি) |  |  |
| G19 | Total gifted and others (Kg) মোট উপহার (কেজি) |  |  |

Module H: Information on Fish Consumption and Sources পরিবারের মাছের উৎস ও থাদ্য গ্রহণের তথ্য H 1:. List the Fish Species Consumed in the Last 3 Days and Amount of Each Fish Consumed গত 3 দিনে আপনার পরিবারে কি কি মাছ কি পরিমান থেয়েছে?

| Species consumed <br> প्रजाতির নाম |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total <br> মোট |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Quantity consumed <br> (Kg) <br> (কেजि) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

H 2: List the Source of the Fish Your HH Consumed in the Last 3 Days and Quantity From Each Source
গত তিন দিনে আপনার থানার সদস্যরা যে সকল মাছ থেয়েছেন তার উৎস ও পরিমাণ সম্পক্কে বলুন

| Fish source মাছের উৎস | Own <br> Ponds <br> নিজস্ব মের থেকে | Purchased in market বাজার থেকে ক্য় করা | Self caught (from own rice field) निजम्य ধাन থেত থেকে ধরা | Self-caught (from open water body) মুক্ত জলাশয় থেকে ধরা | Gift from friend or relative বন্ধু বান্ধব অथবা आম্মীয় স্বজন থেকে পাওয়া | Other (specify) অन्যान्य (উल्লেথ कরুन) | Total মোট |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quantity consumed (Kg) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Module I: Knowledge Attitude and Practice of Improved Fish Cultivation Technology in 2011
২০১১ সালে চিংড়ি চাষে উন্নত ব্যবস্থাপনা প্রযুক্তি বিষয়ক ও প্রয়োগ বিষয়ক তথ্যাদি

| Q \# | Improved shrimp cultivation management technology উন্নত প্রयুক্তিতে চিংড়ি চামে ব্যবস্থাপনা | Standard practice সুभाরিশকৃত মাত্রা | Knowledge (1=know 2=don't know) জ্ঞান ( $\mathrm{s}=\mathrm{G}$ Iनि, ২=जানিনা) | Practice ব্যবহারের মাত্রা | If know, reasons for non- <br> practice ${ }^{3}$ <br> यদি আানে <br> তবে অনুশীলন <br> না कরার <br> কারন | No. of other farms used this technologies learnt from you আभনার কাছ্র থেকে শিথে আর কতগুলো ফার্ম এই प्रयूক্তি ব্যবহার করেন |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I1 | Testing natural food adequacy in water भানির রং পনীষা করে খাবারের পর্মা\|্ডততা নিণ্য়্র | Required |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Maintaining stock density <br> মজুদের ঘনত্ব বজায় রাथা | $100-200 \mathrm{PL}$ per decimal |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | Species selection সঠिক প্রজাতি নির্বাচন করা | required |  |  |  |  |
| I4 | Weed control আগাছা দমন দমন | Required |  |  |  |  |
| I5 | Liming हूন দেওয়া | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5 \text { to } 1.5 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & \text { per dec } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| I6 | Providing supplementary feed সম্পুরক থাদ্য সরবরাহ | Required based on sampling |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Employing fish disease management | Required |  |  |  |  |


| Q \# | Improved shrimp cultivation management technology উন্নত প্রयুক্তিতে চিংড়ি চামে ব্যবস্থাপনা | Standard practice সুপারিশকৃত মাত্রা | Knowledge (1=know 2=don't know) জ্ঞান ( $\mathrm{s}=\mathrm{G}$ াनि, ২=जানিনা) | Practice <br> ব্যবহারের মাত্রা | If know, reasons for non- <br> practice ${ }^{3}$ <br> यদি জানে <br> তবে অনুभীলন <br> না করার <br> কারন | No. of other farms used this technologies learnt from you <br> আপনার কাছ্ থেকে শিথে আর কতগुलো ফার্ম এই श्रयूক্তি ব্যবহার করেন |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | মাছের রোগ ব্যবস্থাপনা |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Health monitoring রোগ পর্যবেকণ | Required |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | Growth monitoring বৃদ্ধি পর্যবেফণ | Required |  |  |  |  |
| I10 | Post harvest handling আহরনত্তোর পরিচর্মা | Required |  |  |  |  |
| 111 | Use quality seeds উন্নত পোনা ব্যবহার | required |  |  |  |  |
| 112 |  | Required |  |  |  |  |
| 113 | Dike vegetables <br> practice भूকুরभাড়ে সক্কি <br> চাম  | Required |  |  |  |  |
| consensus among multiple owners; $6=$ others (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Module J: Problems and Constraints সমস্যা ও प्रতিবন্ধকতা (শ্ডধু উত্তরদাতার জন্য প্রশোও্য)

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SI } \\ & \text { NO } \end{aligned}$ | Problems/ Constraints সমস্যা ও প্রতিবন্ধকতা |  | Measures overcome taken সমস্যা to (roblem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| J1 | Short of quality seed মান সম্মত প্রजনন ফ্ মাছের অপর্যাা্ডুতা |  |  |
| J2 | Social problem <br> poisoning, <br> ownership)(theft, <br> multipleসামাडিক সমস্যা ( চোর, বियপ্রয়োগ, (োথ মালিকানা) |  | ```1= Increased security guard 1= भाহারাদে木 সংथ্যা <ৃদ্ধি 2= Awareness campaign 2= সচে৩নতা বৃদ্ধি করণ 3= 4=``` |
| J3 | Credit problem ঋণ সম্পর্কিত সমম্যা |  | 1= Easy access to association/cooperatives 1= সমবায় / সংগঠনের প্রাঞ্ত সুবিধা ভোগ $2=$ $3=$ |
| J4 | White spot syndrome virus সাদা দাগ ভাইরাস (মাছের রোগ) |  | 1=Improved culture environment <br> 1=চাষের পরিবেশ উন্নত করা 2=Improved culture management practices $2=চ$ ब ব্যবস্থাभনা উন্নত করা $3=$ Use of disease free quality seed 3=রোগমুক্ত উন্নত মানের পোনা ব্যবহার 4=Increased consciousness to avoid contamination <br> 4=সংহ্রামন পরিহারে সচেতন তা বৃদ্ধি করা <br> $5=$ <br> $6=$ |
| J5 | Natural calamities প্রাকৃতিক দুর্শোগ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1= \\ & 2= \\ & 3= \end{aligned}$ |
| J6 | Financial problems অর্থননতিক সমস্যা |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1= \\ & 2= \\ & 3= \\ & 4= \end{aligned}$ |
| J7 | High input cost থাদ্যের উষ্চ মূল্য |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1= \\ & 2= \end{aligned}$ |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SI } \\ & \text { NO } \end{aligned}$ | Problems/ Constraints সমস্যা ও প্রতিবন্ধকতা | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Intensity } \\ & \text { (1=Less, } \\ & 2=\text { moderate, } 3=\text { High, } \\ & 4=\text { None }) \\ & \text { মাহা } \quad(1=\text { कम, } 2=\text { মধ্যম, } \\ & 3=উ ष ् ष, ~ \\ & 4=\text { किছूই না }) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3= \\ & 4= \end{aligned}$ |
| J8 | Water pollution (gas, bloom, bottom slug) भানির দূষণ (গ্যাস, ক্লম, তলার কাদা) |  | 1=Adjusted feeding <br> 1=थাবার নিয়ন্তন <br> $2=$ water exchange practiced <br> $2=$ भानि বদল অনুশীলন <br> 3=Avoid pollution sources <br> 3=দুষন উৎস পরিত্যাগ 4= |
| J9 | Technical loss (soft shell) টেকনিক্যাল লস |  |  |

# গোপনীয় 

শুধুমাত্র গবেষণার কাজে ব্যবহার করা হবে

# ওয়ার্ড্ডফিস সেন্টার বাংলাদেশ এফটিএফ এ্যাকুয়াকালচার প্রজেষ্ট 

## বেইজলাইন জরিপ ২০১২

# বাণিজ্যিক মеস্য চাষ প্রন্নপ্্র 

জরিপ পরিচালনায়
ডাটা ম্যানেজমেন্ট এইড

ইউএসএআইডি’র অর্থায়নে বাংলাদেশ সরকারের সহায়তায় ওয়ার্ল্ডফিস সেন্টার পরিচালিত এফটিএফ এ্যাকুয়াকালচার প্রজেক্টের সহযোগীতায় পরিচালিত

## জরিপে অংশগ্রহনের সম্মতিপত্র

ফিড দ্যা ফিউচার ওয়াল্ড ফিস সেন্টার পরিচালিত ইউএসএআইডি’র অর্থায়নে ও বাংলাদেশ সরকারের সহযোগিতায় একটি প্রকল্প। এটি ৫(পাচ) বছরের জন্য হস্তান্তর যোগ্য প্রকল্প যা কিনা বাংলাদেশের ঢাকা, શুলনা ও বরিশাল বিভাগের দক্ষিনাঞ্ছলের ২০টি जেলায় বাস্তবায়ন হচ্ছে। প্রকল্পটি টেকসই জুধা ও দারিদ্রতা নিরসনে ফিড দ্যা ফিউচার এর উদ্দেশ্য বাম্তবায়নে কাজ করছ্ে।

যে সকল এলাকায় প্রকল্পের কাজ পরিচালিত হবে সে সকল এলাকার উপর ডাটা ম্যানেজমেন্ট এইড এর মাধ্যমে একটি বেইজলাইন অরিপ পরিচালনা করছ্রে।
এই জরিপের মাধ্যমে এফটিএফ মাছ চামের প্রচলিত কৌশল ভালভাবে অবগত হয়ে বর্তমান ও ভবিষ্যতের কর্ম কৌশল নির্ধারণ করতে সাহায্য করবে।

আপনার নাম ও দেয়া সকল তথ্য সম্প্পুন গোপনীয় রাথা হবে এবং শুধুমাত্র গবেষনার কাজে ব্যবহৃত হবে।

আপনার অংশ গ্রহন সম্পূর্ণ ইষ্ছাকৃত। উত্তর দেয়া না দেয়া সম্পুর্ন আপনার ইষ্ছা। তবুও আমরা আশা করি আপনি এই জরিপে অংশ গ্রহন করবেন কারণ আপনার মন্ত্য্য এই জরিপের জন্য থুবই গুরুত্বপূণ্ণ।

এথন আপনি ওরিপ সম্মন্ধে যে কোন প্রশ্ন করতে পারেন।
আমি কি এখন আপনাকে প্রশ্ন করা শুরু করতে পারি ?
श्राँ $=1$
ना $=2$
সাফাতকার গ্রহণকারী

## Module A: Identification of the Sample

| SI NO | Farmer's ID থামারির[পরিচিতি | Name [1] | Code ■ (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A1 | Name of farmer থামারির নাম |  |  |
| A2 | Father's/husband 's name পিতা/স্বামীর নাম |  |  |
| A3 | Name of household head থানা প্রধানের নাম |  |  |
| A4 | District [ ${ }^{\text {] }}$ |  |  |
| A5 |  |  |  |
| A6 | Union $\mathrm{Cm} \mathrm{l}^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| A7 | Ward उয়ার্ড |  |  |
| A8 | Village P [1] |  |  |
| A9 | Household number থানা নাম্বার |  |  |
| A10 | Are you a selected farmer of the FtF Aquaculture Project? আপনি कि FtF একুয়াকালচার প্রকল্পের একজন সদস্য? <br>  |  |  |
| A11 | Date of interview তথ্য সংগ্রহের \]lull |  |  |
| A12 | Interviewer সাফ্যাতকার গ্রহণকারীর নাম |  |  |
| A13 | Name of Supervisor সুপারভাইজারের নাম |  |  |

Module B: Household Member Profile পরিবারের সদস্যদের তথ্য

| Sl no. ক্রমিক নং | Relationship with farmer থামারির সাথে সম্পর্ক | Sex <br> (M <br> F/T) <br> লিঙ্গ <br> भুঃ/ <br> মঃ/হিঃ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Age } \\ \text { বয়़ } \end{array} \\ \hline \text { Year } \\ \hline \text { বছর } \end{array}$ | Month মাস |  | Main occupation প্রধান পেশা | Subsidiary occupation অন্য পেশা |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. Farmer থামারি |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Codes: Relatio | nship | Codes | : Occu | pation |  |  |  |
| 16. Husband <br> 17. Wife <br> 18. Son <br> 19. Daughter <br> 20. Father <br> 21. Mother <br> 22. Brother <br> 24. Mother-inlaw <br> 25. Father-in law <br> 26. Son-in-law <br> 27. Daughter-in-law <br> 28. Grand son <br> 29. Grand daughter <br> 30. Others |  | 16. H <br> 17. <br> 18. Bi <br> 19. S <br> 20. D <br> 21. R <br> 22. A <br> 23. H <br> 24. P <br> 25. S <br> 26. U <br> 27. R <br> 28. O <br> 29. O <br> 30. F | ouse wife rvice $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mediu}$ nall busin y labor ckshaw/V griculture ndicrafts রুশিল্পী, ofessiona dent nemploye tired / M d (Age > hers (spe h culture | গৃহিীী চাকুরী Business ess ছ্োট দিন মজুন্ন an driver (Own/shar Carpenter काঠমিহ্রি, ( Doctor, (ডাক্তার, ছাa d বেকার inor child 60 years) cify) অन্যা | মাঝারী/ <br> व्यবসा <br> রিক্সা/ ভান e cropper) <br> , Mason and নাজমিল্তি এবং engineer, ad জিনিয়ার,আই <br> অবসর প্রাণ্তু বৃদ্দ(৬০ বচ ন্য(উল্লেথ কর্র | ব্যবসা <br> চালক <br> মি (নিজ/ বর্গা ther self empl অन्यान्य স্বकর্ম ocate) डীবী) <br> ছোট শিশু রের উপরে) न) |  |


| B1 | Did any of your farm receive any training on fish culture during the last three years? ( $1=$ yes ; 2=no) <br> আপনার খামারের কোন সদস্য গত ৩ বছ্রে মাছ চাষের উপর কোন প্রশিফ্ণ গেয়েছেন কি? (1=হ্যাঁ, 2=না) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B2 | If yes, What is the total number of training received within that period <br> यদি হ্যাঁ হয় তবে গত ৩ বছরের মধ্যে কতটি প্রশিফণ নিয়েছ্নেন? | $\begin{aligned} & \ldots . . \text { No } \\ & \ldots . . . \text { টি } \end{aligned}$ |

Land ownership জমির মালিকানা

| SI No | Land type অমির ধরন | Cultivated last year (2011) গত বছরের চাষ কৃত জমি |  |  | Leased/mortgage out (decimal) ইজারা দেওয়া অমি ( শতাংশ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. of plots কয়টি প্লট | Total cultivated (decimal) মোট চাষকৃত ( শতাংশ) | Leased/mortgage in (dec) <br> ইজারা নেওয়া জমি ( শতাংশ) |  |
| B3 | All ghers/ponds ঘের/পুকুর |  |  |  |  |
| B4 | Cultivable land (crop/vegetable) চামকৃত জমি ( শস্য, তরকারি) |  |  |  |  |
| B5 | Homestead area (without pond) <br> বসত ভিটার জমির পরিমান |  |  |  |  |
| B6 | Homestead vegetables/fruits বাড়ির আঙ্গিনায় সক্জি/ফল বাগান |  |  |  |  |
| B7 | Bamboo/wood garden বাঁশঝাড়/ গাছ |  |  |  |  |
| B8 | Others (specify) <br> অন্যান্য (উল্লেথ করুন) |  |  |  |  |

Module C: Annual Household Income थানার বাৎসরিক আয়

| Sl.No | Source of income আয়ের উৎস | Gross income (Tk/year) মোট আয় ( টাকা/বৎ সর) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1 | Field Crops and vegetables মাঠে উৎ পাদিত শষ্য ও সद্জী |  |
| C2 | Livestock and poultry (meat, milk, egg) পশু ও ছাঁস মুরগী (মাংস, দুধ,ডিম) |  |
| C3 | Homestead gardening (vegetables) বাড়ীর আঙ্গিনায় সক্কি |  |
| C4 | Homestead forest, trees, flowering বাড়ির আঙ্গিনায় গাছ ও ফুলফলাদি |  |
| C5 | Aquaculture (shrimp and fish produced) মৎস্য চাষ (চিংড়ি ও মাছ উৎপাদন) |  |
| C6 | Other fisheries(Fish business,harvesting from river and canal) অন্যান্য মাছ (মাছ ব্যবসা, নদী ও থাল থেকে আহরন) |  |
| C7 | Water pump rented out পানির মেশিন ভাড়া বাবদ |  |
| C8 | Power tiller and/or plough renting পাওয়ার টিলার এবং লাঙ্গ ভাড়া বাবদ |  |
| C9 | Fishing net renting মাছের জাল ভাড়া বাবদ |  |
| C10 | Labor selling (farmer himself \& household members) শ্রম বিক্রয় (কৃষক নিজে বা পরিবারের সদম্যরা) |  |
| C11 | Services (Govt. and private job of farmer himself \& household members) <br> চাকুরী ( সরকারী/বেসরকারি চাকুরী, কৃষক নিজে বা পরিবারের সদস্যরা) |  |
| C12 | Business (medium and large scale) ব্যাবসা ( মধ্যd এবং বড়) |  |
| C13 | Small trading / small grocery shop ছোট ব্যাবসা / ছোট মুদির দোকান |  |
| C14 | Tempo/van/rickshaw /motorcycle renting টেম্পু, ভ্যান/রিক্সা/মোটর সাইকেল ভাড়া বাবদ |  |
| C15 | Remittance (in country and abroad) রেমিটেঞ্ (দেশের ভিতর/বিদেশ থেকে) |  |
| C16 | Land leased and/or mortgage out জমি ইজারা এবং বন্ধক (থকে |  |
| C17 | Others (Please specify) অন্যান্য ( উল্লেথ করুন) |  |
|  |  |  |

Module D: Description of Ponds and Cultural Practices
মাছ চামের পুকুরের ও গদ্ধতির বিবরণ

| Q\# | Questions 9 ¢ ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Response উত্তর |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D1 | Total project/specific pond area (water+dike) (dec) (HH pond size 5 to 20 dec ) প্রজেক্টের বা নিদিষ্ট পুকুরের আয়তন (গানি+পাড়) (শতাংশ) |  |
| D2 | Water surface area of project/specific pond (dec) প্রজেক্টের বা নিদিষ্ট পুকুরের পানির আয়তন (শতাংশ) |  |
| D3 | Dike area of project/specific pond(decimal) প্রজেক্টের বা নিদিষ্ট পুকুরের পাড়ের আয়তন (শতাংশ) |  |
| D4 | Water surface area of the pond shaded by trees (\%) পুকুরের গানির কত অংশ গাছের ছায়া দ্বারা আবৃত (\%) |  |
| D5 | Ownership status of the pond <br> ( $1=$ single ; $2=$ joint ; $3=$ singly leased; $4=$ jointly leased) <br> পুকুরের মালিকানা: 1=নিজে ; 2=বৌথ ; 3=9কক ইজারা; 4=বৌথ ইজারা |  |
| D6 | If multiple ownership, please mention the number of owners যৌথ মালিকানা হলে কতजন? |  |
| D7 | Average water depth of the pond in culture season(feet) চাষ মৌসুমে গড়ে পুকুরের পানির গভীরতা কত থাকে (ফিট) |  |
| D8 | No. of months water retains for fish culture in the pond? মাছ চাষের জন্য পুকুরে কতমাস গানি থাকে? |  |
| D9 | How many years have you been involved in fish farming? কত বছর যাবৎ মাছ্চাম করছ্রেন? |  |
| D10 | How many years ago was the pond dag,/prepared? পুকুরটি কত বৎসর আগে থনন করা হয়েছ্লি? |  |
| D11 | Soil type of the pond <br> (1=Loamy, 2=Clay, 3=Sandy, 4= Sandy loam, 5=Clay loam, <br> $6=$ Silty, $7=$ Silty loam, $8=o$ thers (specify) <br> পুকুরের মাটির ধরন <br> (1=ᄃদোআশ, $2=$ কাঁদা, $3=$ বালি, $4=$ বেলে দোআঁশ, $5=9 ট ে ল ~ দ ে া আ ঁ শ, ~ 6=প ল ি, ~, ~$ <br> 7= পলি দোআঁশ, 8=অন্যান্য (উল্লেথ করুন) |  |

## Module E: Fixed Cost in the Pond

2011 সালে নির্ধারিত পুকুরে মূলধন বিনিয়োগ থরচ

|  | Items ধরন | No. নং | Total value/cost (Tk) মোট <br> মুল্য/থরচ ( টাকা) | Economic life (year) কার্यকাল ( বছর) | \% used for the pond/gher <br> পুকুরের বা ঘেরের <br> কাজে কত অংশ <br> ব্যবহার হয় (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D |
| E1 | Pond lease value পুকুরের ইজারা মুল্য |  |  |  |  |
| E2 | Bamboo/wood/rope বাঁশ/কাঠ/দড়ি |  |  |  |  |
| E3 | Shallow tubewell/pump শ্যালো টিউবওয়েল/পাম্প |  |  |  |  |
| E4 | Spade/sickle etc, কোদাল/কাঁচি/দা ইত্যাদি |  |  |  |  |
| E5 | Drum/box/fishing trap ড্রাম/বক্স/মাছ ধরার ফাঁদ |  |  |  |  |
| E6 | Boat/tube ননীকা/টিউব |  |  |  |  |
| E7 | Net (harvesting) <br> জাল (মাছ ধরার জন্য) |  |  |  |  |
| E8 | Blue net (Hapa and fence) ব্লুনেট ( হাপা এবং বেড়া) |  |  |  |  |
| E9 | Others অन्যান্য ( উল্লেথ করুন) |  |  |  |  |

Module F: Pre-Stocking/Pre-Seedling Pond Preparation and Input Costs in 2011
2011 সালে পোনা মজুদপূর্ব পুকুর প্রস্তুতকরন ও উপকরণ থরচ

| Q | Input <br> ব্যবহার সামগ্রী | For fish or prawn মাছ অথবা গলদা চিংডি |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Quantity পরিমান | ```Total cost (Tk) মোট মুল্য( টাকा)``` |
|  |  | A | B |
|  | Organic Fertilizer ডৈৈব সার |  |  |
| F1 | Cow dung (kg) গোবর (কেজি) |  |  |
| F2 | Goat dung (kg) ছাগলের বিষ্ঠা (কেজি) |  |  |
| F3 | Compost (kg) কমপোস্ট (কেজি ) |  |  |
| F4 | Other (specify) (kg) অন্যান্য ( কেজি) |  |  |
| F5 | Total Organic Fertilizer মোট |  |  |
|  | Inorganic Fertilizer অজৈব সার |  |  |
| F6 | Urea (kg) ইউরিয়া (কেজি ) |  |  |
| F7 | TSP (kg) টি,এস,পি ( কেজি ) |  |  |
| F8 | MoP (kg) এমপি ( কেজি) |  |  |
| F9 | Total মোট |  |  |
|  | Lime (kg) চুন ( কেজি ) |  |  |
| F10 | Quick lime (kg) কুইক লাইম ( কেজি) |  |  |
| F11 | Slaked lime (kg) স্লাকড লাইম ( কেজি) |  |  |
| F12 | Lime stone (kg) পাথর চুন (কেজি) |  |  |
| F13 | Gypsum (kg) জিপসাম (কেজি) |  |  |
| F14 | Dolomite (kg) ডলোমাইট ( কেজি ) |  |  |
| F15 | Total মোট |  |  |
|  | Others Chemicals Use অন্যান্য রাসায়নিক দ্রব্য ব্যবহার |  |  |
| F16 | Rotenone (g) রোটেনন ( গ্রাম) |  |  |
| F17 | Phostoxin (g) एসটক্সিন ( গ্রাম) |  |  |
| F18 | Sumithion (ml) সুমিথিয়ন ( গ্রাম) |  |  |
| F19 | Thiodin (ml) থায়োডিন ( গ্রাম) |  |  |
| F20 | Bleaching (kg) ब্লিচিং পাউডার (কেজি) |  |  |
| F21 | Dipterax (g) ডিপটারেক্স (গ্রাম) |  |  |
| F22 | Others (g/ml) অন্যান্য ( গ্রাম/ মিলিলিটার) |  |  |
| F23 | Total মোট |  |  |
|  | Other Inputs অন্যান্য সামগ্রী |  |  |
| F24 | Rent cost for plaughing/power tiller গাওয়ার টিলার/লাঙ্গল ভাডা |  |  |
| F25 | Total মোট |  |  |

Module G:Stocking/seedling Costs in 2011 (2011 সালে পোনা মজুদ থরচ)

| SINo | Species প্রজাতী | Nos | Kg | Total cost | Source* |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  |  | সংথ্যা | কেজি | (Tk) মোট <br> মূল্য ( টাকা) | উৎস |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G1 | Rui রুই |  |  |  |  |
| G2 | Catla কাতলা |  |  |  |  |
| G3 | Mrigel মৃগেল |  |  |  |  |
| G4 | Silver carp সিলভার কার্প |  |  |  |  |
| G5 | Grass carp গ্রাস কার্প |  |  |  |  |
| G6 | Common carp কমন কার্প |  |  |  |  |
| G7 | Mirror carp মিরর কার্প |  |  |  |  |
| G8 | Thai Shorputi রাজপুটি |  |  |  |  |
| G9 | Thai Pangas থাই গাঙ্গাশ |  |  |  |  |
| G10 | GIFT গিফট |  |  |  |  |
| G11 | Tilapia/Nilotica তেলাপিয়া |  |  |  |  |
| G12 | Mola/Dhela/Tengra মলা/ডেলা/টেংরা |  |  |  |  |
| G13 | Other white fish seed অন্যান্য সাদা মাছের পোনা |  |  |  |  |
| G14 | Golda PL গলদার পোনা |  |  |  |  |
| G15 | Vegetables/spices seed in dyke পুকুর পাড়ে সক্জি/মসল্লা বীজ |  |  |  |  |

Source*(1=Private nursery, 2=Govt nursery, 3=patilwala/faria, 4=other famer, 5=hatchery, 6=own raised, $7=$ depot, $8=$ Wild, $9=$ Others)

উৎসঃ 1=ব্যক্তিমালিকানাধীন নার্সারি, 2=সরকারি নার্সারি, 3=গাতিলওয়ালা/ফরিয়া, 4=অন্যथামারি, 5=হ্যাচারী, 6=নিজের, 7=ডিপো, 8=মুক্ত জলাশয় 9= অন্যান্য (উল্লেথ করুন)

Module H: Dike Cultivation and Post Stocking Management Costs in 2011
(2011 সালে পুকুরপাড়ে চাষ ও মজুদ পরবর্তী ব্যবস্থাপনা ব্যয়)

| SI No | Input উপকরণ সমুহ | For fish or prawn মাছু অথবা গলদা চিংড়ি চাষের জন্য |  | For dike vegetables পুকুর গাড়ে সক্জি চামের জন্য |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Quantity পরিমাণ | Cost <br> (Tk) <br> মूল্য <br> (টাকা) | Cost (Tk) <br> মূল্য (টাকা) |
|  | Organic Fertiliser: জৈব সার |  |  |  |
| H1 | Cow dung (kg) গোবর |  |  |  |
| H2 | Poultry Droppings (kg) ছাঁস-মুরগীর বিষ্ঠা |  |  |  |
| H3 | Goat dung (kg) ছাগলের বিষ্ঠা |  |  |  |
| H4 | Compost (kg) কমপোস্ট |  |  |  |
| H5 | Others (g/ml) অন্যান্য ( গ্রাম/ মিলিলিটার) |  |  |  |
| H6 | Total মোট |  |  |  |


| SI No | Input উপকরণ সমুহ | For fish or prawn মাছ্ অথবা গলদা চিংড়ি চাষের জন্য |  | For $\quad$ dike vegetables পুকুর পাড়ে সক্জি চামের জন্য |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Quantity পরিমাণ | Cost <br> (Tk) <br> মूल্য <br> (টাকা) | Cost (Tk) মূল্য (টাকা) |
|  | Inorganic Fertiliser: জৈব সার |  |  |  |
| H7 | Urea (kg) ইউরিয়া (কেজি) |  |  |  |
| H8 | TSP (kg) টি,এস,পি ( কেজি) |  |  |  |
| H9 | MoP (kg) এম পি (কেজি) |  |  |  |
| H10 | DAP (kg) ডি এ পি (কেজি) |  |  |  |
| H11 | Zink (Kg) জিঙ্ক (কেজি ) |  |  |  |
| H12 | Others অন্যান্য উল্লেথ করুণ |  |  |  |
| H13 | Total মোট |  |  |  |
|  | Supplementary feed:সম্পুরক থাবার |  |  |  |
| H14 | Rice-bran (kg) চালের ভুষি |  |  |  |
| H15 | Wheat-bran (kg) গমের ভুসি (কেজি) |  |  |  |
| H16 | Oil-cake (kg) থখল ( কেজি) |  |  |  |
| H17 | Duckweed (kg) ডাক উইড ( কেজি) |  |  |  |
| H18 | Green vegetable (kg) সবুজ্র শাক সব্জি |  |  |  |
| H19 | Fish meal (kg) মৎস্য চূর্ণ |  |  |  |
| H20 | Animal blood (kg) পশুর রক্ত |  |  |  |
| H21 | Snail meat (kg) শ |  |  |  |
| H22 | Commercial feed (kg) বাণিজ্যিক থাবার |  |  |  |
| H23 | Others অন্যান্য উল্লেথ করুণ |  |  |  |
| H24 | Total মোট |  |  |  |
|  | Lime (kg) চুন |  |  |  |
| H25 | Quick lime (kg) কুইক লাইম |  |  |  |
| H26 | Lime stone (kg) পাথর চুন |  |  |  |
| H27 | Slaked lime (kg) স্লাক লাইম |  |  |  |
| H28 | Gypsum (kg) জিপসাম |  |  |  |
| H29 | Dolomite (kg) ডলমাইট ( কেজি) |  |  |  |
| H30 | Others অন্যান্য |  |  |  |
| H31 | Total মোট |  |  |  |
| H32 | Water exchange and management cost (Tk) গানি পরিবর্তন ও ব্যাবস্থাপনা থরচ(টাকা) |  |  |  |
| H33 | Harvesting cost (hired net, contract out or dewatering cost) (Tk) <br> মাছ আহরনের থরচ (জাল ভাড়া, চুক্তি, গানি সেচ বাবদ, শ্রমিক থরচ) |  |  |  |
| H34 | Selling cost <br> (Transport, labor, toll, tax etc) <br> বিক্রয় ব্যয় ( यানবাহান, মজুদ,টোল,ট্যাক্স) |  |  |  |

Module I: Labor Cost for Fish Culture and Dyke Vegetables Production (2011)
২০১১ সালে মৎ স্য চাষ ও পুকুর পাড়ে কৃষি কাজে ব্যবহৃত শ্রমিক বিবরণ ও ব্যয়

| Purpose of use ব্যবহারের উদ্দেশ্য | Labor type <br> কর্মীর ধরণ | No. of labor কর্মীর সংথ্যা | Total no. of days worked মোট <br> কতদিন <br> काज <br> করেছে <br> ( সব <br> কर्মীর <br> জন্য ) | Average No. of hours worked per day প্রতিদিন <br> কত ঘন্টা <br> কাজ <br> করেছে | Wage (Tk/day/person) <br> মুজুরি (টাকা/ দিন/ জন) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Cash | নগদ | Food/kind থাবার |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Daily } \\ & \text { দিন } \end{aligned}$ | Monthly মাস |  |
| Fish culture মাছ চাষে | Permanent male স্থाয়ী পুরুষ কर्मो |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Permanent <br> female <br> স্থায়ী মহিলা <br> কर्मী |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Daily male দিন মজুর পুরুষ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Daily female <br> দিন মজুর <br> মহিলা |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Family male গারিবারিক <br> পুরুষ কর্মী |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Family <br> female <br> গারিবারিক <br> মহিলা কর্মী |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Module J: Production from the Commercial Fish Pond and Its Disposal in 2011
2011 সালে পুকুর থেকে প্রাগ্ত উৎ পাদন ও ব্যবহার

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SI } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Output উৎপাদন | Production (Kg) উৎগাদন (কেজি) |  |  |  |  |  | Total value of product (Tk) মোট মূল্য (টাকা) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total মোট | Consumed থাবার | Sold বিক্রি | Gifted উপহার | Dried শুকানো | Technical lost <br> টেকনিক্যাল লস |  |
| J1 | Golda গলদা |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J2 | Rui রুই |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J3 | Catla কাতলা |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J4 | Mrigal মৃগেল |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J5 | Silver carp সিলভার কার্থ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J6 | Grass carp গ্রাস কার্গ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J7 | Common carp কমন কার্প |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J8 | Mirror carp মিরর কার্প |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J9 | Thai Shorputi থাই সরপুঁটি |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J10 | Thai Pangus থাই পাঙ্গাশ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J11 | GIFT গিফট |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J12 | Tilapia/Nilotica তেলাপিয়া/নাইলোটিকা |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J13 | Mola/Dhela/Tengra মলা/ডেলা/টেঃরা |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J14 | Dike vegetables পুকুর পারের শাক সক্জি |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J15 | Dike fruits পাড়ের ফল |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J16 | Dike spices পাড়ের <br> মশল্লা  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J17 | Other white fish species অন্যান্য সাদা মাছ্রের প্রজাতি |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J18 | Dike trees and others অন্যান্য (গাড়ের গাছ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Module K: Cost and Returns of DikeVegetables Production in 2011 2011 সালে সক্জি উৎ পাদন ব্যয় ও আয়

| SI No | Cost items ব্যয়ের থাত | Quantity পরিমাণ | Total Value (Tk) (मान |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K1 | Area of land under vegetables (dec) সক্জী চাষকৃত জমির পরিমান (শতক) |  |  |
| K2 | Plaughing লাঙ্গল দিয়ে চাষ |  |  |
| K3 | Vegetable seed/sapling সক্জিবীজ / চারা |  |  |
| K4 | Total no. of days worked by family male (day/year) গারিবারের পুরুষরা মোট কতদিন কাজ করেছ্েে (দিন/বছ্রর) |  |  |
| K5 | Average hours worked by family male (hours/day) গড়ে পারিবারের পুরুষরা কত ঘন্টা কাজ করেছ্েে (ঘন্টা/দিন) |  |  |
| K6 | Total no. of days worked by family female (day/year) গারিবারের মহিলারা মোট কতদিন কাজ করেছ্ছে (দিন/বছ্র) |  |  |
| K7 | Average hours worked by family female (hours/day) গডে গারিবারের মহিলারা কতঘন্টা কাজ করেছে (ঘন্টা/দিন) |  |  |
| K8 | Total days worked by hired male (day/year) গড়ে পুরুষ মজুর মোট কত দিন কাজ করেছ্েে (দিন/বছর) |  |  |
| K9 | Total days worked by hired female (day/year) <br> গড়ে মহিলা দিন মজুর মোট কত দিন কাজ করেছ্ছে (দিন/বছর) |  |  |
| K10 | Urea ইউরিয়া ( সাদা সার) (কেজি) |  |  |
| K11 | TSP টি এস পি (ফসফেট সার) (কেজি) |  |  |
| K12 | DAP (kg) ডি এ পি (কেজি) |  |  |
| K13 | Ash (Kg) ছাই (কেজি ) |  |  |
| K14 | Cow dung (kg) গোবর ( কেজি ) |  |  |
| K15 | Pesticide বালাইনাশক |  |  |
| K16 | Other cost (if any) অন্যান্য ( যদি থাকে) |  |  |
|  | Output উৎ গাদন |  |  |
| K17 | Total production (Kg) মোট উৎপাদন (কেজি) |  |  |
| K18 | Total consumed (Kg) মোট খাওয়া ( কেজি) |  |  |
| K19 | Total sold (Kg) মোট বিক্রি ( কেজি) |  |  |
| K20 | Total gifted and others (Kg) <br> মোট উপহার হিসাবে দেয়া ও অন্যান্য (কেজি) |  |  |

Module L: Information on Consumption and Sources of fish use in the Household
L1: List the Fish Species Consumed in the Last 3 Days and Amount of Each Fish Consumed গত ৩ দিনে আপনার পরিবারের কি কি মাছ কত পরিমানে থেয়েছ্নেন?

| Species consumed <br> প্রजाতির নাম |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Quantity consumed <br> (Kg) थाওয়ার গরিমান <br> (কেজি ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

L2: List the Source of the Fish Your HH Consumed in the Last 3 Days and Quantity From Each Source
গত ৩ দিনে পরিবারের সদস্যরা যে সকল মাছ থেয়েছ্নেন এর উৎস ভিত্তিক পরিমান সম্পর্কে তথ্যাদি?

| Fish source মাছের উৎস | Own <br> Ponds <br> নিজের <br> भুকুর | Purchased from market বাজার থেকে ক্রয়কৃত | Self <br> caught <br> (from <br> own rice <br> field) <br> নিজের ধান <br> ক্ষেত থেকে <br> সংগৃহীত | Self- <br> caught <br> (from <br> open <br> water <br> body) <br> মুক্ত জলাশয় <br> থেকে নিজের <br> দ্বারা <br> আহরিত | Gift from friend or relative বन्ধু বা আম্মীয় স্বজনের কাছ থেকে পাওয়া | Other (specify) অন্যান্য (উল্লেথ করুন) | Total <br> (kg) <br> মোট <br> ( কেজি) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quantity consumed (Kg) থাওয়ার পরিমান (কেজি) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Module M: Knowledge Attitude and Practice of Improved Fish Cultivation Technology
This module measures the number of farmers who have applied new technologies as a result of USG assistance
মাছ্ চাষে উন্নত ব্যবস্থাপনা প্রयুক্তি বিষয়ক ও গ্রয়োগ বিষয়ক তথ্যাদি

| Q \# | Improved $\quad$ fish cultivation management technology উन्नত প্রयूক্তিতে মাছ চাষে ব্যবস্থাপনা | Standard practice | Knowledge (1=know; $2=\mathrm{don}$ 't know) <br> জ্ঞান ( $১=$ जানি, ২=জানিনা) | Practice (1=practiced 2=didn't practice) ব্যবহারের মাহা | If knows, reasons for nonpractice ${ }^{1}$ (multiple reasons apply) <br> यদি জানে <br> তবে <br> অনুশীলন <br> না করার কারন | No. of other farmers used this technologies learnt from you <br> আभনার কাছ থেকে শিথে আর কত জন এই প্রयूক্তি ব্যবহার করেন |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M1 | Testing natural food adequacy in water পানির রং পরীকা করে থাবারের পর্যাপ্ততা নির্ণয় | Required |  |  |  |  |
| M2 | Maintaining stock density <br> মজুদের ঘনত্ব বজায় রাথা | 40-70 <br> fingerling <br> per <br> decimal |  |  |  |  |
| M3 | Species selection <br> সঠিক প্রজাতি নির্বাচন <br> করা | required |  |  |  |  |
| M4 | Weed control আগাছা নিয়ন্রণ | Required |  |  |  |  |
| M5 | Liming চুন দেওয়া | $\begin{array}{\|lr\|} \hline 0.5 & \text { to } 1.5 \\ \mathrm{~kg} & \text { per } \\ \text { dec } & \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| M6 | Providing supplementary feed সম্পূরক থাবার দেওয়া | Required based on sampling |  |  |  |  |
| M7 | Employing fish disease management মাছের (রোগ ব্যবস্থাপনা | Required |  |  |  |  |
| M8 | Health monitoring Ш[\#円 $\&_{\square}^{*}+$ | Required |  |  |  |  |
| M9 | Growth monitoring মাছের বৃদ্ধি পর্যবেফ্রণ | Required |  |  |  |  |
| M10 | Post harvest handling আহরনোত্র পরিচর্যা | Required |  |  |  |  |
| M11 | Use quality seeds উন্নত পোনা ব্যবহার | required |  |  |  |  |
| M12 | Followed feeding application procedures (feeding | Required |  |  |  |  |



## Module N: Problems and Constraints

## সমস্যা ও প্রতিবন্ধকতা

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SI } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Problems/Constraints সমস্যা ও প্রতিবন্ধকতা | Intensity <br> (1=Less, 2=moderate, <br> 3=High, 4=None) <br> মাত্রা ( 1=কম, 2=মধ্যম, <br> 3=উष্চ, 4=নাই) | Measures taken to overcome problem সমস্যা উত্তরনে कि ব্যবস্থা नেওয়া হয়েছে |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N1 | Short of quality seed মান সম্মত মাছ্রের পোনার অপর্যাপ্তুতা |  |  |
| N2 | Social problem <br> poisoning, <br> ownership)(theft, <br> multipleपाমাজিক সমস্যা ( চ্রয়োগ, (ৌথ মালিকানা) |  | ```1= Increased security guard পাহারাদের সংথ্যা বৃদ্ধি 2= Awareness campaign সচেতনতা বৃদ্ধি করণ 3=``` |
| N3 | Credit problem ঋণ সম্পর্কিত সমস্যা |  | ```1= Easy access to association/cooperatives সমবায় / সংগঠনের প্রাপ্ত সুবিধা ভোগ 2= 3=``` |
| N4 | Natural calamities প্রাকৃতিক দूर्यোগ |  |  |
| N5 | Financial problems অর্থনৈতিক সমস্যা |  |  |
| N6 | High input cost উপকরনের উচ্চ মূল্য |  |  |
| N7 | Water pollution (gas, bloom, bottom slug) <br> পানির দূষণ (গ্যাস, ব্রুম, তলার কাদা) |  |  |

C E N TER
গোপনীয়
শুধুমাত্র গবেষণার কাজে ব্যবহার করা হবে

# ওয়ার্ড্ডফিস সেন্টার বাংলাদেশ এফটিএফ এ্যাকুয়াকালচার প্রজেট্ট 

## বেইজলাইন জরিপ ২০১২

# নার্সারি মৎস্য / চিংড়̣ চাষ প্রশননত্র 

জরিপ পরিচালনায়
ডাটা ম্যানেজমেন্ট এইড

ইউএসএআইডি’র অর্থায়নে বাংলাদেশ সরকারের সহায়তায় ওয়ার্ন্ডফিস সেন্টার পরিচালিত এফটিএফ এ্যাকুয়াকালচার প্রজেক্টের সহযোগীতায় পরিচালিত

## জরিপে অংশগ্রহনের সম্মতিপত্র

ফিড দ্যা ফিউচার ওয়াল্ড ফিস সেন্টার পরিচালিত ইউএসএআইডি’র অর্থায়নে ও বাংলাদেশ সরকারের সহযোগিতায় একটি প্রকল্প। এটি ৫(পাচ) বছরের জন্য হস্তান্তর যোগ্য প্রকল্প যা কিনা বাংলাদেশের ঢাকা, શুলনা ও বরিশাল বিভাগের দক্ষিনাঞ্ছলের ২০টি जেলায় বাস্তবায়ন হচ্ছে। প্রকল্পটি টেকসই জুধা ও দারিদ্রতা নিরসনে ফিড দ্যা ফিউচার এর উদ্দেশ্য বাম্তবায়নে কাজ করছ্ে।

যে সকল এলাকায় প্রকল্পের কাজ পরিচালিত হবে সে সকল এলাকার উপর ডাটা ম্যানেজমেন্ট এইড এর মাধ্যমে একটি বেইজলাইন অরিপ পরিচালনা করছ্রে।
এই জরিপের মাধ্যমে এফটিএফ মাছ চামের প্রচলিত কৌশল ভালভাবে অবগত হয়ে বর্তমান ও ভবিষ্যতের কর্ম কৌশল নির্ধারণ করতে সাহায্য করবে।

আপনার নাম ও দেয়া সকল তথ্য সম্প্পুন গোপনীয় রাথা হবে এবং শুধুমাত্র গবেষনার কাজে ব্যবহৃত হবে।

আপনার অংশ গ্রহন সম্পূর্ণ ইষ্ছাকৃত। উত্তর দেয়া না দেয়া সম্পুর্ন আপনার ইষ্ছা। তবুও আমরা আশা করি আপনি এই জরিপে অংশ গ্রহন করবেন কারণ আপনার মন্ত্য্য এই জরিপের জন্য থুবই গুরুত্বপূণ্ণ।

এথন আপনি ওরিপ সম্মন্ধে যে কোন প্রশ্ন করতে পারেন।
আমি কি এখন আপনাকে প্রশ্ন করা শুরু করতে পারি ?
श्राँ $=1$
ना $=2$
সাফাতকার গ্রহণকারী

## Module A: Identification

| SI No | Identification পরিচিতি | Name ] $^{\text {d }}$ | Code <br> 넴 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A1 | Nursery name নার্সারির নাম |  |  |
| A2 | License issue year লাইসেন্স ইস্যুর বছর |  |  |
| A3 | owners name নার্সারির মালিকের নাম |  |  |
| A4 | Other owners name 1 অংশীদারের নাম- |  |  |
| A5 | Other owners name 2 অংশীদারের নাম- |  |  |
| A6 | District जেলা |  |  |
| A7 | Upazilla উপজেলা |  |  |
| A8 | Union ইউনিয়ন |  |  |
| A9 | Village গ্রাম |  |  |
| A10 | Para পারা |  |  |
| A11 | Mouza মৌজা |  |  |
| A12 | Phone \# of Nursery owner नार्সারির মালিকের ফোন নাম্বার |  |  |
| A13 | Phone \# of contact person যোগাযোগকারীর ফোন নাম্বার |  |  |
| A14 | Data collection Date তথ্য গ্রহণের তারিথ |  |  |
| A15 | Interviewer সাক্াতত্রুণকারীর নাম |  |  |
| A16 | Name of Supervisor সুপারভাইজারের নাম |  |  |

## Module B:

## B.1: Details of Nursery Complex নার্সারির কমপ্লেক্সের বিবরন

| SINo | Description of <br> complex   <br> नार्সানির कমপ্লেক্সের <br> বिবরন  | Number/quantity (সংথ্যা) /পরিমাপ | Year of construction তৈরির বছর | Cost of construction (Tk.) তৈরির মূল্য | Economic life (years) আয়ুস্কাল (বছ্র) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B1.1 | Total area of the Nursery complex (dec.) <br> নার্সারি কমপ্লেক্সের মোট আয়তন <br> ( শতাংশ) |  |  |  |  |
| B1.2 | Overhead tank ওভারহেড ট্যাঙ্ক |  |  |  |  |
| B1.3 | Water filtration unit গানি পরিশোধত ইউনিট |  |  |  |  |
| B1.4 | Hatching jar হাচিং জার |  |  |  |  |
| B1.5 | Air blowing <br> network/system বায়ু <br> প্রবাহের সিস্টেম  |  |  |  |  |
| B1.6 | Office room অरिम রুম |  |  |  |  |
| B1.7 | Guest room অতিথি কক |  |  |  |  |
| B1.8 | Store room ভাত্ডার |  |  |  |  |
| B1.9 | Net drying shed জাল শুকানোর শেড |  |  |  |  |
| B1.10 | Labor shed শ্রমিক শxড |  |  |  |  |
| B1.11 | Laboratory পরিষ্যাগার |  |  |  |  |
| B1.12 | Other অन्यान्य উल्লেথ করুণ |  |  |  |  |

B.2. Information on Nursery Equipment's and Machineries (Durable: Economic life is more than one year) নার্সারির যন্তগাতি ও সরঞামাদির বিবরন (১ বৎসরের বেশী আয়ুষ্কাল)

| SI No | Equipment's/ Machineries यন্ত্রাতি ও সরআামাদি | Purchase Value (TK) ক্রয় মূল্য | Economic life (years) আযুস্কাল বছ্র) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B2.1 | Pipe for water supply to tank ট্যাঙ্ক এ পানি সরবরাহ পাইপ |  |  |
| B2.2 | Oxygen cylinder অক্সিজেন সিলিন্ডার |  |  |
| B2.3 | Fish weighting balance মাছের ওজন যন্ত |  |  |
| B2.4 | Net জাল |  |  |
| B2.5 | Hapa হাপা |  |  |
| B2.6 | Carrying drum পরিবহণ ড্রাম |  |  |
| B2.7 | DO meter অক্সিজেন (ডিও) মিটার |  |  |
| B2.8 | PH meter भি এইচ মিটার |  |  |
| B2.9 | Thermometer থারমোমিটার |  |  |
| B2.10 | Barometer ব্যারোমিটার |  |  |
| B2.11 | Shallow/deep tube well অগভীর/গভীর নলকূপ |  |  |
| B2.12 | Electric motor বৈদ্যুতিক মটর |  |  |
| B2.13 | Water lifting pump+pipe গানি তোলার পাম্প ও পাইগ |  |  |
| B2.14 | Aerator এ্যারেটর |  |  |
| B2.15 | Boat নৌকা |  |  |
| B2.16 | Transport van ভ্যান পরিবহণ |  |  |
| B2.17 | Furniture আসবাবপত্র |  |  |
| B2.18 | Water testing kit পানি পরীষার কিট |  |  |
| B2.19 | Refrigerator রে<্রিজারেটর |  |  |
| B2.20 | Deep freezer ডিপ র্রিজ্র |  |  |
| B2.21 | Computer কম্পিউটার |  |  |
| B2.22 | Microscope মাইক্রোস্কোপ |  |  |
| B2.23 | PCR machine পি সি আর মেশিন |  |  |
| B2.24 | Water heater ওয়াটার হিটার |  |  |
| B2.25 | Thermostat থারমোষ্টাট |  |  |
| B2.26 | Air cooler/conditioner এয়ার কুলার/কন্ডি শনার |  |  |
| B2.27 | Electric fan বৈদ্যুতিক ক্যান |  |  |
| B2.28 | Electric generator জেনারেটর |  |  |
| B2.29 | Solar Power system সৌর বিদ্যুৎ ব্যবস্থা |  |  |
| B2.30 | IPS/UPS আই পি এস/ ইউপিএস |  |  |

Module C: Information About Ponds Use, in the Nursery (nursing pond, water settling/reservoir) নার্সারি কার্যক্রমে ব্যবহৃত পুকুর সমূহ (যেমন গানি পরিশোধন পুকুর, নার্সিং পুকুর) সংক্রান্তি তথ্যাদি

| Pond <br> \# পুকুর | Area <br> (dec) <br> পরিমান <br> (শতাংশ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ownership } \\ & \text { status } \\ & \text { (1= own; } 2 \\ & \text { = leased) } \\ & \text { মালিকানার } \\ & \text { ধরন } \\ & \text { (1= निजে, } \\ & \text { 2=ইজারা) } \end{aligned}$ | When constructed/Leased (year) <br> তৈরী/ইজারা নেবার বৎসর | Construction/Leased <br> Cost (Tk.) <br> তৈরীর থরচ (টাকা) | Purpose of use <br> ব্যবহারের উদ্দেশ্য |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| P2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| P3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| P4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| P5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| P6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| P7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| P8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| P9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| P10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| P11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| P12 |  |  |  |  |  |

Module D: Income \& Expenditure for all pond in 2011 সকল পুকুরের আয় ও ব্যয়ের হিসাব

## D 1. Information about Nursing species and Costs Involvement

মাছ্রের পোনার গ্রতাতি ও পরিচর্यার ব্যায় সম্পর্কিত তথ্য

| SI No | species <br> গ্রজাতির নাম | Total Weight (kg) মোট ওজন (কেজি) (সাদা মাছের জন্য) | Number <br> সং্থ্যা (শুধু <br> চিংড়ি <br> মাছের জন্য) | Value (Tk) মুল্য (টাকা) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D1.1 | Rui রুই |  |  |  |
| D1.2 | Catla কাতলা |  |  |  |
| D1.3 | Mrigal মৃগেল |  |  |  |
| D1.4 | Thai Pangus থাই পাঙাশ |  |  |  |
| D1.5 | Grass carp গ্রাস কার্গ |  |  |  |
| D1.6 | Silver carp সিলভার কার্थ |  |  |  |
| D1.7 | Monosex Tilapia মনোসেক্স তেলাপিয়া |  |  |  |
| D1.8 | GIFT গিফট |  |  |  |
| D1.9 | Shrimp (Bagda) বাগদা |  |  |  |
| D1.10 | Prawn (Golda) গলদা |  |  |  |
| D1.11 | Native Shing দেশীয় শিং |  |  |  |
| D1.12 | Native Magur দেশীয় মাগুর |  |  |  |
| D1.13 | Thai Koi থাই কৈ |  |  |  |
| D1.14 | Thai Sorpunti রাজপুটি |  |  |  |
| D1.15 | Other অন্যান্য উল্লেথ করুণ |  |  |  |

D 2. Nursing Pond Operational Costs (variable costs) During Last Year (2011) নার্সিং পুকুর এর পরিচালন ব্যায় (২০১১ সালের)

| SI No | Cost items থরচের উপকরণ | No. সংথ্যা | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Kg} \\ & \text { কেজি } \end{aligned}$ | Total (Tk) মোট টাকা |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D2.1 | Pond preparation (drying, plaughing, soil purchase, bamboo etc except labor cost) <br> পকুর প্রস্তুতকরন (দিনমজুর থরচ ছাড়া অন্যান্য থরচ) |  |  |  |
|  | Input Cost |  |  |  |
| D2.2 | Lime |  |  |  |
| D2.3 | Cow dung গোবর |  |  |  |
| D2.4 | Urea ইউরিয়া সার (সাদা সার) |  |  |  |
| D2.5 | TSP টি এস পি সার (কালো সার) |  |  |  |
| D2.6 | MoP এম পি সার (লাল সার) |  |  |  |
| D2.7 | Mustard oilcake সরিষার থথল |  |  |  |
| D2.8 | Farm/homemade feed নিঙ্বস্ব তৈরি মাছের থাবার |  |  |  |
| D2.9 | Industrial/commercial/ready feed বানিজ্যিক ভাবে তৈরি মাছের থাবার |  |  |  |
| D2.10 | Vitamins and minerals ভিটামিন ও থনিজ |  |  |  |
| D2.11 | Reagents/chemicals for water quality test পানির মান পরীষার জন্য রিএডেন্ট / ক্যামিক্যালস |  |  |  |
| D2.12 | Medicines for disease control রোগ প্রতিরোধের জন্য ঔষধাদি |  |  |  |
| D2.13 | Fish killing agents (rotenone, tea seed cake etc) মাছ মারার ঔষধ (চা বীজ থখল, রটেনেন) |  |  |  |
| D2.14 | Netting for growth check (in case of hire) মাছের বৃদ্ধি পর্যবেক্ষণের জন্য জাল (জাল ভাড়া করলে) |  |  |  |
| D2.15 | Fuel for water exchange পানি পরিবর্তনের জন্য জ্বালানী |  |  |  |
| D2.16 | Fuel for aeration এরিটরের এর জন্য জ্বালানী |  |  |  |
| D2.17 | Other অন্যান্য উল্লেথ করুণ |  |  |  |
|  | Seed/PL packing costs (marketing) भ্যাকিং থরচ (বাজারজাতকরণ) |  |  |  |
| D2.18 | Packing cost (oxygen, jute sac, polybag,box) প্যাকিং থরচ (পলি বাগ, চটের ছালা, অক্সিজেন,বক্স) |  |  |  |
| D2.19 | Advertising costs (poster/leaflets etc) প্রচার থরচ (পোস্টার, লিপলেট) |  |  |  |
|  | Miscellaneous cost বিবিধ থরচ |  |  |  |
| D2.20 | Electricity cost for selected pond নির্বাচিত পুকুরের জন্য বিদ্যুৎ থরচ |  |  |  |
| D2.21 | Water treatment cost for selected pond নির্বাচিত পুকুরের পানি পরিশোধন থরচ |  |  |  |
| D2.22 | Transportation cost (if any) পরিবহণ থরচ (যদি থাকে) |  |  |  |

D 3: Labor Costs in Last Year (2011) 2011 সালে কর্মীর বেতনাদি

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Labor type } \\ & \text { কর্মীর ধরণ } \end{aligned}$ | No. of labor কर्मীর সংথ্যা | Total no. of days worked (for all labor) সব কর্মীর মোট কতদিন কাজ করেছ্ছে | Average No. of hours worked per day গড়ে প্রতিদিন কত घন্টা কাজ করেছ্েে | Wage (Tk/day/person) মুজুরি (টাকা/ দিন/ জন) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Cash নগদ |  | Food/kind থাবার |
|  |  |  |  | Daily দিन | Monthly মাস |  |
| Permanent male স্থায়ী পুরুষ কर्মী |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permanent female স्शारी মহিলা কর্মী |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Daily male দিন মজুর পুরুষ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Daily female দিন মজুর মহিলা |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family male গারিবারিক পুরুষ কर्মী |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family female পারিবারিক <br> মহিলা কর্মী |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Module E: Production and Sales of Fish Seed/PL in 2011
মাছের পোনা ও চিংড়ি পোস্ট লার্ভা এর উৎ পাদন ও বিক্রয় বিবরন

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SI } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Type of seed/PL প্রজাতী | No./kg সংথ্যা/কে जि | Production উৎপাদন |  |  | Total value(Tk)মোট মূল্য | Price variation <br> মুল্যের ব্যবধান <br> (Tk/kg or <br> Tk/1000PL <br> টাকা/কেজি ও টাকা/ ১০০০ পি এল |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Sold <br> বিক্রি <br> ত | Self use নিজে ব্যবহারক্ ত | Unsold অবিক্রি ত |  | Max.rat e সর্বোষ্ঠ দাম | Min.rat <br> e <br> সর্বোনিম্ন <br> দাম |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 4=(1+2+3) * \text { मू } \\ & \text { ल्ड } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| E1 | Rui রুই |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E2 | Catla কাতলা |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E3 | Mrigal <br> মৃগেল |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E4 | Thai <br> Pangus <br> থাই <br> পাঙ্গাশ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E5 | Grass <br> carp <br> গ্রাস কার্भ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E6 | Silver carp সিলভার কার্প |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E7 | Monose x Tilapia মনোসেক্স তেলাপি য়া |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GIFT } \\ & \text { গিফট } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E9 | Shrimp <br> (Bagda) বাগদা |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { E1 } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Prawn (Golda) গলদা |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { E1 } \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | Native <br> Shing <br> দেশীয় <br> শিং |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E1 | Native |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 2 | Magur <br> দেশীয় <br> মাগুর |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { E1 } \\ 3 \end{array}$ | Thai Koi থাই কৈ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { E1 } \\ 4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Thai Sorputi রাজभুটি |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { E1 } \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Other <br> অন্যান্য <br> উল্লেথ <br> করুণ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

F1.Area coverage of seed/PL distribution by the nursery in 2011
নার্সারি থেকে উৎ পাদিত ও বিতরনকৃত মাছ ও চিংড়ির পোনার বাজারজাত জেলা ও বিতরনের মাধ্যম সমূহ

| Name of District জেলার নাম | Seed purchased by মাছ ও চিংড়ির পোনা ふ্থয়কারী |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of nurseries নার্সারির সংথ্যা | No.of patilwala গাতিলওয়ালার সংথ্যা | No.of farmars থামারির সংথ্যা |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Module F: Knowledge Attitude and Practice of Improved Fish, Shrimp and Prawn Nursinging technology মাছ ও চিংড়ির উন্নত ব্যবস্থাপনা পদ্ধতি ও প্রয়োগ বিষয়ক তথ্যাদি

| SI No | Tecdhnology পদ্ধতি | Knowledge <br> (1=know <br> $2=$ don't <br> know) <br> জ্ঞান <br> (1=জানি, <br> 2=জানিনা) | Practice <br> ব্যবহারের মাত্রা | If know, reasons for non- <br> practice ${ }^{3}$ <br> यদি জানে <br> তবে <br> অনুশীলন না <br> করার কারন |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | High density nursing in earthen ponds পুকুরে অধিক ঘনত্বে পোনা লালন সালন |  |  |  |
| F2 | Nursing in Hapas হাপায় পোনা লালন পালন |  |  |  |
| F3 | Nursing in cemented concrete tanks পাক্কা ট্যাঙ্ক এ পোনা লালন পালন |  |  |  |
| F4 | One stage system of nursing এক ধাপ পরিচর্যা পদ্ধতি |  |  |  |
| F5 | Two stage system of nursing দুই ধাপ পরিচর্যা পদ্ধতি |  |  |  |
| F6 | Prawn larvae nursing in cemented tanks; পাক্কা ট্যাঙ্ক এ গলদা লার্ভার পরিচর্যা |  |  |  |
| F7 | Shrimp larvae nursing in cemented tanks; পাক্কা ট্যাঙ্ক এ বাগদা লার্ভার পরিচর্যা |  |  |  |
| F8 | Nursing of Pangus fry গাঙাশ পোনার পরিচর্যা |  |  |  |
| F9 | Nursing of Koi fry কৈ মাছ্ছের পোনার পরিচর্যা |  |  |  |
| F10 | Nursing of native catfish দেশিয় শিং মাগুর এর পরিচর্যা |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ Code : 1=Know ; 2=Don't know ১= জানি, ২= জানিনা |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Code : 1=Practice ; 2=Don't practice ১=প্রয়োগ করে, ২= প্রয়োগ করে না |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{3}$ Code : 1=Inputs are not freely available ; 2=Lack of capital ; 3=Don't believe in it ; 4=Lack of enough skill; 5=Others (specify) <br> 1= সামগ্রী সহজ লভ্য নয় 2=মুলধন এর অভাব, $3=$ বিশ্বাস নেই, $4=$ দক্ষতার অভাব, $5=$ অন্যান্য |  |  |  |  |


| F11 | How many staffs of your nursery received training on fish nursery management in last three years? <br> গত ৩ বছ্রে আপনার নার্সারির কতজন সদস্য নার্সারি ব্যবস্থাপনার উপর প্রশিফ্পণ গ্রহন করেছ্রেন? ..... जন |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F12 | What is the total number of training they received? তারা মোট কতটি প্রশিক্ষন গ্রহন করেছ্নেন? | $\begin{aligned} & \text {..... No } \\ & \text {..... টি } \end{aligned}$ |

Module G: Problems/Constraints সমস্যা ও গ্রতিবন্ধকতা

| SINo | Problems/Constraints সমস্যা প্রতিবন্ধকতা | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Intensity } \\ & \text { (1=Less, } \\ & 2=\text { moderate, } \\ & 3=\text { High, } 4=\text { None }) \\ & \text { মাত্রা ( } \quad 1=\text { कम, } \\ & 2=\text { सধ্যম, } \quad 3=উ ष ् ठ, ~ \\ & 4=\text { কোনটিই না }) \end{aligned}$ | Measures taken to overcome problem সমস্যা উত্তরনে গৃহীত ব্যবস্থা |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G1 | Draught থরা |  |  |
| G2 | Heavy rainfall অতি বৃ<্টি |  |  |
| G3 | Insufficient power supply অপর্যাপ্তুতা বিদ্যুৎ সরবরাহ |  |  |
| G4 | High cost of nursery feed থাদ্যের উষ্চ মূল্য |  |  |
| G5 | Product marketing বাজার জাতকরণ |  |  |
| G6 | Pausing চুরি করে নিয়ে যাওয়া |  |  |
| G7 | Less return সল্প আয় |  |  |
| G8 | Credit problem ঋণের সল্পতা |  |  |
| G9 | Other অন্যান্য উল্লেথ করুণ |  |  |

# গোপনীয় 

শুধুমাত্র গবেষণার কাজে ব্যবহার করা হবে

# ওয়ার্ল্ডফিস সেন্টার বাংলাদেশ এফটিএফ এ্যাকুয়াকালচার প্রজেক্ট 

## বেইজলাইন জরিপ ২০১২

## হ্যাচারি প্রশ্নপত্র

জরিপ পরিচালনায়
ডাটা ম্যানেজমেন্ট এইড

ইউএসএআইডি’র অর্থায়নে বাংলাদেশ সরকারের সহায়তায় ওয়ার্ল্ডফিস সেন্টার পরিচালিত এফটিএফ এ্যাকুয়াকালচার প্রজেট্টের সহযোগীতায় পরিচালিত

## জরিপে অংশগ্রহনের সম্মতিপত্র

ফিড দ্যা ফিউচার ওয়াল্ড ফিস সেন্টার পরিচালিত ইউএসএআইডি’র অর্থায়নে ও বাংলাদেশ সরকারের সহযোগিতায় একটি প্রকল্প। এটি ৫(পাচ) বছ্রের অন্য হস্তান্তর যোগ্য প্রকল্প यা কিনা বাংলাদেশের ঢাকা, থুলনা ও বরিশাল বিভাগের দক্ষিনাঞ্ছলের ২০টি जেলায় বাম্তেবায়ন হচ্ছে। প্রকল্পটি টেকসই ছুধা ও দারিদ্রতা নিরসনে ফিড দ্যা ফিউচার এর উদ্দেশ্য বাস্তবায়নে কাজ করছ্ে।

যে সকল এলাকায় প্রকল্পের কাজ পরিচালিত হবে সে সকল এলাকার উপর ডাটা ম্যানেজমেন্ট এইড এর মাধ্যমে একটি বেইজলাইন জরিপ পরিচালনা করছ্রে।

এই জরিপের মাধ্যমে এফটিএফ মাছ চামের প্রচলিত কৌশল ভালভাবে অবগত হয়ে বর্তমান ও ভবিষ্যতের কর্ম কৌশল নির্ধারণ করতে সাহায্য করবে।

আপনার নাম ও দেয়া সকল তথ্য সর্প্পুন গোপনীয় রাথা হবে এবং শুধুমাত্র গবেষনার কাজে ব্যবহৃত হবে।

আপনার অংশ গ্রহন সম্পূর্ণ ইচ্ছাকৃত। উত্তর দেয়া না দেয়া সম্পুর্ন আপনার ইষ্ছা। তবুও আমরা আশা করি আপনি এই জরিপে অংশ গ্রইন করবেন কারণ আপনার মন্ত্য্য এই জরিপের জন্য থুবই গুরুত্বপূণ্ণ।

এখন আপনি ওরিগ সম্মন্ধে যে কোন প্রশ্ন করতে পারেন।

আমি কি এখন আপনাকে প্রশ্ন করা শুরু করতে গারি ?
श्राँ = 1
ना $=2$
সাকাতকার গ্রহণকারী

## Module A: Identification

| A. 1 Hatchery name হ্যাচারীর নাম |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| A. 2 year of license issue লাইসেब্স ইম্যুর বছর |  |
| A. 3 owners name ছাচারীর মালিকের নাম |  |
| A. 4 Other owners name 1 অংশীদারের নাম-১ |  |
| A. 5 Other owners name 2 অংশীদারের নাম- ২ |  |
| A. 6 District |  |
| A. 7 Upazilla উপজেলা |  |
| A. 8 Union ইউনিয়ন |  |
| A. 9 Village গ্রাম |  |
| A. 10 Para পারা |  |
| A. 11 Mouza মৌজা |  |
| A. 12 Phone \# of hatchery owner ছ্যাচারীর মালিকের ফোন নাম্বার |  |
| A. 13 Phone \# of contact person যোগাযোগকারীর ফোন নাম্বার |  |
| A. 14 Data collection Date তথ্য গ্রহণের তারিথ |  |
| A. 15 Interviewer সাঞাত গ্রহণকারীর নাম |  |
| A. 16 Name <br> সুপারভাইজারের নাম of <br>   |  |

## Module B:

B.1: Hatchery Complex Descriptions and costs হ্যাচারীর কমপ্লেক্সের বিবরন ও ব্যয়

| SI No | Description of items হ্যাচারীর কমপ্লেক্সের বিবরন | Number (সংথ্যা) | When constructed (year) তৈরির বছর | Cost of construction/value (Tk.) তৈরির মুল্য (টাকা) | Economic <br> life (years) <br> আয়ুস্কাল <br> বছ্র) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B1.1 | Total land area of the hatchery (dec.) হ্যাচারির মোট জমির আয়তন ( শতাংশ) |  |  |  |  |
| B1.2 | value of instrument/facilities in hatchery complex Bangla??? |  |  |  |  |
| B1.3 | Incubation tank ইঙ্কুবেসন টাाা |  |  |  |  |
| B1.4 | Hatching tank হেচিং ট্যাঙ |  |  |  |  |
| B1.5 | Larvae rearing tank রেনু লালন পালন ট্যাঙ্ক |  |  |  |  |
| B1.6 | Algae culture tank এলজি কালচার ট্যাক্ক |  |  |  |  |
| B1.7 | Overhead उভারহেড টাाাক্ক $\quad$ tank |  |  |  |  |
| B1.8 | Water filtration tank গানি পরিশোধন ট্যাক্ক |  |  |  |  |
| B1.9 | Hatching jar/bottle হেচিং জার/ বোতল |  |  |  |  |
| B1.10 | Air blowing network/system বায় প্রবাহহর সিস্টেম |  |  |  |  |
| B1.11 | Office room অফिস রুम |  |  |  |  |
| B1.12 | Guest room অতিথি কষ |  |  |  |  |
| B1.13 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Spawn } \\ & \text { center } \text { dেনু বিত্রিbution } \\ & \text { cেন্দ্র } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| B1.14 | Store room ভাণ্ডার |  |  |  |  |
| B1.15 | Net drying shed আাল শুকানোর শেড |  |  |  |  |
| B1.16 | Labor shed শ্রমিক শেড |  |  |  |  |
| B1.17 | Laboratory পরিক্ষাগার |  |  |  |  |
| B1.18 | Others অन্যান্য উল্লেথ করুন |  |  |  |  |

B.2. Information on Hatchery Equipment's and Machineries (Durable: Economic life is more than one year) হ্যাচারীর যন্তপাতি ও সরঞামাদি যা ১ বৎসরের বেশী টিকে

| SI No | Equipment's / Machineries যন্ত্র | Purchase <br> Value (TK) क्रय़ মूल्य | Economic life (years) আয়ুস্কাল ( বছ্র) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B2.1 | Pipe for water supply to tank ট্যাঙ্ক এ পানি সরবরাহ পাইপ |  |  |
| B2.2 | Oxygen cylinder অক্সিজেন সিলিন্ডার |  |  |
| B2.3 | Hormone weighing balance হরমোন মাপার যন্ত |  |  |
| B2.4 | Fish weighting balance মাছ্ মাপার যন্ত্র |  |  |
| B2.5 | Net জাল |  |  |
| B2.6 | Hapa হাগা |  |  |
| B2.7 | Carrying drum পরিবহণ ড্রাম |  |  |
| B2.8 | DO meter অক্সিজেন (ডিও) মিটার |  |  |
| B2.9 | PH meter পি এইচ মিটার |  |  |
| B2.10 | Thermometer থারমোমিটার |  |  |
| B2.11 | Barometer ব্যারোমিটার |  |  |
| B2.12 | Shallow/deep tube well অগভীর/গভীর নলকূপ |  |  |
| B2.13 | Electric motor ইলেকট্রিক মটর |  |  |
| B2.14 | Water lifting pump+pipe পানি উত্তোলন পাম্প ও পাইপ |  |  |
| B2.15 | Aerator এ্যারেটর |  |  |
| B2.16 | Boat নৌকা |  |  |
| B2.17 | Transport van ভ্যান গাড়ি |  |  |
| B2.18 | Furniture আসবাবপত্র |  |  |
| B2.19 | Water testing kit পানি পরীষ্যার কিট |  |  |
| B2.20 | Refrezerator রেফ্রিজারেটর |  |  |
| B2.21 | Deep freezer ডিপ ফ্রিজ্র |  |  |
| B2.22 | Computer কম্পিউটার |  |  |
| B2.23 | Microscope মাইক্রোস্কোপ |  |  |
| B2.24 | PCR machine পি সি আর মেশিন |  |  |
| B2.25 | Water heater ওয়াটার হিটার |  |  |
| B2.26 | Thermostat থারমোষ্টাট |  |  |
| B2.27 | Air cooler/conditioner এয়ার কুলার/কন্ডি শনার |  |  |
| B2.28 | Electric fan <্যান |  |  |


| B2.29 | Electric generator জেনারেটর |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B2.30 | Solar Power system সৌর বিদ্যুৎ ব্যবস্থা |  |  |
| B2.31 | IPS/UPS আই भি এস/ ইউभিএস |  |  |
| B2.32 | Nursing tank নাস্সিং ট্যাংক |  |  |
| B2.33 | Others অন্যান্য উল্লেথ করুন |  |  |

B.3:. . Information about ponds used for hatchery operation (brood rearing, water settling/reservoir, temporary nursing pond) হ্যাচারীর পুকুর সম্বন্ধীয় (পরিপক্ক মাছ্ পরিচর্যা, পানি পরিশোধন ও অস্থায়ী নার্সিং পুকুর)

| Pon <br> d \# <br> পুকু <br> র | Area (Dec) পরিমান (শতাংশ ) | Ownership status <br> (1= own; $2=$ leased) <br> মালিকানার ধরন <br> (1= <br> নিজে,2=ইজারা) | When constructed/ leased (year) তৈরীর/লিজে র বৎসর | Construction cost /leased cost (Tk.) তৈরীর/লিজ নেওয়া বাবদ থরচ (টাকা) | Purpose of use (1=brood rearing,2=reserv oir,3=nursery) ব্যবহারের উদ্দেশ্য (1=মা মাছ পালন, 2=গানি মजুদ পুকুর, 3=নার্সারি) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| P2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| P3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| P4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| P5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| P6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| P7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| P8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| P9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| P10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| P11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| P12 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Module C: Information About Brood Stock Source of Species Used in Hatchery

হ্যাচারিতে ব্যবহৃত পরিপক্ক মাছের মজুদ বিবরন ও উৎস সম্বন্ধীয় তথ্যাদি
Information on brood stock retained from last year (2011)
২০১১ সালের পরিপক্ক মাছের বিবরন ও উৎস সম্বন্ধে তথ্য

| SINo | Brood species name প্রজাতির নাম | Number সংথ্যা |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { al } \\ & \text { ght } \end{aligned}$ <br> (i) $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|} \hline F \\ \text { a } \end{array}$ | Value <br> (Tk) <br> মুল্য <br> (টাকা) | Source <br> (Halda, Jamuna, Brahmaputra, other wild source, Govt farm, private farm, own, unknown) উৎস ( $1=$ হালদা, $2=$ यমুना, 3=ব্রহ্মপুত, $4=$ =রককারি ফाর্ম,5=(বেসরকারি ফার্ম, 6=নিज, $7=$ অজানা, $8=$ প্রাকৃতিক, $9=$ অन्যান্য প্রাকৃতিক উৎস, 10=অন্যান্য (উল্লেথ করুণ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1 | Rui রুই |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C2 | Catla काতলা |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C3 | Mrigal মৃগেল |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C4 | Thai Pangus থাই গাঙ্গাশ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C5 | Grass carp গ্রাস কার্প |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C6 | Silver carp সিলভার কার্थ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C7 | Monosex Tilapia মনোসেক্স তেলাপিয়া |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C8 | GIFT গিফটট |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Shrimp (Bagda) } \\ & \text { বাগদা } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C10 | Prawn (Golda) গলদা |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C11 | Native Shing দেশীয় শিং |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C12 | Native Magur দেশীয় মাগুর |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C13 | Thai Koi থাই কৈ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C14 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \text { Thai } & \text { Sorputi } \\ \text { রাজभूটি } & \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C15 | Others অন্যান্য উল্লেথ করুন |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Module D:

D 1: Operational Costs of the hatchery (variable costs) During Last Year (2011)

## ২০১১ সালের হ্যাচারি পরিচালনা ব্যয়

| SINo | Cost items সামগ্রী সমূহ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No./Kg } \\ & \text { সংथ্যা/ } \\ & \text { কেজি } \end{aligned}$ | Total cost(Tk) মোট টাকা |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Brood pond operation পরিপক্ক মাছের পুকুর পরিচালনা |  |  |
| D1.1 | Pond preparation (eg.drying, ploughing, soil purchase, bamboo ,other cost except labor cost) পুকুর প্রস্তুতকরন ব্যয় (যেমনঃ শুকানো,পুকুরের তলা চাষ দেওয়া, মাটি কেনা, বাঁশ ও অন্যান্য থরচ, দিনমজুর থরচ ছাড়া ) |  |  |
| D1.2 | Lime চুন |  |  |
| D1.3 | Cow dung গোবর |  |  |
| D1.4 | Urea ইউরিয়া সার |  |  |
| D1.5 | TSP টি এস পি সার |  |  |
| D1.6 | MoP এম পি সার |  |  |
| D1.7 | Mustard oilcake সরিষার খৈল |  |  |
| D1.8 | Farm/homemade feed নিজে তৈরি মাছের থাবার |  |  |
| D1.9 | Industrial/commercial/ready feed বানিজ্যিক মাছের থাবার/রেডি ফিড |  |  |
| D1.10 | Vitamins and minerals ভিটামিন ও থনিজ ঔষধ |  |  |
| D1.11 | Reagents/chemicals for water quality test গানির মান পরীক্ষার জন্য রিএজেন্ট /ক্যামিকেলস |  |  |
| D1.12 | Medicines for disease control রোগ প্রতিরোধের জন্য ঔষধ |  |  |
| D1.13 | Fish killing agents (rotenone, tea seed cake etc) মাছ্ মারার ঔষধ ( চা বীজ থৈল, রটেনন ) |  |  |
| D1.14 | Netting for growth monitoring (in case of hire) মাছের বৃদ্ধি পর্যবেকণের জন্য জাল টানা (জাল ভাড়া বাবদ) |  |  |
| D1.15 | Fuel for water exchange পানি পরিবর্তনের জন্য জ্বালানী |  |  |
| D1.16 | Fuel for aeration এরেটরের চালানোর জন্য জ্বালানী |  |  |
| D1.17 | Others অন্যান্য উল্লেথ করুন |  |  |
|  | Hatching operation প্রজনন পরিচালনা ব্যায় |  |  |
| D1.18 | Hormone/inducing agents cost হরমোন/ ইনডিওচিং এজেন্ট বাবদ থরচ |  |  |
| D1.19 | Chemicals (Methyl blue, bleaching, salt, formalin etc) ক্যামিকেল (মিথাইল ব্লু, ब्লिচিং, লবন, ফরমালিন) |  |  |
| D1.20 | Medicine (vitamin, antibiotic, etc.) ঔষধ (ভিটামিন, এনটিবাওটিক) |  |  |
| D1.21 | Tank washing powder ট্যাঙ্ক পরিস্কার করার পাউডার |  |  |
| D1.22 | Feeding for spawn/larvae রেনু/ লার্ভার থাদ্য |  |  |
| D1.23 | Clothing কাপড় চোপড় |  |  |


| D1.24 | Bag for brood transfer পরিপক্ক মাছ্ স্থানান্তর এর ব্যাগ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D1.25 | Fuel for water pumping পানি পান্পিং এর জন্য জ্বালানী |  |  |
| D1.26 | Algae species এলজি প্রজাতি |  |  |
| D1.27 | Brine solution ভ্রাইন সলুসন |  |  |
| D1.28 | Artemia cysts আরটিমিয়া সিশট |  |  |
| D1.29 | Others (injecting materials, globes, distilled water etc) অন্যান্য থরচ ( সিরিও, গ্লভস, ডিসটিল ওয়াটার) |  |  |
|  | Spawn packing costs (marketing) রেণু গ্যাকিং থরচ (বাজারজাতকরণ) |  |  |
| D1.30 | Packing cost (oxygen, jute sac, polybag) প্যাকিং থরচ (পলি বাগ, চটের ছালা, অক্সিজেন, ককসিট বক্স) |  |  |
| D1.31 | Advertising costs (poster/leaflets etc) প্রচার থরচ (পোস্টার, লিপলেট) |  |  |
|  | Miscellaneous cost অন্যান্য থরচ |  |  |
| D1.32 | Electricity cost for selected pond পুকুরের জন্য বিদ্যুৎ থরচ |  |  |
| D1.33 | Water treatment cost for selected pond নির্বাচিত পুকুরের পানি পরিশোধন থরচ |  |  |
| D1.34 | Transportation cost (if any) পরিবহণ থরচ (যদি থাকে) |  |  |

D 2: Labor Costs in Last Year (2011) ২০১১ সালে শ্রমিক/কর্মীর বেতনাদি

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Labor type } \\ & \text { কर्মীর ধরণ } \end{aligned}$ | No. | Total no. of days worked (for all | Average No. of hours worked per | Wag <br> (টাক | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 「k/day/p } \\ & \text { خंब/ অन) } \end{aligned}$ | son) মুझুরি |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | labor) মোট কতদিন | day গডে প্রতিদি | Cash | নগদ | Food/kind |
|  | সংथ্যা | কাজ করেছ্ (সব কर्মীর জন্য) | করেছে | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Daily } \\ & \text { দিন } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Monthly } \\ & \text { মাস } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Permanent <br> male স्शाয়ী <br> भুরুষ কর্মী |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permanent <br> female স्शाয়ী মহিলা কর্মী |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Daily male দিন মজুর পুরুষ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Daily female দিন মজুর মহিলা |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family male গারিবারিক <br> পুনুষ কর্মী |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family female <br> গারিবারিক <br> মহিলা কর্মী |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Module E: No. of Broods Hatched and Sold During Last Year (2011)
পরিপক্ক প্রজননকৃত মাছের সংথ্যা ও বিক্রি সংক্রান্ত তথ্য

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SI } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Species প্রজাতী | No.of brood hatched ডিম সংগ্রহ করা হय়েছ্রে কতগुলো ब्रুড মাছ থেকে ( সংথ্যা) | No. of <br> brood fish <br> sold  <br> প্রजनন कम <br> বিক্রি कরা <br> ব্রুড माছ্েের <br> সংথ্যা  | No. of spent fish <br> sold/consumed <br> ডিম সংগ্রহের <br> পর বিক্রি করা <br> ব্রুড মাছের <br> সংথ্যা | Total value of sold/consumed brood fish (Tk) <br> বিক্রি/ থাওয়া থেকে প্রাগ্ত মোট মুল্য |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | $4=(2+3) *$ মূल্য |
| E1 | Rui রুই |  |  |  |  |
| E2 | Catla কাতলা |  |  |  |  |
| E3 | Mrigal মৃগেল |  |  |  |  |
| E4 | Thai Pangus থাই পাঙ্গাশ |  |  |  |  |
| E5 | Grass carp গ্রাস কার্প |  |  |  |  |
| E6 | Silver carp সিলভার কার্প |  |  |  |  |
| E7 | Monosex Tilapia মনোসেক্স তেলাপিয়া |  |  |  |  |
| E8 | GIFT গিফট |  |  |  |  |
| E9 | Shrimp $\quad$ (Bagda) বাগদা |  |  |  |  |
| E10 | Prawn (Golda) গলদা |  |  |  |  |
| E11 | Native Shing দেশীয় শিং |  |  |  |  |
| E12 | Native Magur দেশীয় মাগুর |  |  |  |  |
| E13 | Thai Koi থাই কৈ |  |  |  |  |
| E14 | Thai Sorputi রাজপুটি |  |  |  |  |
| E15 | Others অন্যান্য উল্লেথ করুন |  |  |  |  |

Module F: Production and Sales of Fish Spawn/PL in 2011
মাছের রেণু ও চিংড়ির পোস্ট লার্ভার উৎ পাদন ও বিক্রয় বিবরন

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SI } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Type of spawn/PL প্রজাতী | No./kg সংথ্যা/ কেজি | Production (kg) উৎ পাদন (কেজি) |  |  | Total value(Tkমোট টাকা) | Price variation মুল্যের ব্যবধান <br> (Tk/kg and Tk/1000PL টাকা/কেজি ও টাকা/১০০০ পি এল |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Sol <br> d <br> বি <br> ক্রি | Self use নিজে ব্যবহা র | Unsold অবিক্রি ত |  | Max <br> সর্বোষ্ঠ <br> দাম | Min <br> সর্বোনি <br> ম্ন দাম |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 4=(1+2+3) * \text { मू } \\ & \text { ल्य } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| F1 | Rui রুই |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F2 | Catla কাতলা |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F3 | Mrigal মৃগেল |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F4 | Thai Pangus থাই পাঙ্গাশ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F5 | Grass carp গ্রাস কার্গ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F6 | Silver carp সিলভার কার্প |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Monosex } \\ & \text { মনোসেক্স তেলাপিয়া } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F8 | GIFT গিকট |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F9 | Shrimp (Bagda) বাগদা |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { F1 } \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Prawn (Golda) গলদা |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { F1 } \\ & \hline 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Native Shing দেশীয় শিং |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { F1 } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | Native Magur দেশীয় মাগুর |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { F1 } \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | Thai Koi থাই কৈ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F} 1 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | Thai Sorputi রাজপুটি |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F1 5 | Others অন্যান্য উল্লেথ করুন |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

F1.Area coverage of spawn/PL distribution by the hatchery
২০১১ সালে হ্যাঁচারি থেকে উৎপাদিত ও বিতরনকৃত রেনু ও লার্ভার বাজারজাত এলাকা ও বিতরনের মাধ্যম

| Name of District জেলার নাম | Seed purchased by রেনু/ লার্ভা ক্রয়কারী |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of nurseries নার্সারির সংথ্যা | No.of patilwala পাতিলওয়ালার সংথ্যা | No.of farmars থামারির সংখ্যা |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Module G: Knowledge Attitude and Practice of Improved Fish,Shrimp and Prawn Hatching Technology মাছ্ ও চিংড়ির উন্নত হ্যাচারী ব্যবস্থাপনা পদ্ধতি ও প্রয়োগ বিষয়ক তথ্যাদি

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{SI} \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Technologies (in case of major carps) <br> পদ্ধতি কার্প জাতীয় মাছের জন্য) | Standard practice সুপারিশকৃত মাত্রা | Knowledge <br> (1=know <br> $2=\mathrm{don}$ 't <br> know) <br> জ্ঞান <br> (1=জানি, <br> 2=জানিনা) | Practice <br> ব্যবহারের <br> মাত্রা | If know, reasons for nonpractice ${ }^{3}$ यদি জানে তবে অনুশীলন না করার কারন | No. of other farms used this <br> technologies learnt from you আপনার কাছ থেকে শিথে আর কতগুলো ফার্ম এই গদ্ধতি ব্যবহার করেন |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G1 | Brood stocking density পরিপক্ক মাছের মজুদের घনত্ব | $12 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{dec}$ |  |  |  |  |
| G2 | Water depth পানির গভীরতা | 6-10 feet |  |  |  |  |
| G3 | Water exchange before hatching <br> প্রজনন এর भূর্বে পুকুরের | 15-20\% |  |  |  |  |
| G4 | Protein percentage in feed থাবারের খ্রোটিনের শতকরা অংশ | 25-28\% |  |  |  |  |
| G5 | Feed application rate (pre spawning) थाবার প্রয়োগের | $2 \%$ of bodyweight |  |  |  |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SI } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Technologies (in case of major carps) <br> পদ্ধতি কার্প জাতীয় মাছ্েের জন্য) | Standard practice সুभারিশকৃত মাত্রা | Knowledge <br> (1=know <br> $2=\mathrm{don}$ 't <br> know) <br> জ্ঞান <br> (1=জানি, <br> 2=জানিনা) | Practice <br> ব্যবহারের <br> মাত্রা | If know, reasons for nonpractice यদি আান তবে অনুশীলন না করার কারন | No. of other farms used this <br> technologies learnt from you আপনার কাছ থেকে শিথে আর কতগুলো ফার্ম এই গদ্ধতি ব্যবহার করেন |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (প্রজনন পূর্ব) |  |  |  |  |  |
| G6 | Feed application rate (after spawning) থাবার প্রয়োগের হার (প্রজননের পর) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2-2.5\% of } \\ & \text { BW } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| G7 | Secchi disc reading সেকি ডিস্ক রিডিং | 30 cm |  |  |  |  |
| G8 | Sampling and health monitoring <br> নমুনায়ন ও স্বাস্থ্য পর্যবেফণ | Monthly |  |  |  |  |
| G9 | Ratio of M:F brood used during spawning পুরুশ ও স্তী পরিপক্ক মাছের ব্যবহার এর আনুপাতিক হার | $1 \mathrm{M}: 2 \mathrm{~F}$ |  |  |  |  |
| G10 | Presence of aeration device in brood pond ব্রুড মাছের পুকুরে অক্সিজেন সঞ্চালন ব্যবস্থা | Required |  |  |  |  |
| G11 | Average number of time each brood is spawned per season প্রতি মৌসুমে পরিপক্ক মাছের প্রজননের গড সংথ্যা | 1-2 times |  |  |  |  |
| G12 | Hybrid produced <br> illegally यथायथ <br> भদ্ধতি  <br> অनूসরন না করে হাইব্রিড <br> মাছ্ছের উৎপাদন <br> (ইনব্রিডিং)  | Not recommended |  |  |  |  |
| G13 | Pond for conditioning spent brood fish? <br> প্রजনন কৃত মাছের <br> পরিচর্যার জন্য আলাদা গুকুর | Required |  |  |  |  |


| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { SI } \\ \text { No } \end{array}$ | Technologies (in case of major carps) <br> পদ্ধতি কার্প জাতীয় মাছের জন্য) | Standard <br> practice <br> সুগারিশকৃত মাত্রা | Knowledge <br> (1=know <br> 2=don't <br> know) <br> জ্ঞান <br> (1=জানি, <br> 2=জানিনা) | Practice <br> ব্যবহারের <br> মাত্রা | If know, reasons for nonpractice ${ }^{3}$ यদি জানে তবে অনুশীলন না করার কারন | No. of other farms used this <br> technologies learnt from you আপনার কাছ থেকে শিথে আর কতগুলো ফার্ম এই পদ্ধতি ব্যবহার করেন |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G14 | Stage of maturation of brood fish and shrimp species প্রজনन यোগ্য মাছের এবং চিংড়ির পরিপক্কতার পর্যায় যাচাই | Required |  |  |  |  |
| G15 | Quality brood of fish,shrimp and prawn selection <br> প্রজননক্ষম মাছের / চিংড়ির গুনগত মান যাচাই | Required |  |  |  |  |
| G16 | Water quality management of hatching and incubation tanks হাচিং ও ইঙ্কুবেসন ট্যাঙ্ক এর গানির গুনগত মান ব্যবস্থাপনা | Required |  |  |  |  |
| G17 | Dose détermination and application of induction agents হরমোন বা ইনডিওসিং এজেন্ট এর মাহ্রা নির্ধারণ | Required |  |  |  |  |
| G18 | Stripping of ripen eggs স্টিপিং পদ্ধতিতে ডিম সংগ্রহ | Required |  |  |  |  |
| G19 | Mixing of eggs and milts ডিম 3 বीর্य এর সংমিশ্রণ | Required |  |  |  |  |
| G20 | Health care of induced and spent fish প্রজননকৃত ও প্রজননাধীন মাছের স্বাস্থ্য পরিচর্যা | Required |  |  |  |  |
| G21 | Use of antibiotics/medicines অ্যান্টিবাইওটিক/ ঔষধের ব্যবহার | Required |  |  |  |  |


| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { SI } \\ \text { No } \end{array}$ | Technologies (in case of major carps) <br> পদ্ধতি কার্প জাতীয় মাছের জন্য) | Standard practice সুপারিশকৃত মাত্রা | Knowledge <br> (1=know <br> $2=$ don't <br> know) <br> জ্ঞান <br> (1=জानि, <br> 2=জানিনা) | Practice <br> ব্যবহারের <br> মাত্রা | If know, reasons for nonpractice ${ }^{3}$ यদি জানে তবে অনুশীলন না করার কারন | No. of other farms used this <br> technologies learnt from you আभনার কাছ্ থেকে শিথে আর কতগুলো ফার্ম এই পদ্ধতি ব্যবহার করেন |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G22 | Growth and survivality Monitoring of spawn/larvae রেনু/ লার্ভার বৃদ্ধি ও বেচে থাকার হার পর্যবেক্ষণ | Requied |  |  |  |  |
| G23 | Live feed production and algal culture and application. <br> আলগী ও জীবন্তু থাদ্য <br> (আরটিমা) উৎপাদন 3 প্রয়োগ | Required |  |  |  |  |
| G25 | How many staffs of your hatchery received training on fish hatchery management in last three years?.....no. <br> গত ৩ বছরে আপনার হ্যাচারির কতজন সদস্য হ্যাচারির ব্যবস্থাপনার উপর প্রশিফণ গ্রহন করেছ্েেন? |  |  |  |  |  |
| G26 | What is the total number of training they received?.........no. তারা মোট কতটি প্রশিফ্কন গ্রহন করেছেন? ......... টি |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \ldots . . . \text { No } \\ & \text {...... টি } \end{aligned}$ |

## Module H: Problems/Constraints

## সমস্যা ও प्रতিবন্ধকতা

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SI } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Problems/Constraints সমস্যা ও প্রতিবন্ধক | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Intensity } \\ & \text { (1=Less, } \\ & \text { 2=moderate, } \\ & 3=\text { High, } 4=\text { None }) \\ & \text { মাত্রা } \\ & \text { 1=কম,2=মধ্যম, } \\ & 3=উ ष ্ চ, ~ 4=~ ন া ই) ~ \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Measures taken to overcome problem <br> সমস্যা উত্তরনে গৃহীত ব্যবস্থা নেওয়া হয়েছ্েে |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H1 | Shortage of quality broods <br> মান সম্মত ব্রুড মাছের অপর্যাপ্ততা |  | 1= wild source search প্রাকৃতিক উৎসের সন্ধান <br> $2=$ govt brood banks search সরকারী ব্রুড ব্যাংক অনুসন্ধান 3= private source বেসরকারি উৎস 4= go to research center গবেষণা কেন্দ্র থেকে সংগ্রহ $5=$ $6=$ $7=$ |
| H2 | Climate change and temperature <br> fluctuation <br> জলবায়ু পরিবর্তন ও তাপমাত্রার প্রভাব |  | 1= Inhouse maturation of short cycle fish under control condition 1= দ্রুত বর্ধন শীল স্বল্প মেয়াদী মাছের নিয়ন্ত্রিত পদ্ধতিতে পরিপক্ক করন <br> $2=$ Technology used for early and timely maturation of fish and prawn species <br> 2= চিংড়ি ও মাছের আগাম পরিপক্ক করন প্রযুক্তির ব্যবহার $\text { \| } 3=$ $\text { \| } 4=$ |
| H3 | Irregular power supply অনিয়মিত বিদ্যুৎ সরবরাহ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1= Used generator } \\ & 1=\text { जেনারেটর ব্যবহার } \\ & 2=\text { Used solar energy } \\ & 2=\text { সৌর বিদ্যুতের ব্যবহার } \\ & 3= \end{aligned}$ |
| H4 | High cost of larval feed <br> লার্ভার থাদ্যের উষ্চ মূল্য |  | 1= Use local ingredients for feed preparation <br> 1= থাদ্য তৈরিতে স্থানীয় উপকরণের ব্যবহার <br> $2=$ Low cost fish meal for commercial farm feed production 2= স্বল্প মূল্যের ফিশ ফিড মিল ব্যবহারে গুনগত মানের থাদ্য তৈরি করা |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SI } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Problems/Constraints সমস্যা ও প্রতিবন্ধক | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Intensity } \\ & \text { (1=Less, } \\ & 2=\text { moderate, } \\ & 3=\text { High, } 4=\text { None }) \\ & \text { মাত্রা } \\ & 1=\text { কম, } 2=\text { মধ্যম, } \\ & 3=উ ष ্ চ, ~ \\ & 4=\text { নাই }) \end{aligned}$ | Measures taken to overcome problem <br> সমস্যা উত্তরনে গৃহীত ব্যবস্থা নেওয়া হয়েছ্েে |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | ```3= Borrowed money 3= টাকা ধার করা 4= Purchase in credit 4= বাকিতে थাদ্য কেনা 5= 6=``` |
| H5 | Product marketing বাজার জাত করণ |  | 1=Advertising <br> $1=$ প্রচার কার্যক্রম <br> 2=Sales in credit <br> 2=বাকিতে বিক্রি <br> 3= used commission/sales agents <br> 3=কমিশন / বিক্রি এজেন্ট এর মাধ্যমে <br> 4= Help from association <br> 4=সংগঠনের সহায়তা <br> 5= <br> 6= |
| H6 | High mortality of shrimp and prawn larvae <br> গলদা ও বাগদার পোনার উষ্চ মৃত্যুহার |  | 1=Keep safe from infection sources <br> 1=সংふ্রামক উৎস থেকে নিরাপদ রাথা <br> $2=$ Better management of water quality <br> 2=গানির অধিকতর উন্নত ব্যবস্থাপনা <br> 3= Health maintenance of brood <br> 3= পরিপক্ক চিংড়ির স্বাস্থ্য ব্যবস্থাপনা <br> 4= Consultation with expert <br> 4= বিশেষজ্ঞদের পরামর্শ নেওয়া <br> $5=$ Use of medicine/antibiotics <br> 5= প্রয়োজনীয় ঔষধের ব্যবহার <br> $6=$ <br> $7=$ |
| H7 | Social problem (theft, poisoning, multiple ownership) <br> সামাজিক সমস্যা ( চোর, বিষ প্রয়োগ, যৌথ মালিকানা) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1= Increased security guard } \\ & 1=\text { পাহারাদের সংথ্যা বৃদ্ধি } \\ & 2=\text { Awareness campaign } \\ & 2=\text { সচেতনতা বৃদ্ধি করণ } \\ & 3= \end{aligned}$ |
| H8 | Credit problem ঋণের সমস্যা |  | 1= Easy access to association/cooperatives $1=$ সমবায় / সংগঠনের প্রাগ্ত সুবিধা |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SI } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Problems/Constraints সমস্যা ও প্রতিবন্ধক | ```Intensity (1=Less, \(2=\) moderate, 3=High, 4=None) মাত্রা 1=কম,2=মধ্যম, 3=উষ্চ, 4= নাই)``` | Measures taken to overcome problem <br> সমস্যা উত্তরনে গৃহীত ব্যবস্থা নেওয়া হয়েছ্েে |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ভোগ } \\ & 2= \\ & 3= \end{aligned}$ |

WorldFish
WorldFish

গোপনীয়
শুধুমাত্র গবেষণার কাজে ব্যবহার করা হবে

# ওয়ার্ল্ডফিস সেন্টার বাংলাদেশ এফটিএফ এ্যাকুয়াকালচার প্রজেক্ট 

## বেইজলাইন জরিপ ২০১২

# খাচায় মе্য চাব পশ্নপ্র 

জরিপ পরিচালনায়

## ডাটা ম্যানেজমেন্ট এইড

ইউএসএআাইডি’র অর্থায়ন্নে বাংলাদেশ সরকারের সহায়তায় ওয়ার্ন্টফিস সেন্টার পরিচালিত এফচিএফ এ্যাকুয়াকালচার প্রজেট্টের সহযোগীতায় পরিচালিত

জরিপে অংশগ্রহনের সম্মতিপত্র
ফিড দ্যা ফিউচার ওয়াল্ড ফিস সেন্টার পরিচালিত ইউএসএআইডি’র অর্থায়নে ও বাংলাদেশ সরকারের সহযোগিতায় একটি প্রকল্প। এটি ৫(পাচ) বছ্ররের জন্য ছস্তুন্তর যোগ্য প্রকল্প যা কিনা বাংলাদেশের ঢাকা, থুলনা ও বরিশাল বিভাগের দক্ফিনাঞ্চলের ২০টি জেলায় বাস্তেবায়ন হচ্ছে। প্রকল্পটি টেকসই ক্ষুধা ও দারিদ্রতা নিরসনে ফিড দ্যা ফিউচার এর উদ্দেশ্য বাস্তবায়নে কাজ করছ্।।

यে সকল এলাকায় প্রকল্পের কাজ পরিচালিত হবে সে সকল এলাকার উপর ডাটা ম্যানেজমেন্ট এইড এর মাধ্যমে একটি বেইজলাইন জরিপ পরিচালনা করছে।

এই জরিপের মাধ্যমে এফটিএফ মাছ চামের প্রচলিত কৌশল ভালভাবে অবগত হয়ে বর্তমান ও ভবিষ্যতের কর্ম কৌশল নির্ধারণ করতে সাহাय্য করবে।

আপনার নাম ও দেয়া সকল তথ্য সর্প্পুন গোপনীয় রাথা হবে এবং শুধুমাত্র গবেষনার কাজে ব্যবহৃত হবে।
আপনার অংশ গ্রহন সম্পূর্ণ ইচ্ছাকৃত। উত্তর দেয়া না দেয়া সম্পুর্ন আপনার ইচ্ছা। তবুও আমরা আশা করি আপনি এই জরিপে অং শ গ্রহন করবেন কারণ আগনার মন্তব্য এই জরিপের জন্য থুবই গুরুত্বপূণ্ণ।

এথন আপনি জরিপ সম্মন্ধে যে কোন প্রশ্ন করতে গারেন।
আমি কি এথন আপনাকে প্রশ্ন করা শুরু করতে গারি ?
शॉाँ $=1$
ना $=2$
সাফাতকার গ্রহণকারী

Module A: Identification of the Sample

| SI NO | Area ID সাকাত দাতার পরিচিতি | Name নাম | Code কোড |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A1 | Name of farmer थামারির নাম |  |  |
| A2 | Father's/husbands's name থামারির भিতার/ম্বামীর नाম |  |  |
| A3 | Name of household head থানা প্রধানের নাম |  |  |
| A4 | District जেলা |  |  |
| A5 | Upazila উপজেলা |  |  |
| A6 | Union ইউনিয়ন |  |  |
| A7 | Village গ্রাম |  |  |
| A8 | Farmer's household number थামারির থানা নাম্বার |  |  |
| A9 | Date তারিথ |  |  |
| A10 | Interviewer সাফাত গ্রহণকারীর নাম |  |  |
| A11 | Name of Supervisor সুপারভাইজারের নাম |  |  |


| A12 | Are you a selected farmer of the FtF Aquaculture Project? ( $1=$ yes ; $2=$ no) <br> আभনি কি FtF প্রকল্রের একজন নির্বাচিত মৎস্য চাষি? (1=হ্যাঁ, $2=$ না) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A13 | How many years of experience do you have in fish farming? মাছ চাষে আপনার কত দিনের অভিজ্ঞতা আছে? | ...... years ...... বছর |
| A14 | How many years of experience do you have in cage fish farming? থাঁচায় মাছ্ চামে আপনার কত দিনের অভিঞ্ঞতা আছে? | ...... years ...... বছ্র |
| A15 | If you had experience in cage fish culture, which institution or organization arranged for it ? <br> থাঁচায় মাছ চাষ করে থাকলে কোন প্রতিষ্ঠান বা সংস্সা এর ব্যবস্থা করে দিয়েছিল? | 1.Enter name <br> 1.नाম लियून $\qquad$ <br> 2.Independently |

Module B: Household Socioeconomic Status (2011)
গারিবারিক আর্থ সামাজিক অবস্থা
B1: Household Member Profile
পরিবারের সদস্যদের তথ্য

| Sl \# and name হ্রমিক নং ও নাম | Relationship with farmer থামারির সাথে সম্পর্ক | Sex <br> (M/F/T) <br> लिঙ্গ <br> भুঃ/মঃ/হিঃ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age } \\ & \text { बग़ग } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Main occupation प्रধান পেশা | Subsidiary occupation অন্য পেশা |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & \text { বছ্র } \end{aligned}$ | Month মাস |  |  |  |  |
| 1.Farmer থামারি |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Codes: Relation | nship |  | Code | Occupatio |  |  |  |  |
| 31. Husband <br> 32. Wife <br> 33. Son <br> 34. Daughter <br> 35. Father <br> 36. Mother <br> 37. Brother <br> 38. Sister <br> 39. Mother-inlaw <br> 40. Father-in law <br> 41. Son-in-law <br> 42. Daughter-in-law <br> 43. Grand son <br> 44. Grand daughter <br> 45. Others | $S=$ ज্নামী <br> २= त्री <br> అ= भूত <br> $8=$ कन्या <br> ब= वाবा <br> $৬=ম া$ <br> q= ভाই <br> ৮= বোন <br> $\searrow=$ শাওুড়ি <br> so= শ্বণুর <br> $s>=$ ऊाমাতা <br> $\gg=$ भूতबধ্ <br> ১৩= নাতি <br> ゝ8= নাতनि <br> s৫= অन্যান্য |  | 31. H <br> 32. Se <br> 33. B <br> 34. S <br> 35. D <br> 36. R <br> 37. A <br> 38. H <br> 39. P <br> 30.  <br> 40. St <br> 41. U <br> 42. R <br> 43. O <br> 44. O | wife গৃহিনী <br> চাকুরী <br> edium Busi business bor দिन aw/Van dri ulture (Own cratts, Carp শ্তি, রাজমিত্রি sional ( য়ার,আইনজী t ছাহ ployed বে / Minor ch Age >60 year (specify) | ess মাঝারী/ বড় ট ব্যবमा সজুর <br> ver রিক্সা/ ভ্যান চাল্র share cropper) कृenter, Mason and এবং অন্যান্য স্বকম Doctor, engineer, ) <br> কার <br> ild অবসর প্রাপ্ত/ <br> s) বৃদ্দ( ৬০ বছরের অন্যান্য(উল্লেথ করুন) | ব্যবসা <br> लक <br> (निज/ বर्গা ) other self empl advocate) <br> ছ্াে শিশু উ উরে) ) | yed <br> শাজীবী | কারুশিө্রী, ( ডাক্তার, |

B2: Land Ownership in 2011
2011 সালে অমির মালিকানা সఫ্ব

| SI NO | Land type ऊমির ধরন | Cultivated last year গত বছরে আবাদকৃত |  |  | Leased <br> (decimal)  <br> ইजाরা <br> (শতাংশ)  <br>   |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. <br> plots$\quad$ of <br> कग़টি প্লট বा <br> থत्ञ | Cultivated (dec) চাষকৃত(শতাংশ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Leased in (dec) } \\ & \text { ইজারা } \\ & \text { (নেওয়া } \\ & \text { (শতাংশ) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| B2.1 | All ghers/ponds মের/পুকুর |  |  |  |  |
| B2.3 | Cultivated land (field crops/vegetables etc) চামকৃত ऊমি (মাঠ শস্য, তরকারি ইত্যাদি) |  |  |  |  |
| B2.3 | Homestead area বসতবাড়ি এলাকা |  |  |  |  |



B 3: Annual Household Income (2011)
বার্ষিক পারিবারিক আয়

|  | Source of income আয়ের উৎস | Gross income (Tk/year) মোট আয় (টাকা/বৎসর) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B3.1 | Field Crops and vegetables মাঠে উৎ পাদিত শষ্য ও সক্টী |  |
| B3.2 | Livestock and poultry (meat, milk, egg) পশু ও হাঁস মুরগী (মাংস, দুধ,ডিম) |  |
| B3.3 | Homestead gardening (vegetables) বাড়ীর আপ্গিনায় সক্জি |  |
| B3.4 | Homestead forest, trees, flowering বাড়ির আঙ্গিনায় গাছ ও <ুলফলাদি |  |
| B3.5 | Aquaculture (shrimp and fish produced) মৎম্য চাম (চিংডড ও মাছ উৎপাদন) |  |
| B3.6 | Other fisheries(Fish business, harvesting from river and canal) অন্যান্য মাছ (মাছ ব্যবসা, নদী ও থাল থেকে আহরন) |  |
| B3.7 | Water pump rented out পানির মেশিন ভাড়া বাবদ |  |
| B3.8 | Power tiller and/or plough renting भাওয়ার টিলার এবং লাঙ্ৰ ভাড়া বাবদ |  |
| B3.9 | Fishing net renting মাছের জাল ভাড়া বাবদ |  |
| B3.10 | Labor selling (farmer himself \& household members) শ্रম বিঞ্রুয় (কৃষক নিজে বা পরিবারের সদস্যরা) |  |
| B3.11 | Services (Govt. and private job of farmer himself \& household members) চাকুরী ( সরকারী/বেসরকারি চাকুরী, কৃষক নিজে বা পরিবারের সদস্যরা) |  |
| B3.12 | Business (medium and large scale) ব্যাবসা ( মধ্যম এবং বড়) |  |
| B3.13 | Small trading / small grocery shop ছোট ব্যাবসা / ছোট মুদির দোকান |  |
| B3.14 | Tempo/van/rickshaw /motorcycle renting টেন্প্পু, ভ্যান/রিজ্স/মোটর সাইকেল ভাড়া বাবদ |  |
| B3.15 | Remittance (in country and abroad) রেমিটেঞ্ম (দেশের ভিতর/বিদেশ থেকে) |  |
| B3.16 | Land leased and/or mortgage out ऊমি ইজারা এবং বন্ধক থেকে |  |
| B3.17 | Others (Please specify) অন্যান্য ( উल্লেথ করুন) |  |
|  |  |  |

## Module C: Description of Cages and Cultural Practices (2011)

থাঁচার বর্ণনা ও থাচায় মাছ্ চাষ সম্পর্কিত তথ্যাদি ( প্রকল্পের থামারিদের জন্য প্রযোজ্য নয়)

| Q \# | Questions | Response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1 | How many cages did you operated last year? গত বছর কতগুলো থাঁচায় মাছ চাষ করেছেন? | No. |
| C2 | Ownership status of cages (1=single ; 2=joint থাঁচার মালিকানা সঙ্ব্ব (1=একক, 2= যৌথ, ) |  |
| C3 | What was the water body used for cage aquaculture? ( $1=$ large open water; $2=$ running water; $3=\mathrm{closed}$ water body) (multiple response apply) <br> কোন ধরনের জলাশয় থাঁচায় মাছ্ চাষ করেছ্রেন? <br> $1=উ$ সूহ্ত জালাশায় 2=চলমান জালাশয় $3=$ বদ্ধ জালাশায় |  |


| C4 | Total water area covered by the cages (enter in decimals) <br> কত জল আয়তন এলাকায় থাঁচায় মাছ চায করেছেন? (ডেসিম্যাল এ লিথুন) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C5 | What are the materials used for your cage preparation <br> (1=GI pipe, nylon net and plastic drum (type 1cage); $2=\mathrm{PVC}$ pipe, cotton net, metallic drum (type 2 cage); <br> 3=bamboo poles, nylon/cotton net, plastic drum (type 3 cage); 4=bamboo poles, nylon/cotton net, metallic drum (type 4 cage) <br> থাঁচা তৈরিতে কি ধরনের সামগ্রী ব্যবহৃত হয়েছে? <br> 1=জি আই পাইপ, নাইলন নেট ও প্লাস্টিক ড্রাম, 2=পি ভি সি পাইপ, সুতি জাল, ধাতুর ড্রাম, 3=বালের থুঁটি, নাইলন বা শুতির জাল, ধ্লাস্টিক ড্রাম , 4=বাশের ুুঁটি, নাইলন বা শুতির জাল, ধাতুর ড্রাম |  |
| C6 | Species used in case fish culture <br> ( $1=$ Tilapia (monosex and GIFT); $2=$ Rajpunti,3 $=$ Carps (Indian major carps and exotic carps); 4=Thai pangus (Pungus from Thailand) (multiple response apply) <br> থাঁচায় কোন কোন প্রজাতির চাষ করা হয়েছে? <br> 1=তিলাপিয়া (মনসেক্স ও গিফটট), 2=রাজभুটি 3=কার্গ (দেশী / বিদেশী), 4=থাই পাঙাশ ( 9 কাধিক উত্তর হতে গারে) |  |
| C7 | What is the fish Stocking density generally followed by you in cage culture (\# of fingerlings per cage <br> থাঁচায় মজুদ घনত্ব <br> (\# (োনা গ্রাতি থাঁচায়) |  |
| C8 | What type of fish feed did you use? <br> (1=floating; $2=$ semi floating; $3=$ sinking; $4=$ mixed spreader (mixture of rice bran, wheat bran, mustard oil cake, fish meal produced locally by farmers)) <br> (multiple response apply) <br> কি ধরনের মৎম্য খাদ্য ব্যবহার করেছ্রেন? <br> ( $1=$ =ভাসমান, $2=$ आধা ভাসমান, $3=$ =দুবন্ত, 4=মিম্য চিটানো ( कুঁড়া, থথল, মৎস্য চূর্ণ ইত্যাদি) |  |
| C9 | What yield did you receive? (kg per year) থাঁচা প্রতি বছ্থরে উৎপাদন কত (কেজি) ? |  |

## Module D: Fixed Costs of Cage in 2011

থাঁচ তৈরিতে মূলধন থরচ

| SI NO | Items সামগ্রী | Total present value/cost (Tk) | Economic <br> (year) Life |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D1 | Bamboo/wood/rope বাঁশ/ काঠ/দড়़ |  |  |
| D2 | Nylon net/Cotton net নাইলন জাল/সুতার জাল |  |  |
| D3 | Floating drum(metallic or plastic) ভাসমান ড্রাম ( ্লোস্টিক বা ধাতব নিহ্মিত) |  |  |
| D4 | Metal frame ধাতব নির্মিত কাঠামো |  |  |
| D5 | Others (Please specify) অন্যান্য ( উল্লেথ করুন) |  |  |

## Module E: Input Use and Cash Operating Costs of Fish Cultivation in 2011

উপকরণের ব্যবহার ও থাঁচায় মাছ চাষ পরিচালনা ব্যয়

| Q\# | Questions | Quantity | Rate <br> Tk/unit | Value <br> Tk |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E1 | Cage repairing and maintenance (e.g. GI pipe, bamboo pole, nylon net, floats, feeding tray etc) (Tk) শাঁচা মেরামত এবং রঙ্ণাবেফপ (জি আই পাইপ,বাঁশের ঋুটি,জাল,ন্লটস,থাবার ট্রে ইত্যাদি) |  |  |  |
| E2 | Feed (e.g. floating, semi-floating sinking and mixed) (kg) থাবার ( $1=$ ভাসমান, $2=$ आधা ভাসমান, $3=$ पूবন্ত, $4=$ মিম্য) |  |  |  |
| E3 | Chemicals/reagents (for water quality test) (kg) भানির গুনাগুন পরিষ্ষার জন্য কেমিক্যালস/ রিএজেন্ট |  |  |  |
| E4 | Mono Sex Tilapia seed |  |  |  |



Module F: Labor Costs in Last Year (2011)
শ্রমিকের থরচ (2011 সালের )

| Labor type শ্রমিকের ধরণ | No. of labor <br> শ্রমিকের <br> সংথ্যা | Total no. of days worked (for all labor) মোট কর্ম দিবস (সকল শ্রমিকের জন্য) | Average No. of hours worked per day প্রতিদিন গড়ে কত घন্টা काज | Wage (Tk/day/person) মজুরি (টাকা/দিন/অন) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cash } \\ & \text { নগগদ } \end{aligned}$ |  | Food/kind থাদ্য/जিনিস |
|  |  |  |  | Daily দৈনিক | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Monthly } \\ & \text { মামিক্ } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Permanent male স্থায়ী শ্রমিক পুরুষ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permanent female <br> স্থায়ী শ্রমিক মহিলা |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Daily male দৈনিক হারে পুরুষ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Daily female দৈনিক হারে মহিলা |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family male পরিবারের পুরুষ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family female পরিবারের মহিলা |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Module G: Production and Disposal of Fish

মাছ উৎभাদন,বিক্ক্য়/ব্যবহারের তथ্য

| Q \# | Questions | Quantity | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rate } \\ & (\mathrm{Tk} / \mathrm{kg}) \end{aligned}$ | Value <br> Tk |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G1 | Telapia: <br> (monosexTilapia and GIFT) <br> মনোসেক্স তেলাপিয়া ও গিফটট |  |  |  |
| G1.1 | Total Harvested (kg) মোট আহরণ (কেতি) |  |  |  |
| G1.2 | Consumed (kg) থেয়েছেন (কেজি) |  |  |  |
| G1.3 | Sold বিক্রিত (কেজি) (kg) |  |  |  |
| G1.4 | Gifted (kg) দান/ উүহার (কেজি) |  |  |  |
| G1.5 | Processed (e.g. dried) (kg) প্রক্রিয়াজাতকৃত (কেজি) |  |  |  |
| G1.6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Technical loss } \\ & \text { (kg) } \\ & \text { টেকনিক্যাল লম (কেজি) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| G2 | Others: <br> (e.g. punti, pungus, singh and magur) অन्যান্য মাছ ( भूँটि, भাঙাশ, শিং এবং মাগুর) |  |  |  |
| G2.1 | Total Harvested (kg) মোট আহরণ (কেজি) |  |  |  |
| G2.2 | Consumed (kg) থেয়েছ্রেন (কেজি) |  |  |  |
| G2.3 | Sold (kg) বিক্রিত (কেতি) |  |  |  |
| G2.4 | Processed (e.g. dried) (kg) প্রক্রিয়াজাতকৃত (কেজি) |  |  |  |
| G2.5 | Technical loss ${ }^{1}$ টেকনিক্যাল লম ( কেজি) (kg) |  |  |  |
| G3 | Reasons for technical loss (multiple reasons apply) <br> $1=$ Damaged or lost due to improper harvesting or post harvest handling and transportation; $2=$ lack of preservation facilities; $3=$ degraded seed quality; $4=$ Others (specify) <br> কারিগরি ফতির কারন ( $1=$ सथायथ ভাবে আহরণোত পরিচর্যা, 2=সংরহ্ষন ব্যবস্থার অভাব 3=নিম্নমানের পোনা ব্যবহার করা, 4=অन্যান্য) |  |  |  |
| G4 | Where do you sell your fish outputs? <br> 1=consumers; 2=middlemen; 3=arat; 4=government service <br> centers; $5=$ processers; $6=$ others (specify) <br> উৎभাদিত মাছ কোথায় বিক্রি করেন? (1=গ্রাহকের নিকট, <br> $2=$ মধ্যসত্বভোগী, $3=$ आড়ত, $4=$ সরকারী সার্ভিস কেন্দ, <br> $5=$ প্রক্রিয়াজাতকারী, $6=$ অन্যান্য) |  |  |  |

## Module H:

## H 1: Fish and Other Food Commodity Consumed by Your HH Member in Last 3 Days

পরিবারে গত তিন দিনে মাছ্সহ অন্যান্য থাদ্য গ্রহণর বিবরণ

| SI NO | Food items <br> থাদ্য তाলিকা | Number of days <br> consumed <br> (in last 3 days) | Total number of meals in <br> last 3 days <br> গত তिन দিनে कত বाর | Quantity consumed <br> in last 3 days |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| গত তिন দिनে মোট कि |  |  |  |  |


|  |  | গত তিন দিনের মধ্যে কত দিন থেয়েছ্রেন | থেয়েছ্রে | পরিমান থেয়েছেন |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H1.1 | Cereals थाদ्य শग्य |  |  | Kg কেজি |
| H1.2 | Small fish ছোট মাছ্ |  |  | Kg কেজি |
| H1.3 | Big fish বড মাছ |  |  | Kg কেজি |
| H1.4 | Meat মাংস |  |  | Kg কেজি |
| H1.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Egg } \\ & \text { ডিম } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | No সংথ্যা |
| H1.6 | Pulses ডাল |  |  | Kg কেজি |
| H1.7 | Milk <br> দूধ |  |  | Litre লিটার |
| H1.8 | Fruits एल |  |  | Kg কেজি |
| H1.9 | Vegetables শাকসবজি |  |  | Kg কেজি |

H 2: List the Fish Species You Consumed in the Last 3 Days Amount of Each Fish Consumed গত তিন দিনে আপনার পরিবারের কি কি মাছ্ কত পরিমানে থেয়েছ্েে

| Species consumed <br> প्रजाতির নাম |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total <br> মাট |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Quantity consumed <br> (Kg) थাওয়ার পরিমান <br> (কেজি) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

H 3: List the Source of the Fish Your HH Consumed in the Last 3 Days and Quantity From Each Source
গত তিন দিনে আপনার পরিবারে যে সকল মাছ খাওয়া হয়েছ্রে তার উৎস ও পরিমান

| Fish source মাছের উৎস | Own <br> Ponds <br> নিজের পুকুর | Purchased in market বাজার থেকে ক্রয় | Self caught (from own rice field) নিजের ধান ক্ষেত থেকে আহরিত | Self-caught (from open water body) মুক্ত জলাশয় থেকে নিজের দ্রারা আহরিত | Gift from friend or relative বন্ধু বা আब্মীয় স্বজনের কাছ থেকে পাওয়া | Other (specify) অन्যान्य (উল্লেথ করুন) | Total মোট |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quantity consumed (Kg) থাওয়ার পরিমান (কেজি) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Module I：Knowledge Attitude and Practice of Improved Cage Cultivation Technology
থাচায় মাছ চাষে উন্নত ব্যবস্থাগনা পদ্জতি সমূহ ও এর প্রয়োগ বিষয়ক তথ্যাদি

| $\begin{array}{\|l} \mathrm{Q} \\ \# \end{array}$ | Improved cage <br> cultivation  <br> technology  <br> ঋাচায় মাছ চামের <br> উন্নত প্রयूক্তি  | Standard recommended সুপারিশকৃত মাত্রা | Knowledge <br> （1＝know <br> 2＝don＇t know） <br> জ্ঞান <br> （ $\mathrm{S}=$ জানে，, ＝ | Practice （1＝practiced 2＝didn＇t practice） অনুশীলন | If knows， reasons for non－ practice ${ }^{1}$ （multiple reasons apply） <br> यদি জানে তবে <br> অনুশীলন না করার কারন কি | No．of other farmers used this technologies learnt from you <br> আপনার কাছ্ থেকে শিথে আর কতগুলো 女ার্ম এই প্রयूক্তি ব্যবহার করেন |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I1 | Maintaining stock density <br>  ㅁㅔㅔ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 120-150 \\ & \text { fingerlings/sqm } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Species selection <br> －皿四 《 | short cycle fast growing |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | Cage maintenance থাঁচা রফ্সনাবেফণ | Net cleaning， repairing， |  |  |  |  |
| I4 | Providing supplementary feed［ 70 | Recommended |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  | Recommended |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | Health monitoring <br>  | Recommended |  |  |  |  |


| A12 | Did you receive training on cage fish culture in last three years？（1＝yes； $2=n o$ ） <br> গত ৩ বছরে আপনি খাচায় মাছ চামের উপর প্রশিক্ফণ গ্রহন করেছ্রেন কি？（1＝হাঁ， 2＝নा） |
| :---: | :---: |


| A13 | What is the total number of training you received?মাছ চামে আभনার आभনি <br> মোট কতটি প্রশিষ্ষন গ্রহন করেছেন? | $\ldots .$. No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\ldots . . .$. টি |  |  |

Module J: Problem and Constraints
সমস্যা ও प्रতিবন্ধকতা

| SI NO | Problems/ Constraints সমস্যা/ প্রতিবন্ধকতা | Intensity <br> (1=Less, $2=$ moderate, <br> 3=High, 4=None) <br> মাত্রা ( $1=$ कम, $2=$ মধ্যম, $3=উ চ ্ চ, ~$ <br> 4=নাই) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| J1 | High mortality of fish মাছের উষ্ঠ মৃত্যুহার |  | 1=Keep safe from infection sources <br> 1=সংহ্রামক উৎস থেকে নিরাभদ রাथा <br> 2=Better management of water quality <br> 2=পানির অধিকতর উন্নত <br> ব্যবস্থাপনা <br> 3= Consultation with expert <br> $3=$ বিশেষজ্ঞদের পরামর্শ নেওয়া <br> 4= Use of medicine/antibiotics <br> 4= প্রয়োজনীয় ঔষধের ব্যবহার $5=$ <br> $6=$ |
| J2 | Social problem (theft, poisoning, multiple ownership) <br> সামাজিক সমস্যা ( চোর, বিষ প্রয়োগ, যৌথ মালিকানা) |  | ```1= Increased security guard 1= পাহারাদের সংথ্যা বৃদ্ধি 2= Awareness campaign 2= সচেতনতা বৃদ্ধি করণ 3=``` |
| J3 | Credit problem ঋণ সম্পর্কিত সমস্যা |  | 1= Easy access to association/cooperatives $1=$ मমবाয় / সংগঠনের প্রাগ্ণ সूবিধা ভোগ 2= Loan taken from Bank $2=$ ব্যাংक থেকে ঋণ গ্রহন $3=$ |
| J4 | Natural calamities প্রাকৃতিক দুর্থোগ |  |  |
| J5 | Financial problems অর্থনৈতিক সমম্যা |  |  |
| J6 | High input cost উপকরনের উষ্চ মৃল্য |  |  |
| J7 | Water pollution (gas, bloom, bottom slug) <br> পানির দূষণ (গ্যাস, র্লুম, তলার কাদা) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

গোপনীয়
শুধুমাত্র গবেষণার কাজে ব্যবহার করা হবে

# ওয়ার্ল্ডফিস সেন্টার বাংলাদেশ এফটিএফ এ্যাকুয়াকালচার প্রজেক্ট 

## বেইজলাইন জরিপ ২০১২

## Guildeline for FGDs

## জরিপ পরিচালনায় <br> AK ডাটা ম্যানেজমেন্ট এইড

ইউএসএআইডি’র অর্থায়নে বাংলাদেশ সরকারের সহায়তায় ওয়ার্ড্ডফিস সেন্টার পরিচালিত এফটিএফ এ্যাকুয়াকালচার প্রজেট্টের সহযোগীতায় পরিচালিত

## FGD Guidelines for Aquaculture Farmer and Commercial Fish Farmer

## Fish Cultured

- Pond preparation for fish culture
- Total Area of pond/s and production /unit
- Name of fish species
- Sources of fish seed
- Feed and fertilizer application
- Stocking density/decimal
- Duration of culture


## Input Use

- Identification of input used for fish production
- Sources, percent of different inputs from different source, quality, price, cost per unit, problems, recommendations, etc.
- Fish seed (Spawn, Fry and Fingerling)
- Prawn or Galda PL(post larvae)
- Feed
- Fertilizer
- Medicine
- Liming
- Others
- Source of financing (own, bank, NGO, Mahajan, etc. problems, suggestions)
- Harvesting (cost per unit)
- Grading (size, quality, varieties of fish, cost per unit)
- Processing (drying and others, cost per unit)
- Packaging (types, cost per unit)
- Seed (hatchery act) and feed policy issues


## Marketing

- Markets (farmgate, local fisherman, local hats and bazaars, wholesale market, etc.) and actors-wise sale
- Average selling price obtained
- Satisfaction


## Marketing Cost (markets and actors-wise per unit)

- Transportation (types, cost per unit)
- Load-unloading
- Market toll per unit
- Aratdar Commission
- Personal cost
- Others
- Pricing (pricing methods, who determines and how, level of satisfaction by the fish farmers and other Intermediaries)


## Returns

- Employment Generation such as skilled, unskilled, family, hired (man-days, cost)
- Gross Return
- Gross Cost per Unit
- Net Value Addition
- Support for Technology Development
- Practice of Technology Development
- If not practicing, reasons.
- Over-all Problems and Constraints
- Recommendations and Suggestion


## FGD with Fish Traders

- Types of fish purchased
- Average purchase of fish per day (\% of amount from different sources, price, quality, problems and suggestions
- Average unit price of different fish
- Source of financing (own, bank, NGO, Mahajan, etc.)
- Cost of grading, packaging, processing, etc.
- Labour requirement (man-days and cost)
- Volume of sale per day
- Average sale price (fish-wise)
- Pricing (pricing methods, who determines and how, level of satisfaction by them and other Intermediaries)
- Satisfaction at the price of fish.
- Gross return per unit
- Gross cost per unit
- Value added per unit
- Number of farmers covered
- Supports for technology development
- Practice of technology development
- Modern packaging, processing, preservation, etc.
- If not practicing, reasons
- Facilities of preservation in the study area
- Over-all problems and constraints
- Recommendations and suggestion


## FGD with Shrimp Farmers

- Coverage in the study areas
- Types of shrimp and prawn(Bagda or Golda)
- Culture practices, mono culture or poly culture or mixed with white fish
- Stocking density per unit area
- Duration of culture, starting and ending
- Crops per year
- Sources of seed and \% from different sources (wild, hatchery raised, traders)
- Stage of stocking (post larvae, Juvenile)


## Seed

- sources, \% of seed amount from different sources, price of seed, quality,
- Problems with seed and suggestion
- Price of feed
- Other inputs used in shrimp culture: name, sources, ingredients, quality, price, problems and suggestions, etc.
- Production per decimal
- Grading (types: size, quality, varietywise, cost per unit)
- Processing (types, cost perunit)
- Production cost per unit
- Sales (different markets, buyers-wise, price per unit)
- Satisfaction level
- Net value added
- Number of farmers and others benefitted from shrimp farming
- Over-all problems
- Suggestions


## Feed Supply

- Sources of feed and \% from different sources
- Types of feed, ready made commercial or home made pilets or mixture
- Name of company (in case of commercial ready feed)
- Ingredients of feed


## Seed and Feed Policy

- Seed and feed policy and act issues


## FGD with Carp Hatchery Owners

- Number of hatchery in this area
- Area of carp hatchery
- Name of fish/shrimp seed produced
- Sources of brood fish/mother species
- Number of brood fish ponds available
- Number of nursery ponds available
- Breeding activities done by whom? (self, appointed technician, others.)
- Employment generation (creation of mandays)
- Number of farmers and others associated
- Inputs used (sources, price, amount required, availability, quality, problems, etc.)
- Annual production capacity $(\mathrm{Kg})$ of the hatchery
- Name of fish species breeding of which takes place in your hatchery(This item is same as sl 3)
- Production cost of seed/PL per Kg or per thousand


## Seed and Feed Policy

- Seed and feed policy and act issues
- Production cost of seed/PL per Kg/1000
- Marketing (markets, buyers-wise, etc.)
- Market information (types and sources)
- Volume of sale per day, average sale price (fish-wise) and satisfaction
- Marketing cos per /unit
- Financing source


## Sale

- Different markets, buyers-wise (nursery owners, fry traders, commission agents),
- Price/unit
- Satisfaction level
- Gross return per unit
- Net value added
- Support for technology development (fisheries officers, NGO personnel, others)
- Practice of technology development
- If not practicing, reasons
- Over-all problems
- Suggestions
- Market size and demand by species
- Major selling areas (district and upazila etc.)


## FGD with Carp Nursery Owners

- Total area of carp nursery
- No. of pond in the study area
- Name and duration of fish species reared/nursed


## Inputs used

- Fish seed (spawn), feed, etc.
- Sources, price, amount required,
- Availability, quality, problems, etc.
- Other inputs used (Feed, fertilizers, medicines)
- Financing (different sources, types, rates and procedures of payment and repayment, etc.)
- Production (size of fingerlings, Kg per decimal)
- Production cost of one kg of fingerlings (Tk by species)
- Volume of sale per day (Species-wise)


## Seed and Feed Policy

- Seed and feed policy and act issues
- Marketing (areas, types of customers, etc.)
- Market information (types and sources)
- Average sale price (fish-wise)
- Gross return per uunit
- Value added per unit
- Pricing (pricing methods, who determines and how, level of satisfaction by the fish farmers and other intermediaries)
- Support for technology development (fisheries officers, NGO personnel, others)
- Practice of technology development
- If not practicing, reasons....
- Over-all problems/Constraints
- Recommendations/Suggestion
- Market size and demand by species
- Major selling areas (districts and upazila etc.)



## Contact Details:

## WorldFish Center

Bangladesh and South Asia Office
House 22B, Road 7, Block - F, Banani, Dhaka 1213, Bangladesh,
Tel: + (880-2) 881 3250, 8814624 and 881 7300,
Fax: + (880-2) 8811151,
www.worldfishcenter.org

## Harnessing research that makes a difference

